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Phenology, or the seasonality of recurring biological events such as vegetation canopy development and senescence, is a primary constraint on global carbon, water and energy cycles. We analyzed multiseason Ku-band radar backscatter measurements from the SeaWinds-on-QuikSCAT scatterometer to determine canopy phenology and growing season vegetation dynamics from 2000 to 2002 at 27 sites representing major global land cover classes and regionally across most of North America. We compared these results with similar information derived from the MODIS leaf area index (LAI) data product (MOD-15A2). In site-level linear regression analysis, the correspondence between radar backscatter and LAI was significant (p < 0.05) at most but not all sites and was generally higher (R^2 > 0.5) for sites with relatively low LAI or where the seasonal range in LAI was large (e.g., >3 m^2 m^-2). The SeaWinds instrument also detected generally earlier onset of vegetation canopy growth in spring than the optical/near-infrared (NIR) based LAI measurements from MODIS, though the timing of canopy senescence and the end of the growing season were more similar. Over North America, the correlation between the two time series was stratified largely by land cover class, with higher correlations (R ~ 0.7–0.9) for most cropland, deciduous broadleaf forest, crop/natural vegetation mosaic land cover, and some grassland. Lower correlations were observed for open shrubland and evergreen needleleaf forest. Overall, the results indicate that SeaWinds backscatter is sensitive to growing season canopy dynamics across a range of broadleaf vegetation types and provides a quantitative view that is independent of optical/NIR remote sensing instruments.


1. Introduction

Vegetation phenology describes the timing of seasonal developmental stages including bud burst, canopy growth, and senescence [Lechowicz, 2001]. Canopy phenology and associated landscape vegetation seasonal dynamics are a primary constraint on global carbon, water and energy cycles, because of the major influence of plant photosynthesis on land-atmosphere CO_2 exchange [e.g., Keeling et al., 1989], and canopy albedo and transpiration on latent and sensible energy partitioning and regional weather [e.g., Fitzjarrald et al., 2001]. Broad-scale spatial and temporal variability in global phenology is largely determined by environmental limitations to plant growth, including photoperiod, available moisture and temperature [Nemani et al., 2003]. Vegetation phenology can also be spatially and temporally heterogeneous because of complex land cover, topographic and environmental gradients, with impacts on annual plant productivity, and carbon, water and energy cycles.

Space-borne remote sensing is the only practical tool for global measurement and monitoring of seasonal vegetation dynamics at high temporal and moderate spatial resolution. Satellite remote sensing of vegetation phenology has generally been the domain of optical instruments, including the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) and Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), because of frequent sampling and near-global coverage of these sensors and strong sensitivity of visible and near-infrared wavelengths to photosynthetic biomass [e.g., Tucker, 1979; Sellers, 1985]. Available products from these sensors include global and continental maps of vegetation greenness (e.g., Normalized Difference Vegetation Index or NDVI and Enhanced Vegetation Index or EVI), leaf area index (LAI), and seasonal phenology [e.g., Goward et al., 1985; White et al., 1997; Myneni et al., 1998;
Satellite microwave remote sensing has been used for landscape- to global-scale assessments of a variety of biophysical parameters including soil moisture [e.g., Magagi and Kerr, 1997; Wagner and Scipal, 1999a; Wagner and Scipal, 2000], snow cover [e.g., Nghiem and Tsai, 2001], freeze/thaw state [e.g., Wismann, 2000; Kimball et al., 2004a, 2004b; McDonald et al., 2004; McDonald and Kimball, 2005; Rawlins et al., 2005], and vegetation dynamics [e.g., Frison and Mougin, 1996; Magagi and Kerr, 1997; Hardin and Jackson, 2003]. Radar sensitivity to these parameters arises from the strong dependence of radar backscatter to surface dielectric properties, which are strongly dependent upon the liquid water content of the landscape. Shorter microwave wavelengths (e.g., Ku- and C-band) are particularly sensitive to the vegetation canopy structure and moisture because of the similar characteristic sizes of leaves and branches, which increases the radar backscatter response to these canopy constituents [Ulaby et al., 1982; Elachi, 1987].

In this paper we extend the analysis of Hardin and Jackson [2003] in five ways. First, we analyze the relationship between SeaWinds backscatter and MODIS (MOD-15) LAI 8-day time series across a wide range of global vegetation types and geographical locations. Second, we analyze multiyear (2000–2002) data to determine if LAI and radar backscatter show similar variability over multiple growing seasons. Third, we extract and compare phenological timing signals (spring leaf flush and fall leaf senescence) from both data series. Fourth, we use the Michigan Microwave Canopy Scattering radar backscatter model (MIMICS) [Ulaby et al., 1990] to interpret the Ku-band backscatter seasonal response to canopy LAI and vegetation and surface moisture. Fifth, we conduct a regional analysis of North America to determine if there are coherent spatial patterns in the correlation between Ku-band backscatter and LAI. Our objective is to evaluate the potential for SeaWinds backscatter to detect vegetation phenology, as a necessary first step before attempting to develop a robust and reliable phenology algorithm.

2. Methods

2.1. Site-Level Analysis

We selected 27 sites spanning a range of global land cover types, each representing a 50 km × 50 km area with relatively homogeneous vegetation cover (>80% classified as a single vegetation class). We defined vegetation cover
using a MODIS (v.3) 1-km resolution global land cover map [Friedl et al., 2002]. The sites, located on all continents except Antarctica, represented 9 vegetation classes; each vegetation class except deciduous needleleaf forest was represented by at least two sites (Table 1). For each site, we investigated the correlation between SeaWinds radar backscatter (σ’) and MODIS-derived LAI during nonfrozen periods from 2000–2002. We also fit a set of logistical curves [Zhang et al., 2003, 2004] to the rise and fall of the seasonal backscatter and LAI to derive the timing of leaf flush and leaf senescence, respectively, from each instrument time series data.

### 2.1.1. MODIS Leaf Area Index Data

[9] LAI data for each site were extracted from the MOD15A2 8-day composite (v.4), 1-km resolution LAI product (edcdaac.usgs.gov/modis/mod15a2.asp). LAI values flagged as contaminated by cloud, snow, or aerosols [Myneni et al., 2002] were removed, and the remaining values (i.e., those with good quality assessment) were linearly interpolated to fill gaps [Zhao et al., 2005]. The 2500 1-km values at each 8-day time step in each 50 km × 50 km site were averaged to derive a single LAI time series for each site.

### 2.1.2. SeaWinds Backscatter Data

[10] For this investigation we used daily Ku-band backscatter measurements from SeaWinds-on-QuikSCAT to classify growing season parameters from 2000 to 2002. The SeaWinds instrument consists of a rotating pencil-beam antenna, which provides contiguous measurement swaths of 1400 km (inner beam) and 1800 km (outer beam), coverage of approximately 70% of the Earth on a daily basis and 90% global coverage every 2 days. At higher latitudes (i.e., >40°N) SeaWinds temporal coverage is improved, often allowing for multiple radar backscatter measurements per unit land area per day. The instrument has mean incidence angles of 54° (outer beam; VV polarization) and 46° (inner beam; HH polarization). The Level 2A “egg” data product has spatial resolution of approximately 37 × 25 km. The SeaWinds radar transmits microwave radiation at 13.4 GHz (2.24 cm wavelength) and receives a surface backscatter signal with a 0.25 dB relative accuracy [King and Greenstone, 1999].

[11] Utilizing the L2A data product, we extracted SeaWinds outer beam radar backscatter measurements for each study site, beginning 1 January 2000 and extending through 31 December 2002. All daily SeaWinds “egg” data with center locations within a 10-km radius centered over each study site were extracted and averaged on an orbit-by-orbit basis. Because of its wider swath, SeaWinds outer beam data provide improved temporal coverage (i.e., up to 5 or more samples per day at high latitudes) relative to inner beam data. The larger incidence angle of the outer beam data also provides an increased propagation path through the vegetation volume and may, in some instances, increase backscatter sensitivity to vegetation structure and moisture relative to inner beam data. Hardin and Jackson [2003] found SeaWinds HH and VV polarization responses to be similar in their savanna study. The orbit-by-orbit backscatter
values were averaged to the same 8-day intervals as the MODIS LAI data using an 8-day windowing scheme. Mean backscatter and backscatter variances were computed for each 8-day interval.

2.1.3. Daily Weather Data

Daily maximum and minimum air temperature data were taken from the NASA Data Assimilation Office (DAO) 3-hour reanalysis data set [Data Assimilation Office, 2002], and nonlinear spatial smoothing was used to interpolate the $1° \times 1.25°$ data to each site [Zhao et al., 2005]. These data were used to stratify the annual radar time series into frozen and thawed seasons, and particularly to filter out the dynamic backscatter temporal response to snow and landscape freeze/thaw effects. The onset of fully thawed conditions was determined, through visual inspection of the data, to occur after seven consecutive days with mean air temperatures greater than 4°C. The end of the thawed season was identified as the first day with mean air temperatures less than 3°C. To avoid multiple transition dates between seasons when average temperatures hovered near the threshold, transition dates were required to be at least 60 days apart. Of the 27 sites, 17 had an identified frozen season in which the backscatter signal was masked out. We also refer to this thawed period as the growing season, though some photosynthesis will occur during the freeze-thaw transition period, particularly for evergreen systems, and for drought-deciduous systems vegetation can be dormant during some thawed periods.

2.1.4. Site-Level Correlation of Microwave Backscatter and LAI

Using linear regression to calculate correlations between the growing season SeaWinds backscatter ($\sigma^o$) and MODIS LAI data for each site, of the form

$$\sigma^o_i = a_{1i} + a_{2i} \cdot LAI_i$$  

(1)

where $a_{1i}$ and $a_{2i}$ are the regression parameters for site $i$. We used all 3 years of data in a single correlation analysis for each site. This analysis returned coefficients of determination ($R^2$ and $p$ value) and values for the two regression coefficients, $a_{1i}$ and $a_{2i}$, for each site.

2.1.5. Site-Level Phenological Timing Analysis

Following the methodology of Zhang et al. [2003], we fit a curve of the form

$$y_i = \frac{c_i}{1 + e^{c_i + b_i} + d_i}$$  

(2)

to the seasonal increase (spring) and to the seasonal decrease (autumn) of each growing season’s $\sigma^o$ and LAI data in the multiyear time series, where $y_i$ is either $\sigma^o$ or LAI for site $i$, $t$ is day of year, and $a_{t0}$, $b_{t0}$, $c_i$, and $d_i$ are fitting parameters for site $i$. For this analysis we further constrained the logistical function by fixing $c_i$ and $d_i$ for each site ($c_i =$ minimum value in 50 days prior to growing season, $c_i + d_i =$ maximum growing season LAI or 32-day mean of $\sigma^o$ values, centered on the peak value) resulting in a two-parameter fit for $a_{t0}$ and $b_{t0}$. We determined best fit values for these coefficients using least squares estimation. We determined the midpoints (points of steepest rise and steepest descent) in the rise (“leaf-flush”) and fall (“senescence”) of the curve fits (equation (2)) to the $\sigma^o$ and LAI data (Figure 1). From the 95% confidence intervals around these fits, we calculated an uncertainty in this phenological timing (Figure 1).

2.2. Theoretical Backscatter Analysis

Physically based radar backscatter models are useful for coupling land surface physical properties to backscatter signatures, providing a powerful means for interpretation of remote sensing data. The MIMICS model [Ulaby et al., 1990; Ulaby and Elachi, 1990; McDonald and Ulaby, 1993] was used to examine theoretical backscatter sensitivity to leaf area index (LAI), soil moisture, and vegetation moisture for selected structural classes of canopies. Canopy constituents (leaves, needles, branches, trunks) are considered as discrete scatterers, and are modeled by lossy dielectric canonical geometries such as discs and circular cylinders. These elements are described in terms of their probability distributions in size and orientation, their number density (constituents per unit volume or area), and their dielectric constant. Soil and vegetation dielectric properties are estimated by models relating moisture parameters to microwave dielectric constant. The
scattering and extinction properties of individual components are obtained through application of scattering models appropriate to the sizes of the scatterer relative to the sensor wavelength. The underlying ground is modeled as a homogeneous dielectric half-space with a roughness described by the RMS surface roughness and correlation length. MIMICS has been established as an effective microwave backscatter model for a wide range of radar sensor configurations and a variety of vegetation canopy architectures, including closed and open canopy structures [McDonald et al., 1990, 1991; McDonald and Ulaby, 1993; Rignot et al., 1994; Way et al., 1994].

[17] Four structural classes of vegetation canopies were considered in this analysis: (1) grassland, (2) deciduous broadleaf trees, and (3) high- and (4) low-biomass needleleaf trees. Parameters applied in characterizing broadleaf and needleleaf trees were based on parameter development in previous studies in which MIMICS had been evaluated against field data for several canopy structural classes over a variety of seasonal conditions, and for a variety of sensor configurations [Way et al., 1994]. The grassland canopy was modeled as leafy vegetation over a rough ground surface, and included no woody constituents [e.g., Du et al., 2000]. MIMICS was used to simulate backscatter for the SeaWinds outer beam configuration (14 GHz, VV-polarization, 54° incidence angle) for a variety of LAI values, canopy structures, and moisture conditions.

2.3. Regional Analysis

[18] To further evaluate the relationship between LAI and σ0, we acquired multiyear gridded data sets over North America. We then evaluated the magnitude of Pearson’s correlation coefficient (R) for 8-day composites of LAI and σ0 for each grid cell.

2.3.1. Regional SeaWinds Backscatter Data

[19] We acquired January 2000 through December 2002 SeaWinds backscatter data (σ0 in dB) for North America from the NASA Scatterometer Climate Record Pathfinder database maintained at Brigham Young University (http://www.scp.byu.edu). We used the resolution enhanced Scatterometer Image Reconstruction (SIR) images derived from the L2A “egg” data, which incorporate both ascending and descending orbital passes and are a composite 4-day average backscatter time series [Early and Long, 2001]. The SIR technique for resolution enhancement was developed specifically for application to satellite-based scatterometer data. The technique utilizes multiple satellite passes to improve the spatial resolution of the backscatter over a temporal compositing interval, during which surface characteristics are assumed constant. The temporal compositing interval is restricted to support detection of short-term change; a tradeoff is therefore made between imaging time interval and spatial resolution. Multiple-pass SIR is not without some limitations, however, as temporal variability in target characteristics (structure or dielectric constant) during the imaging time interval can cause temporal smearing when multiple passes are combined [Early and Long, 1996]. The SeaWinds backscatter data set defined the spatial domain and resolution (≈4.5 km) of the regional analysis (see Figure 7 below). We temporally aggregated the 4-day backscatter product into an 8-day product by averaging the backscattered power.

2.3.2. Regional MODIS Leaf Area Index and Land Cover Data

[20] Mean 8-day composite LAI for 2000–2002 was taken from the MODIS MOD15A2 8-day 1-km LAI product (v.4) (http://delenn.gsfc.nasa.gov/~imswww/pub/imswelcome/ [Myneni et al., 2002]). We did not interpolate to fill temporal or spatial gaps. For spatial aggregation, the central latitude-longitude of each 1-km MODIS pixel was identified within its associated SeaWinds grid cell. To reduce atmospheric contamination effects, we averaged only LAI values with a quality control flag ≤1 (Fpar-LAI QC MODLAND bitfield), obtaining a single LAI value for each SeaWinds grid cell and 8-day composite during 2000–2002.

[21] We used the MODIS MOD1Q1 1-km land cover product (v.4) [Friedl et al., 2002] for North America, using the IGBP land cover legend with 18 cover types, to evaluate whether patterns in the backscatter-LAI correlation corresponded to vegetation cover. The 1-km land cover product was reprojected and spatially composited to the SeaWinds grid (≈4.5 km), by identifying the dominant vegetation class in each grid cell and recording the fraction of the grid cell occupied by that dominant class. Only grid cells with an assessment confidence ≥50 and with quality control ≤1 (Land_Cover_Type_1_Assessment Mandatory QA bitfield) were included in this reprojectation.

2.3.3. Regional Daily Weather Data

[22] To screen out frozen season data, we generated a temperature mask (see section 2.1.3) using the European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecasting ERA-40 temperature fields for January 2000 through August 2002 (http://www.ecmwf.int/products/data/archive/). The 6-hourly temperature data were averaged to daily means, reprojected and spatially disaggregated to the same grid as the SeaWinds data by two-dimensional linear interpolation. This gridded daily temperature time series was then used to screen non-growing season periods, as in section 2.1.3. All LAI and SeaWinds data after August 2002 were excluded from the analysis, as we did not have ERA-40 temperature data to determine the end of the 2002 growing season.

2.3.4. Grid Cell Correlation of Microwave Backscatter and LAI

[23] We calculated linear correlations between the growing season SeaWinds backscatter, σ0, and MODIS LAI data for each grid cell, j. We used all 3 years of data in a single correlation analysis for each site. This analysis returned correlation coefficients (R) for each grid cell; these were mapped to look for coherent spatial patterns that could be related to land cover type.

3. Results

3.1. Site Level Results

[24] Across the 27 sites, thawed season LAI values from MODIS range from <1 m2 m−2 in grassland and temperate/subtropical shrub sites to >5 m2 m−2 in wet tropical forest (all EB sites) year-round and in temperate broadleaf forest (both DB sites and the MF-3 site) during peak growing season (Table 1). Growing season SeaWinds backscatter values ranged from less than −18 dB in three grassland sites to more than −9 dB year-round in all wet tropical forest
sites and during the peak growing season at the WS-1 site. There was an overall pattern across all sites of co-occurrence of low LAI correlating with low backscatter and high LAI with high backscatter; although the relationship was not linear across the entire domain (Figure 2a), it was generally linear for individual sites.

[25] Coefficients of determination between MODIS LAI and SeaWinds backscatter for the 27 sites ranged from 0.81 to 0.0; twenty-one of the sites had significant relationships between backscatter and LAI ($p < 0.05$), but only eight sites had $R^2$ values greater than 0.5 (Table 1). Linear relationships were strong to moderate ($R^2 \geq 0.25$) for all savanna, deciduous broadleaf, and grassland sites, as well as two mixed forest sites (MF-2 and MF-3), two shrub sites (SH-1 and SH-2), an evergreen needleleaf site (EN-2), and a woody savanna site (WS-1) (Table 1). Linear relationships were weak to nonexistent ($R^2 < 0.25$) for all evergreen broadleaf sites, three evergreen needleleaf sites, the deciduous needleleaf site, as well as two mixed forest sites (MF-1 and MF-4), two shrub sites (SH-3 and SH-4), and a woody savanna site (WS-2) (Table 1).

[26] Grassland and shrub sites with significant relationships had maximum LAI values less than 1.5; these sites generally had strong backscatter dependence on LAI (regression slope greater than about 2 dB per LAI unit) (Figure 2b). The savanna, deciduous broadleaf, mixed forest sites and the WS-1 site all had a wide range in LAI values (minimum LAI generally less than 2, maximum LAI generally greater than 4); these sites all had a weaker backscatter dependence on LAI (regression slope less than about 0.6 dB per LAI unit) (Figure 2b). Among the 21 sites that had a significant correlation between MODIS LAI and SeaWinds backscatter ($p < 0.05$; see Table 1), only the SH-4 site had a negative slope (equation (1) and Figure 2b), but the relationship was weak ($R^2 = 0.24$).

[27] To evaluate whether correspondence between MODIS LAI and SeaWinds backscatter data were sufficient to discriminate either distinct growing season patterns (e.g., asymmetries, double peaks, …) or interannual differences in growing season dynamics, we used the site-level linear regressions (Table 1) to generate multiyear time series of simulated backscatter, with 95% confidence limits, from the MODIS LAI 8-day product. We selected 4 herbaceous sites and 4 woody sites with strong correlations (Figure 3: GR-1, GR-2, GR-3, SV-1, EN-2, DB-2, SH-1, and WS-1). All three grassland sites showed marked seasonal and interannual variability in radar backscatter that corresponded to variability in LAI. For GR-1, the seasonal radar backscatter curve in 2000 was relatively narrow and symmetric; in 2001 the backscatter progression rose high early and then had a lower plateau, while in the 2002 backscatter curve was broad and symmetric (Figure 3a). These basic patterns were also captured in the LAI-based estimate of the backscatter (Figure 3a). The GR-2 site had similar SeaWinds backscatter patterns in 2000 and 2001, but lower values and a less symmetric seasonal curve in 2002 (Figure 3b). The LAI-based estimate of the backscatter was less consistent between 2000 and 2001, but did have a lower and less symmetric pattern in 2002 (Figure 3b). The GR-3 site had a much higher and narrower growing season peak in backscatter in 2002 than 2000 or 2001, and this was captured in the LAI-based estimate of the backscatter (Figure 3c). The spring-time rise in backscatter at the SV-1 site was much more rapid than the rise in the LAI-based estimate of the backscatter in 2000 and 2001, but more similar in 2002 (Figure 3d).

[28] For the EN-2 site, the thawed season backscatter signal was much smaller than the signal during winter, but there was some difference in the growing season backscatter progression between the 3 years, that also corresponded to LAI seasonal and interannual variability (Figure 3e). At the DB-2 site, the backscatter curve in the summers of 2000 and 2001 was broad and flat, while in 2002 it dropped off in late August and then had about a 6-week plateau in the autumn. The LAI-based estimate of the backscatter also had broad peaks but did not have the drop in 2002 (Figure 3f). The SH-1 site had low backscatter and LAI values. The March-

Figure 2. (a) Scatterplot of growing season 8-day composite $\sigma^0$ versus 8-day composite LAI for all sites and all years, aggregated by vegetation class. (b) Linear regression lines (see equation (1) in text) for each site that had a regression $p$ value less than 0.05 (i.e., excluding sites EB-1, EB-2, EB-3, EB-4, WS-2, and SH-3). See Table 1 for site locations and site-level linear regression statistics. Note that all sites are 50 km $\times$ 50 km.
April peak was small in 2001 in both SeaWinds backscatter and the LAI-based estimate of the backscatter (Figure 3g). SeaWinds backscatter showed a steady increase from April through November 2001 that did not emerge in the LAI-based estimate of the backscatter (Figure 3g). For the WS-1 site, both SeaWinds backscatter and the LAI-based estimate of the backscatter had broad peaks from April through November, but the LAI-based estimate of the backscatter tended to drop off more during this period than the SeaWinds backscatter (Figure 3h).

For the SH-4 and WS-2 sites, there was a significant phase shift between the MODIS LAI seasonal signal and the SeaWinds backscatter season signal. The SH-4 site is in central Nevada, USA, and had very low LAI values (maximum <0.7) with narrow peaks (~1–2 months) occurring in May and June of each year (Figure 4a). SeaWinds backscatter for SH-4 had a much broader peak (4–6 months) and backscatter increased each year as LAI was dropping from peak values (Figure 4a). This led to a negative slope in the associated linear regression relationship for these variables (Table 1 and Figure 2b). The WS-2 site is in eastern Queensland, Australia, and had low to moderate LAI values (0.8–1.7) with a relatively broad peak from April–June (austral autumn) (Figure 4b). SeaWinds backscatter for WS-2 showed a similar pattern, but led the peak in LAI by several months with peak seasonal backscatter occurring from January–February (Figure 4b) during the climatological wet season [Legates and Willmott, 1990]. The resulting regression analysis of this site showed no significant relationship between SeaWinds backscatter and LAI (Table 1).

The phenological timing curve fit (equation (2), section 2.1.5) successfully identified dates for the midpoint in the spring green-up and fall senescence of both SeaWinds backscatter and MODIS LAI for all 3 years at eight sites (Figure 5), and for none of the growing seasons at five sites (all EB sites and SH-2). At five sites, the curve fitting routine failed to converge for one or more, but not all,
seasons for both SeaWinds backscatter and MODIS LAI (GR-2, SH-3, SH-4, SV-2, and WS-2). Finally, for nine sites, the curve fitting routine failed to converge for one or more, but not all, seasons with the SeaWinds backscatter data, but identified dates for all seasons with MODIS LAI data (DN-1, EN-1, EN-3, EN-4, GR-1, GR-4, MF-1, MF-4, and SH-1). Overall, the curve fitting was more successful with LAI data than with backscatter data. Sites with successful fits generally had both a relatively high maximum LAI and a moderate to strong correlation between SeaWinds backscatter and MODIS LAI (Table 1).

At the eight sites for which the phenological timing curve fit always identified a date, the timing of the fall senescence was generally similar for both SeaWinds backscatter and MODIS LAI (Figure 5). However, the timing of the spring response of backscatter and LAI was approximately equivalent in all 3 years for only one site (WS-1); for the other sites the SeaWinds backscatter signal generally rose earlier than the MODIS LAI (Figure 5). At the other 14 sites where some but not all dates were identified, the timing of spring leaf-out derived from SeaWinds backscatter data occurred earlier more often than the timing identified from MODIS LAI. No clear pattern emerged in the comparison of fall senescence timing at these 14 sites. In general, there was a wider 95% confidence interval for the timing of the midpoint in spring green-up or fall senescence for SeaWinds backscatter than for MODIS LAI (Figure 5).

3.2. Theoretical Backscatter Modeling Results

Grassland backscatter was most sensitive to soil moisture when LAI was low (Figure 6a). As leaf moisture and LAI increase, the grass canopy became a more dominant contributor to backscatter and backscatter sensitivity to soil moisture and to LAI itself diminished. Although backscatter was not sensitive to increasing LAI above about 4 $m^2 m^{-2}$, backscatter sensitivity to leaf moisture was still apparent at high values of LAI.

For each tree canopy, backscatter was simulated for high and low values of dielectric constant ($\varepsilon$) of the woody constituents (trunks and branches), representing respective high and low wood moisture levels (Figure 6b). Dry soil conditions and constant values for leaf moisture were applied in each case. The low biomass needleleaf canopy (black spruce) exhibits the highest backscatter sensitivity to LAI, and the lowest backscatter sensitivity to the dielectric constant of the woody constituents. Comparing this to the white spruce and balsam poplar canopy simulations, it is apparent that as the amount of woody biomass increases, sensitivity to LAI decreases while sensitivity to woody constituent dielectric constant increases. As was the case for the grassland canopy, within a given structural class and with LAI above about 4 $m^2 m^{-2}$, most backscatter sensitivity is to moisture change in the vegetation (woody or leafy constituents) rather than to structural changes related directly to increasing LAI. The difference in backscatter behavior between the white spruce and balsam poplar canopies demonstrate the sensitivity of backscatter to vegetation branch structure.

3.3. Regional Analysis Results

There were 829,709 land grid cells (~4.5-km resolution) within the North American domain. Approximately 91 percent of the domain (754,269 grid cells) showed statistically valid ($P < 0.05$) relationships between MODIS-derived LAI and SeaWinds backscatter growing season dynamics. Regional variability between MODIS LAI and SeaWinds backscatter dynamics appeared to follow general land cover patterns indicated by the MODIS land cover classification. There was a reasonably strong correlation ($R > -0.75$) between growing season LAI and $\sigma^o$ across the eastern and central United States, southeastern Canada, and the Canadian prairies (Figure 7a). These regions are dominated in the MODIS land cover classification by cropland, deciduous broadleaf forest, cropland/other vegetation mosaic, and mixed forest (Figure 7b). Correlations were generally quite low ($R < -0.4$) for the moun-
tainous and western United States and Canada, boreal and arctic Canada, and most of northern Mexico (Figure 7a); these regions are dominated by evergreen needleleaf forest, closed and open shrubland, woody savanna, barren, and permanent wetland land cover types (Figure 7b). Grasslands showed intermediate and wider ranging correlations ($R^{2}$ 0.1–0.9) (Figures 7a and 7b).

To evaluate the correlation statistics, we reprocessed the land cover data to select only those 4.5 km grid cells having a single dominant land cover in their contributing MODIS pixels. We first screened out those pixels that did not pass the land cover quality control in the MODIS land cover product. We then screened out those pixels that had an IGBP land cover quality assessment of less than 50% (<35% for mixed forest and crop/natural vegetation mosaic) (M. Friedl, Boston University, personal communication). We then reprojected and aggregated the remaining 1-km land cover pixels to the SeaWinds data grid, and set a minimum threshold requiring that >50% of contributing pixels were of the dominant land cover class (i.e., we excluded grid cells that had heterogeneous land cover). Finally, we screened out grid cell 8-day LAI composites that had only 5 or fewer of the ~20 potential pixels with good LAI values. In some cases, this restriction meant that there were fewer than twenty 8-day pairs of LAI and $\sigma^o$ data over the three growing seasons; in those cases no correlation was calculated. This reduced the domain to 517,686 grid cells. We then evaluated the grid cell correlations from each land cover class. Cropland, deciduous broadleaf forest, and cropland/natural vegetation mosaic all cover a significant portion of North America and all had mean and median grid cell correlations between $\sigma^o$ and LAI of about 0.75 (Table 2), and most correlations were greater than 0.7 (Figure 8). Mixed forests had a broad range of grid cell correlations (Figure 8) with a mean of about 0.75 (Table 2). Grassland grid cells had more low than high correlations (Figure 8), with a mean of about 0.5. Woody savanna, evergreen needleleaf, and open shrubland all had generally low grid cell correlations between $\sigma^o$ and LAI, with median $R^2$ values less than 0.3. Several land cover classes have only a small presence (<1%) in the MODIS land cover classification of North America: snow/ice, deciduous needleleaf forest, permanent wetland, evergreen broadleaf forest, closed shrubland, and urban/built-up (Table 2). These grid cells generally had low or highly variable correlations (Figure 8).

For the broadleaf deciduous classes (deciduous broadleaf forest, cropland, cropland/natural vegetation mosaic, and grassland), higher correlations between SeaWinds backscatter and MODIS LAI ($R > 0.75$) were common for grid cells that had a relatively high growing season range in LAI ($\text{LAI}_{\text{max}} - \text{LAI}_{\text{min}} > 3$ $\text{m}^2 \text{m}^{-2}$), while low correlations ($R < 0.7$) were common for grid cells with a lower growing season range in LAI ($\text{LAI}_{\text{max}} - \text{LAI}_{\text{min}} < 2$ $\text{m}^2 \text{m}^{-2}$) (Figure 9).

4. Discussion

Both SeaWinds radar backscatter and MODIS optical/NIR-derived LAI arise from the interaction of electromagnetic radiation with vegetation-soil medium. However, the spectral wavelengths of these sensors differ by a factor of $\sim$10$^5$, and thus their responses to land surface biophysics are very different. From a land cover–vegetation point of view, optical/NIR radiation interacts primarily with surface chemical composition through vibrational and electronic energy transition processes, while microwave radiation interacts more directly with surface structural properties through the dielectric properties of materials [Elachi, 1987]. The microwave dielectric constant is sensitive to molecular polarization and rotation. Since water is a polar molecule, the microwave dielectric constant is highly responsive to the amount and state of water in the material. Optical/NIR sensors are sensitive to photosynthetic leaf area associated with vegetation color, while radar sensors are sensitive to canopy structure (e.g., biomass, leaf and stem size, orientation and density) and moisture, and to soil roughness and wetness.
The strong correspondence between SeaWinds backscatter and MODIS LAI at three grassland sites and at one savanna, one deciduous broadleaf, one woody savanna, one shrubland, and one evergreen needleleaf site were similar to the correspondence Hardin and Jackson [2003] observed between monthly mean SeaWinds backscatter and an AVHRR-based NDVI for savanna sites in South America. We found that correlations between leaf area and radar backscatter were generally stronger for deciduous vegetation sites (i.e., grassland and savanna, deciduous broadleaf forest but not deciduous needleleaf forest) than for evergreen vegetation sites (i.e., evergreen broadleaf and needleleaf forests). Mixed forest sites were intermediate in correlation, and the shrubland and woody shrubland site correlations were highly variable. Although Frison and Mougin [1996] did not quantify correlations, they saw similar patterns of variability in correlation between ERS C-band backscatter and AVHRR GVI. Seasonal temperate forests, grasslands, savannas, and croplands generally had covarying signals, while tropical forests had little variability. Frison and Mougin [1996] also had one site, grassland with palms in Argentina, that had a significant phase shift between the GVI and backscatter signals.

[39] There were no strong patterns in site-level correlations when evaluated with respect to longitude, maximum growing season LAI, minimum growing season LAI, or LAI dynamic range (growing season maximum minus minimum). For the northern temperate/boreal region (35°–65°N) there was a moderate tendency to decreasing correlation with increasing latitude; the high-latitude sites were predominantly evergreen needleleaf or mixed forests, which had lower correlations than deciduous forests or grasslands. There was moderate tendency toward increasing correlation between backscatter and LAI as the growing season backscatter range (maximum minus minimum in dB) increased; this range was highest for grassland sites (Table 1).

Figure 6. Modeled Ku-band VV-polarized backscatter at 54° incidence angle for (a) a grassland canopy with 3 cm diameter leaves and (b) broadleaf deciduous (balsam poplar), high-biomass needleleaf (white spruce) and low-biomass needleleaf (black spruce) canopies. Grassland backscatter is shown for dry and moist soil conditions and for two different leaf water content conditions. Leaf Mg equals water content by weight. Results for each tree canopy are derived for high and low values of the woody component dielectric constant (high ε, low ε). Canopy structural parameters were based on previous multiseason modeling analyses [Way et al., 1994]. Simulations were with the MIMICS model [Ulaby et al., 1990].

Figure 7. (a) Pearson’s correlation coefficient (R) between growing season 8-day composite MODIS LAI and SeaWinds Ku band backscatter for January 2000 through August 2002 for each ~4.5-km pixel in the domain. The growing season for each pixel for each year was determined from the ERA-40 reanalysis temperatures (see section 2.3.3). (b) Dominant land cover for each ~4.5-km pixel in the domain, based on the 1-km MODIS land cover product MOD12Q1 (v.4), using the IGBP land cover legend (see section 2.3.2).
Although vegetation canopies consist of more complex structures than have been incorporated in the theoretical backscatter model (e.g., heterogeneous mixtures of vegetation types and species, forest understory vegetation), MIMICS simulations demonstrate important relationships between backscatter and canopy leaf area. Model and satellite results both had a stronger sensitivity of backscatter to LAI for low LAI grassland sites (Figures 2b and 5a), while sensitivities were weaker for forest landscapes (Figures 2a and 5a). Black spruce simulations had high sensitivity to LAI at low LAI values, but black spruce needles typically have a ~10-year retention time [Hom and Oechel, 1983] so actual black spruce seasonal LAI variability is quite low. This is consistent with SeaWinds observations of relatively low growing season variability (~1 dB) in evergreen needleleaf forest backscatter.

For sites with sparse vegetation (arid sites, but perhaps also cold, high-latitude sites), the backscatter signal may be driven as much or more by changes in soil moisture as by changes in vegetation canopy biomass [e.g., Wagner and Scipal, 2000; Wagner et al., 1999a; Woodhouse and Hoekman, 2000]. For example, in the dry landscapes of the Sahel, Magagi and Kerr [1997] combined optical (AVHRR)
and microwave (ERS C-band scatterometer) data to model the effect of vegetation on backscatter for soil moisture retrievals. Vegetation in the region is a mix of natural and agricultural (savanna, shrubland, millet, and fallow) and never completely covers the soil. They concluded that for incidence angles of 40–46°, vegetation and soil contributions to the backscatter were comparable during the wet season when the vegetation was at maximum biomass. Our MIMICS simulations also showed strong backscatter sensitivity to soil moisture at low LAI for nonwoody vegetation (Figure 5a). Soil moisture effects could be responsible for some of the seasonality observed at sites and in regions with low LAI. The observed phase shift between LAI and backscatter peaks at two dry sites (SH-4 and WS-2 in Figure 4), may be due differences in the seasonal dynamics of soil moisture, vegetation moisture, and the canopy LAI. A counterargument to that explanation is that the observed seasonal backscatter signal is an increase that is sustained for several months; if soils are relatively wet for months, vegetation generally will grow and LAI dynamics should reflect this.

Although there was variability in both backscatter and LAI for the evergreen broadleaf forest sites (tropical rain forests), there was no correlation between the two signals. Seasonal LAI variability for these sites was generally small (i.e., ±1 LAI unit) relative to mean LAI values (∼5 m² m⁻²). Backscatter seasonal variability was also smaller (∼1 dB) for evergreen broadleaf forests than most other sites, while backscatter itself (generally −8 to −9 dB) was higher than all other vegetation types (generally −10 to −20 dB). Woodhouse et al. [1999] saw seasonal variation in ERS C-band backscatter over 10 months for a single tropical rain forest site in Guyana, which they correlated to local precipitation. However, the seasonal backscatter variation was only 0.4 dB, and with only a single site analyzed, there was no indication if this pattern would be detectable at larger scales.

Extracting regional-scale phenological timing (spring leaf flush and fall senescence) has been done with optical remote sensing for boreal and temperate forests [e.g., Zhang et al., 2003, 2004; Jenkins et al., 2002; White et al., 1997] and for wet-dry seasonal landscapes [e.g., Davenport and Nicholson, 1993]. We are not aware of any previous attempts to assess seasonal vegetation phenology with microwave backscatter data. The earlier onset of the increase in backscatter relative to LAI at many sites (Figure 5) implies that the springtime backscatter signal is responding to a different characteristic of the land surface than the optical signal. On the basis of the MIMICS simulations, the observed earlier springtime rise in backscatter relative to the rise in LAI in woody vegetation may be caused by a springtime increase in the dielectric constant of trunks and branches, driven by an increase in the associated liquid water content of these components that precedes leafout. For nonwoody vegetation, this effect could be associated with a springtime increase in surface moisture preceding significant vegetative growth. The strong link between available liquid water and vegetative growth makes it difficult, and perhaps less important, to separate the two effects. Soil moisture, however, can vary on short timescales relative to the vegetation canopy [e.g., Wagner and Scipal, 2000]. Furthermore, vegetation dielectric has been observed to increase substantially shortly after rainfall events [McDonald et al., 1999, 2002]. Thus it may be that under some conditions short-term changes driven by episodic precipitation (e.g., convective storms) will influence backscatter variability but not LAI. This, along with freeze-thaw sensitivity of the radar backscatter, may account for the wider confidence intervals for SeaWinds phenological timing, particularly for temperate/boreal forest sites (Figure 5).

In the regional analysis, deciduous broadleaf vegetation grid cells that have a significant range in LAI over the growing season (crops, deciduous trees, crop/other mosaic, some grasslands) consistently have good correlations between growing season LAI and backscatter time series, implying that backscatter responds to growing season canopy dynamics of these vegetation types in a predictable and robust way. As the landscape becomes more evergreen (mixed and needleleaf forests in the north and west; subtropical vegetation along the Gulf coast) or more arid (open shrubland, arid grassland, and barren land in the west and southwest) the correlations drop quickly to R < 0.4. For these regions, either the seasonal variability in the signal (LAI or backscatter) is not large relative to higher frequency variability (perhaps because of moisture variability for SeaWinds and atmospheric variability for MODIS), or the seasonal signals are not in phase. However, Frolking et al. [2005] found that strong correlations for 10³–10⁴ km² regions of semiarid grasslands in southern Alberta, eastern Colorado, and the western Dakotas, was due to growing
season LAI and backscatter covarying interannually, driven by interannual variability in precipitation.

5. Conclusions

[45] Site-level and continental-scale analyses of SeaWinds radar backscatter and MODIS LAI data for nonfrozen periods from 2000 to 2002 have demonstrated that SeaWinds backscatter responds to growing season canopy dynamics in a manner often similar, but not identical, to the response of LAI derived from the MODIS optical/NIR instrument. Both data sets show a clear growing season signal for most deciduous broadleaf vegetation. Several lines of evidence support this conclusion:

[46] 1. The growing season SeaWinds backscatter and MODIS LAI time series (2000–2002) for 50 km × 50 km sites with seasonally deciduous broadleaf vegetation were significantly correlated for sites around the world (R^2 ~ 0.25–0.82; Table 1 and Figure 3).

[47] 2. There were large, spatially coherent patterns in the correlation between thawed season backscatter and LAI across North America, and these were very similar to spatial patterns of MODIS-derived land cover (Figure 7).

[48] 3. Forward modeling of VV-polarized 14 GHz microwave backscatter for several vegetation types with a range of LAI values shows that observed patterns of SeaWinds backscatter response to growing season canopy dynamics are generally consistent with scattering theory (Figures 2 and 6).

[49] 4. Phenological curve-fitting to SeaWinds backscatter and MODIS LAI time series had similar degrees of success. The specified onset of the growing season arc generally occurred earlier for the SeaWinds instrument than the MODIS instrument (Figure 5). This may be associated with a general wetting of soil and woody tissues, which generates a backscatter response, before significant foliar growth, which generates a MODIS LAI response.

[50] 5. In addition, Frolik et al. (2005) found similar spatial and temporal patterns in interannual variability (2000–2004) of mid-growing season SeaWinds backscatter and MODIS LAI across North America; grasslands of the western Great Plains had the largest variability and that variability was consistent with variability in precipitation.

[51] Optical/NIR and microwave remote sensing instruments are sensitive to somewhat different, though often related, surface characteristics, and therefore do not detect identical dynamics in vegetation/surface seasonality. The standard view of vegetation phenology is the seasonal trajectory of photosynthetic biomass (i.e., LAI). The seasonality of the surface characteristics that influence microwave backscatter (canopy structure and mass and the amount and phase of water in the vegetation and soil) often parallels LAI seasonality, and reveals different, but potentially complimentary information about the phenology signal.

[52] Optical/NIR and microwave remote sensing provide completely independent measures of vegetation/land surface and its seasonal dynamics. Active microwave remote sensing is less compromised by variability in the atmosphere (e.g., clouds, smoke and haze [Vermote et al., 2002; Friedl et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2005]), and has higher temporal resolution. Optical/NIR remote sensing is less compromised by short-term variability in surface moisture content, and has higher spatial resolution. Identifying large, spatially coherent regions with high correlation in the seasonality of coincident MODIS and SeaWinds remote sensing observations indicate regions where the surface properties covary, increasing confidence in the interpretation of both data sets. Large, spatially coherent regions with low correlation invite further analysis. In some cases (e.g., evergreen broadleaf vegetation), neither instrument detects much seasonality, and the lack of correlation is to be expected, as the high frequency variability of each instrument has different causes. In cases where both instruments detect seasonality, they are both measuring a real variability in surface characteristics, and it needs to be understood why these characteristics do not covary. A more complete picture of the phenology of the land surface may be found through a combined analysis of the optical/NIR and microwave data.

[53] Acknowledgments. This study was supported by NASA’s Terrestrial Ecology Program through grant NAG5-11256 and by the NASA Interdisciplinary Science Program through grant N04GH75G. Resolution-enhanced SeaWinds backscatter data were provided through the NASA SeaWinds Pathfinder project at Brigham Young University (http://www.scp.byu.edu). Portions of this work were carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with NASA. Three anonymous reviewers provided careful reading and helpful comments that improved the manuscript.

References


Goward, S. N., C. J. Tucker, and D. G. Dye (1985), North American vegetation patterns observed with the NOAA advanced very high resolution radiometer, Vegetatio, 64, 3–14.


Hom, J. L., and W. C. Oechel (1983), The photosynthetic capacity, nutrient content, and nutrient use efficiency of different needle age-classes of