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The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), charged with protecting human health and the environment through regulations based on laws passed by Congress, was proposed and signed by Republican President Richard Nixon in 1970. Other landmark environmental legislation of the 1960s, 70s, and 80s that enjoyed bipartisan support includes the Endangered Species Act (1973), the Clean Water Act (1977), and the Clean Air Act (1963, expanded in 1970, 1977, and 1990). These laws and others were advanced by Democratic and Republican administrations alike.

More recently, however, partisan divisions on environmental protection have widened, with Republican leaders frequently in opposition. This opposition took a strong form in the 2016 presidential campaign, when Republican Donald Trump called for abolishing the EPA and eliminating many environmental regulations. After taking office he seemed to moderate his position on abolishing the EPA, but he nominated as director someone who has sued the agency to halt its enforcement activities. In Congress, some Republicans have introduced bills to terminate the EPA, or restrict its capabilities for monitoring, enforcement, and research.

Does public opinion now mirror the stark party-line divisions among political leaders? To find out, we placed a question on the Granite State Poll, a quarterly random-sample telephone survey. Although this poll focuses on New Hampshire residents, previous studies have found that their responses to environmental questions often resemble those on nationwide surveys. For this particular poll, interviews with 505 people took place between January 31 and February 8, 2017.

During his presidential campaign, Donald Trump called for abolishing the Environmental Protection Agency, and eliminating or reducing many U.S. environmental rules. Do you agree that environmental protection rules generally should be reduced? Or do you think that environmental protections instead should be strengthened? Or left as they are?

Figure 1 charts the responses. Only 20 percent of the survey respondents favor such reductions. Twenty-four percent prefer things as they are, while 49 percent say environmental protections should actually be made stronger. Thus, the political drive to reduce environmental protection appears to have little backing among the general public.
But who holds these different views? Figure 2 breaks responses down by age, gender, education, and politics. All age groups favor maintaining or strengthening environmental protection; this sentiment is strongest (81 to 84 percent) among younger adults age 18 to 39. Majorities of both men and women, but significantly more women (82 vs. 63 percent), support environmental protection. There are modest, nonsignificant differences by education: support for environmental protection is somewhat lower among those with a high school education or less, although it is still a substantial majority (68 percent) even in that group. Political differences, on the other hand, are wide. Very large majorities (85 to 96 percent) of self-identified liberals and moderates, and of Democrats and Independents, say that environmental rules should be kept the same or made stronger. Majorities (59 to 62 percent) of moderate conservatives and non-Tea Party Republicans also hold this view. Only among respondents who self-identify as most conservative, or as Tea Party supporters, does support for environmental protection fall short of a majority (33 to 40 percent).

Overall, 43 percent of the respondents on this survey say they approve, and 48 percent disapprove, of the way Donald Trump is handling his job as president (9 percent express no opinion). Among those who approve of President Trump, almost half think that environmental protection rules should be kept as they are or strengthened. Sixty percent of those giving no opinion about the president, and 99 percent of those who express disapproval, support maintaining or strengthening environmental protection. Environmental issues received relatively little attention during the campaign, but a disjunction between public opinion and political leadership could become more salient now that the election is past, as policy choices come into focus.

**Endnotes**


3. The Granite State Poll is conducted by the Survey Center at the University of New Hampshire. For this winter 2017 poll, the response rate was 25 percent.
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