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Executive Summary

Two protozoan pathogens, *Haplosporidium nelsoni* (MSX) and *Perkinsus marinus* (Dermo) are known to be present in Great Bay oysters. With funds provided by the New Hampshire Estuaries Project (NHEP), the Marine Fisheries Division of New Hampshire Fish and Game Department, (NHF&G) continues to assess the presence and intensity of both disease conditions in oysters from the major beds, some open for harvest, within the Great Bay estuarine system. Histological examination of Great Bay oysters has also revealed other endoparasites.

Introduction

The American oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*, may be invaded by a variety of parasites. Two particularly damaging protozoan parasites, *Haplosporidium nelsoni* (MSX) and *Perkinsus marinus* (Dermo), have caused widespread high mortalities along the Southern and Middle Atlantic Coast and are now found in New Hampshire waters.

MSX was first recognized as a serious oyster pathogen in Delaware Bay in 1957 (Haskin and Andrews, 1988). It has since spread to the degree that it now is reported from Florida to Maine. The presence of MSX in New England was first noted in 1960 from oysters taken at Milford, Connecticut (Sindermann and Rosenfield, 1967). In 1967, oysters from Wellfleet, Massachusetts were found to contain MSX ((Krantz et al, 1972). The presence of MSX in the Piscataqua River oysters was first established in 1983 although unspeciated haplosporidian plasmodia were seen by Maine Department of Marine Resource scientists in 1979 (S. Sherburne, Maine Department of Marine Resources, per com.). Following this, MSX is not recorded again until 1994 when a Maine based aquaculture operation, Spinney Creek Shellfish, Inc., found Piscataqua River specimens contained MSX. Oysters from these same beds were examined a year later (1995) and again MSX was found, this time in higher prevalence than the previous year (Ken LaValley, Spinney Creek Shellfish, Inc., per com.).

In response to the Spinney Creek Shellfish, Inc. test results and to anecdotal information from New Hampshire recreational oyster harvesters of many boxed and/or gaping oysters, three major New Hampshire Great Bay beds were sampled and tested in 1995.. This initial histological examination of samples was done by Dr. Bruce Barber, University of Maine. In later years, tests have been done by the Haskins Shellfish Research Laboratory. Results of all MSX tests are covered below.

Dermo has spread from South and Middle Atlantic sources up the coast and into the Gulf of Maine during the past three decades. North of Chesapeake Bay, cold waters are believed to act as a controlling factor that prevents year-round persistence of Dermo, making its virulence to oysters in New England waters probably minor compared to MSX. Dermo was first demonstrated to be present in the Great Bay system in 1996. Oysters from Spinney Creek, a small tidal pond off the Piscataqua River, were seen to harbor Dermo when examined by University of Maryland scientists. Following this, samples were taken from Great Bay and the Piscataqua River, and these showed Dermo-like particles. Dermo tests from Great Bay system specimens will be reviewed in greater detail below.
Project Goals and Objectives

It appears, based on recent oyster abundance monitoring and from the information gleaned by survey of oyster harvesters, that the last decade and a half has been a period of reduced oyster abundance and harvest decline. It is highly likely the presence of both MSX and Dermo has contributed significantly to recent declines in the Great Bay oyster stock. It is important to maintain some surveillance of these disease conditions as the presence or absence of such potentially damaging pathogens may help explain future oyster abundance variability. The objective of this study is to monitor the presence of MSX and Dermo in Great Bay oysters.

Methods

In the fall of 2005, oysters were collected from Oyster River, Adams Point, Woodman Point and Squamscott River. Oysters sampled were 65mm shell height or greater and consisted of 20 individuals per location. Also in the sample lots sent to the Rutgers Lab were extra oysters requested for examination of a previously undescribed xenoma caused by intracellular ciliates. Collected oysters were cleaned of attached epifauna and shipped to Rutgers University, Haskins Shellfish Research Laboratory, for testing.

MSX determinations were accomplished by tissue section histology. They were processed using standard techniques and examined microscopically for pathological conditions or parasites, particularly MSX. Dermo testing involved the standard Ray’s fluid thioglycollate medium incubation of rectal and mantle tissues.

Results and Discussion

The results of all recent tests for MSX, 1995 to present, are shown in Table 1. Dermo results for the past ten years of testing are shown in Table 2.

The MSX results in general, over the eleven years of testing, show a widespread distribution of infection throughout the Great Bay system. Levels of prevalence vary site to site and within sites over time. It appears, based on early test results, that the Piscataqua River area was most severely impacted by the 1995 epizootic (Barber et al 1997). Systemic infections in the upper reaches of the Piscataqua River and Salmon Falls River ranged from 25% to 50% compared to generally lower values in Great Bay proper (Table 1.). An exception to this general pattern is shown in the 1997 Nannie Island data that show relatively high values for both numbers infected and number of systemic infections. The year 2005 tests show a near absence of the more lethal systemic infections except at Squamscott River, where 5% of the sample (one oyster) showed an advanced infection. For now, it is clear that MSX still exists in the Great Bay system.

Early Dermo results show the presence of Perkinsus-like particles at all locations sampled except for Seal Rock, Fox Point and Bellamy River. All except the Sturgeon Bed and Piscataqua River sites were light infections that appeared to show low prevalence. Over the past
few years, DERMO appears to be increasing in its presence. The year 2005 Dermo results show the presence of this pathogen at all sampled sites with very high prevalence at Adams Point and Woodman Point.

Early Dermo results show the presence of Perkinsus-like particles at all locations sampled except for Seal Rock, Fox Point and Bellamy River. All except the Sturgeon Bed and Piscataqua River sites were light infections that appeared to show low prevalence. Over the past few years, Dermo appears to be increasing in its presence. The year 2005 Dermo results show this pathogen at all sampled sites with very high prevalence at Adams Point and Woodman Point.

With the 2005 test information now available one can conclude that Dermo is still present in the Great Bay system. The levels of infection vary, however, with only minor presence of Dermo at Squamscott River but more infected oysters at the other three sites.

The tissue examination of Great Bay oysters has produced one very interesting incidental finding. Large ciliate xenomas are now being observed in the gills of the tissue cross sections. Over the past few years, the presence of xenomas has received increased attention. A review of earlier tissue samples for Great Bay shows that they have been seen since the examinations in the late 1990s, but their numbers have increased since 2000. All oysters sampled except the imported stock and natives at Salmon Falls River show this parasitic condition. For the year 2005, percentages of xenoma prevalence varies from a high of 60% (12 of 20) to 20% (4 of 20) for Woodman Point and Squamscott River respectively.

**Conclusions**

Evidence of a large scale oyster mortality within Great Bay Estuary first gained regional attention in the fall of 1995. This prompted examination of oyster from several New Hampshire oyster beds. Results of these examinations focused on the presence of *Haplosporidium nelsoni* (MSX), an oyster pathogen well known to the middle Atlantic area oyster grounds as a cause of epizootics.

During this same time, the Piscataqua and Salmon Falls River beds in Maine waters were the sites of similar oyster MSX mortality (Ken LaValley, Spinney Creek Shellfish, Inc., per. com.). The 1995 Great Bay Estuary MSX epizootic caused over 80% mortality in the areas most affected (Barber et al 1997). Highest mortalities were found in the Piscataqua and Salmon Falls Rivers. Other areas in the estuary did not appear to be as infected.

It is important to note that no testing specific for Dermo was done immediately following the reported fall 1995 oyster mortality.

In 1996 spring testing at the major New Hampshire recreational oystering beds; Nannie Island and Adams Point, showed no systemic infections of MSX. The 1996 season did not result in oyster mortalities of the type observed in the previous year. In recent years, monies from NHEP have been received to support a more expansive testing program for both MSX and Dermo.
Based on tests performed annually since 1995, we know two protozoan parasites (i.e., MSX and Dermo) are now widely distributed within the Great Bay oyster stock. Severity of infection and prevalence vary from site to site and over time at a specific site. We also know a ciliated protozoan is forming intracellular xenomas of a size previously unseen along the Atlantic coast. Little is known of the pathogenicity of this condition. Despite the presence of these protozoan parasites, there has been no observable large scale mortality of oysters since the 1995 event.

The year 2005 oyster tests show continued presence of MSX in Great Bay. Dermo was seen for the fourth successive year after a near five year absence in oysters. Also present but of unknown pathogenicity are ciliate produced xenomas in gill tissue.

**Recommendations**

- This testing program should continue with samples from major oyster beds within the Great Bay system.

- Movement of oysters from bed to bed within the Great Bay system should be discouraged as it may lead to distribution of infective stages of Dermo. MSX is not yet known to be transmitted oyster to oyster but lacking clear evidence of the exact means of transmission, it still seems prudent to discourage oyster movement throughout the area.

- The effect of ciliate xenomas should be further studied.
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Table 1. MSX Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>No. Tested</th>
<th>No. Infected</th>
<th>No. Systemic Infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/05/95</td>
<td>Piscataqua River (Summer Bed)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18 (72%)</td>
<td>10 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/27/95</td>
<td>Salmon Falls</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13 (81%)</td>
<td>8 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/27/95</td>
<td>Piscataqua River (Summer Bed)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14 (70%)</td>
<td>5 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/27/95</td>
<td>Sturgeon Bed</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13 (65%)</td>
<td>8 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/27/95</td>
<td>Stacy Bed (Seal Rock)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9 (45%)</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/06/95</td>
<td>Adams Point</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8 (40%)</td>
<td>3 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/06/95</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3 (15%)</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/18/95</td>
<td>Oyster River</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10 (50%)</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/12/96</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3 (10%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/27/96</td>
<td>Adams Pt.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/27/96</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/17/97</td>
<td>Fox Pt.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5 (16.6%)</td>
<td>1 (3.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/08/97</td>
<td>Bellamy River</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10 (40%)</td>
<td>2 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/08/97</td>
<td>Squamscott River</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11 (44%)</td>
<td>5 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/17/97</td>
<td>Adams Point</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10 (40%)</td>
<td>5 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/17/97</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13 (52%)</td>
<td>7 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/17/97</td>
<td>Oyster River</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9 (36%)</td>
<td>2 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/17/97</td>
<td>Piscataqua River</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15 (60%)</td>
<td>5 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/9/98</td>
<td>Adams Point</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7 (28%)</td>
<td>2 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/9/98</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11 (44%)</td>
<td>2 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/9/98</td>
<td>Squamscott River</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17 (68%)</td>
<td>7 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/9/98</td>
<td>Piscataqua River</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7 (39%)</td>
<td>3 (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/21/99</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7 (35%)</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/4/00</td>
<td>Piscataqua River</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td>3 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/4/00</td>
<td>Adams Point</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7 (35%)</td>
<td>5 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/4/00</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td>5 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/15/00</td>
<td>Oyster River</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7 (35%)</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/10/01</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5 (21%)</td>
<td>4 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/18/01</td>
<td>Salmon Falls - disease resistant</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/18/01</td>
<td>Salmon Falls - native</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9 (43%)</td>
<td>6 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/4/01</td>
<td>Oyster River</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5 (25%)</td>
<td>4 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/4/01</td>
<td>Adams Point</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5 (25%)</td>
<td>4 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/14/02</td>
<td>Oyster River</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9 (45%)</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/14/02</td>
<td>Adams Point</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9 (45%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/20/02</td>
<td>Salmon Falls - disease resistant</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/20/02</td>
<td>Salmon Falls - natives</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5 (28%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/31/02</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9 (37%)</td>
<td>4 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/28/03</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2 (7.7%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/27/04</td>
<td>Oyster River</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6 (25%)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/18/04</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5 (29%)</td>
<td>1 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/19/04</td>
<td>Adams Point</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2 (11%)</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/19/04</td>
<td>Crommet Creek</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18 (78%)</td>
<td>9 (39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/6/05</td>
<td>Oyster River</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7 (35%)</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/14/05</td>
<td>Adams Point</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7 (35%)</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/16/05</td>
<td>Woodman Point</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/17/05</td>
<td>Squamscott River</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td>3 (15%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Presence of MSX plasmodia when found in palps and gills only are recorded as infections only. When plasmodia are found in tissue other than palps and gills (i.e. digestive gland, haemolymph, gonads) the infection is considered systemic.
2) Data from Barber et al 1997.
Table 2. Dermo Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>No. Tested</th>
<th>No. Oysters in each infection category</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/16/96</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/16/96</td>
<td>Seal Rock</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/16/96</td>
<td>Sturgeon Bed</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/17/97</td>
<td>Fox Pt.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/14/97</td>
<td>Piscataqua River</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2 2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/17/97</td>
<td>Adams Pt.</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/14/97</td>
<td>Oyster River</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/14/97</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/08/97</td>
<td>Bellamy River</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/08/97</td>
<td>Squamscott River</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/17/97</td>
<td>Adams Pt.</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/17/97</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/17/97</td>
<td>Piscataqua River</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/9/98</td>
<td>Adams Pt.</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/9/98</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/9/98</td>
<td>Squamscott River</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/9/98</td>
<td>Piscataqua River</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/21/99</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/4/00</td>
<td>Piscataqua River</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/4/00</td>
<td>Adams Pt.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/4/00</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/15/00</td>
<td>Oyster River</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/10/01</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/18/01</td>
<td>Salmon Falls (disease resistant)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/18/01</td>
<td>Salmon Falls (native)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6 5 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/4/01</td>
<td>Oyster River</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/4/01</td>
<td>Adams Point</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/14/02</td>
<td>Adams Point</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1 2 0 0 0</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/14/02</td>
<td>Oyster River</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/31/02</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/20/02</td>
<td>Salmon Falls (native)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4 2 1 1 1 2</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/20/02</td>
<td>Salmon Falls (crossbreeds)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/28/03</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2 1 0 2 0</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/27/04</td>
<td>Oyster River</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2 0 2 0 0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/18/04</td>
<td>Nannie Island</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5 2 2 1 0</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/19/04</td>
<td>Adams Point</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3 4 2 4 0</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/19/04</td>
<td>Crommet Creek</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0 1 0 1 0</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/6/05</td>
<td>Oyster River</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3 3 5 0 2</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/14/05</td>
<td>Adams Point</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6 7 3 1 1</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/16/05</td>
<td>Woodman Point</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4 4 8 2 0</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/17/05</td>
<td>Squamscott River</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0 1 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Infection categories are based on the severity of infection. Categories 0.5 to 2 are generally thought of as light or minor, whereas categories 3 to 5 are moderate to heavy and may pose an infection threat to Dermo-free oysters.
Figure 1. Oyster Sampling Stations

1 = Adams Point
2 = Nannie Island
3 = Squamscott River
4 = Fox Point
5 = Oyster River
6 = Bellamy River
8 = Sturgeon Bed
9 = Piscataqua River
10 = Salmon Falls River