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The Science Court: A Bibliography

Jon R. Cavicchi*

This list of English language references is presented in two parts. Part one focuses specifically on the “Science Court” as proposed by Professor Arthur Kantrowitz in the mid-sixties. It should serve those interested in gaining a thorough understanding of the origin and development of the proposal. Part two includes references that mention the “Science Court” incidentally. It presents a comprehensive collection of articles that casually mention the proposal in assorted contexts and should enable an etymological view of the term “science court” as it evolved (or devolved) over the past two decades.

Lexis/Nexis, WESTLAW, Dialog on WESTLAW and EPIC on-line services provided the initial pool of references. When possible, the full text of articles was reviewed for further citations. The Dialog database SCISEARCH was invaluable; it includes an international, multidisciplinary index to several thousand major journals. This allowed subject access to articles not contained in legal databases and to articles written before the coverage of full text and bibliographic legal databases (generally, before 1980). Few books were found, but those located through EPIC are included.¹
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