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First IBEX observations of the terrestrial plasma sheet and a possible disconnection event
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The Interstellar Boundary Explorer (IBEX) mission has recently provided the first all-sky maps of energetic neutral atoms (ENAs) emitted from the edge of the heliosphere as well as the first observations of ENAs from the Moon and from the magnetosheath stagnation region at the nose of the magnetosphere. This study provides the first IBEX images of the ENA emissions from the nightside magnetosphere and plasma sheet. We show images from two IBEX orbits: one that displays typical plasma sheet emissions, which correlate reasonably well with a model magnetic field, and a second that shows a significant intensification that may indicate a near-Earth (∼10 Re) behind the Earth) disconnection event. IBEX observations from ∼0.5–6 keV indicate the simultaneous addition of both a hot (several keV) and colder (∼700 eV) component during the intensification; if IBEX directly observed magnetic reconnection in the magnetotail, the hot component may signify the plasma energization.


1. Introduction

Energetic neutral atoms (ENAs) emitted from the Earth’s magnetosphere are energetic ions that neutralize by charge exchange with the cold (few eV) neutral atoms in the geocorona, which surrounds the Earth. Since the original serendipitous observations of energetic neutral atoms (ENAs) emanating from the Earth’s magnetosphere over two decades ago [Roelof, 1987], ENA observations have become increasingly important for understanding the global magnetospheric system. These observations have included high-energy ENA images from the Polar spacecraft [e.g., Henderson et al., 1997] and broad energy coverage observations from the Imager for Magnetopause-to-Aurora Global Exploration (IMAGE) mission [Burch, 2000]. IMAGE flew largely during geomagnetically active times from 2000 to 2005, and the bulk of its ENA studies focused on magnetospheric dynamics, including magnetospheric substorms [Pollock et al., 2003, and references therein] and storms [e.g., Pollock et al., 2001; Brandt et al., 2002; McComas et al., 2002; Skoug et al., 2003; Perez et al., 2004a, 2004b; DeMajistre et al., 2004; Henderson et al., 2006; Zaniewski et al., 2006].

In contrast to the single point observations from IMAGE, the Two Wide-angle Imaging Neutral-atom Spectrometers (TWINS) mission [McComas et al., 2009a] began making stereo ENA images of the magnetosphere in June 2008; these observations have continued through the deepest solar minimum of the space age. In spite of the geomagnetically quiet times, TWINS observations are contributing importantly to the understanding of small storms (minimum Dst ∼−70) [Valek et al., 2010] and bright low-altitude emissions, which paint precipitating ring current ion fluxes onto the Earth’s upper atmosphere [e.g., Bazell et al., 2010]. Simultaneous TWINS observations from widely separated vantage points have allowed inversion of the magnetospheric ion distributions, which were able to reasonably reproduce in situ ion observations measured by THEMIS, both in terms of magnitude and the observed multi-peaked radial profile [Grimes et al., 2010; J. D. Perez et al., Validation of a method for obtaining ion intensities from ENA images using data from TWINS and THEMIS, submitted to Journal of Geophysical Research, 2011]. Perez et al. (submitted manuscript, 2011) further showed that these observations can be inverted to provide accurate pitch angle distributions over the entire ring current spatial distribution. The complementary analysis technique of forward modeling from the dual TWINS vantage points was recently applied to a weak storm from 2008, producing global ion distributions whose modeled ENA images agree
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with TWINS to within about 20% (P. C. Brandt et al., Simultaneous TWINS and THEMIS observations of the spatial, spectral and pitch angle ion distributions of the ring current, submitted to Journal of Geophysical Research, 2011). TWINS observations have also been especially suitable for comparison to global magnetospheric modeling because TWINS provides essentially continuous global coverage throughout entire storm events with periodic stereo viewing intervals. For example, Buzulukova et al. [2010] used the Comprehensive Ring Current Model in combination with TWINS data to explain weak storm postmidnight enhancements. As valuable as the dual vantage point stereo imaging from TWINS is for unfolding magnetospheric ENA images, the magnetotail largely from the side and from outside the terrestrial plasma sheet and magnetotail using data from the IMAGE High Energy Neutral Atom (HENA) imager. These authors integrated all ENAs from ∼8–14 R_E and across the entire tail to find rapid decreases in integrated ENA fluxes around the time of magnetic dipolarizations, which were followed within 10–20 min by ion injections at geosynchronous orbit. These authors also found larger than expected plasma sheet ENA fluxes and suggested that exospheric densities on the nightside may be enhanced over symmetric models of the geocorona, consistent with the recent, direct observations from TWINS [Zoennchen et al., 2010].

The Interstellar Boundary Explorer (IBEX) mission (McComas et al. [2009b] and other papers in the IBEX Special Issue of Space Science Reviews) was launched 19 October 2008. IBEX has already provided the first global observations and maps of the heliosphere’s interstellar interaction [McComas et al., 2009c; Fuselier et al., 2009; Funsten et al., 2009a; Schwadron et al., 2009], first direct observations of interstellar H and O drifting in from the local interstellar medium [Möbius et al., 2009], first observations of ENAs from the Moon [McComas et al., 2009d] and first images of the Earth’s subsolar magnetosheath [Fuselier et al., 2010]. In this study we show the first images of the terrestrial plasma sheet and magnetotail using data from IBEX. In contrast to IMAGE and TWINS, IBEX views the magnetotail largely from the side and from outside the magnetosphere. Furthermore, IBEX’s huge sensitivity allows spatially and temporally resolved ENA measurements of the very low ENA fluxes from the distant tail.

2. Observations

The IBEX spacecraft rotates at ∼4 RPM with its spin axis pointed roughly toward the Sun. Figure 1 shows the geometry for Orbit 51, data from which is analyzed in detail later in the paper. At the start of each ∼7.5 day orbit, near periapsis, the spin axis is pointed slightly west of the Sun (∼1.5°). Because the spacecraft is in Earth orbit, its inertially fixed spin axis appears to drift eastward, across the Sun, and ends ∼6° east of the Sun by the next periapsis and repointing maneuver. The IBEX–Hi and –Lo sensors view perpendicular to the spin axis, collecting ENAs as a function of spin angle. This configuration provides extremely high-sensitivity ENA observations of each ∼7° wide (FWHM) swath of the sky every six months [McComas et al., 2009b].

In contrast to heliospheric observations, viewing of the magnetosphere is driven by the fact that the magnetosphere is always aligned with the sunward direction (actually aberrated ∼5° by the Earth’s orbital motion compared to the solar wind), so in an Earth-based reference frame,
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IBEX’s orbital axis appears to rotate around the Earth by
360° each year. During the winter, IBEX’s apogee is sun-
ward of the Earth and it does not view the magnetosphere
except close to perigee; in the summer, IBEX is embedded
in the magnetosphere and magnetotail throughout its orbit.
However, twice per year, IBEX moves around the flanks
of the magnetosphere on successive orbits and provides
excellent viewing of various regions of the magnetosphere.
In the spring of each year IBEX views the magnetosphere
from the dawn side, while in the fall it views the magneto-
sphere from the dusk side, as shown in Figure 1. In these
orbits, IBEX’s viewing perpendicular to its nearly Sun-
pointed spin axis provides continuous cuts through the
magnetosphere with comparatively slow variations in position
as the spacecraft moves along its orbit (e.g., the thicker
“selected data” portion of this orbit is nearly 2 days). The
IBEX sensors’ field of view (FOV) is ±3.5°FWHM, so from
a position ~45 R_E to the side (Y), the FOV instantaneously
views a swath ~5.5 R_E wide in downtail (Z) distance, and
integrates ENAs arriving from everywhere within this
region. The more distant viewing of the plasma sheet from
the side provides an excellent geometry to look for variations
in the location and thickness of the plasma sheet generally,
and thinning and disconnection events, in particular.

Figure 2. Differential fluxes of observed ENAs projected
onto the GSE X-Z plane. This image shows time-averaged
ENA fluxes in IBEX-Hi energy step 5 (2.0–3.8 keV FWHM) from 1048 UT on 5 November 2009 to 0223 UT
on 7 November 2009. Note that the instantaneous FOV of
IBEX is ~5.5 R_E wide (lower right corner), so the image
was built up as IBEX’s viewing moved slowly down the
tail. Field lines were generated with the CCMC Tsyganenko
model for the central time of the data interval covered.

[Figure 2 shows a view of the magnetosphere and
plasma sheet in ENAs from IBEX; this image was produced
with data from Orbit 52, which is nearly identical to Orbit 51,
but rotated ~7° clockwise from that shown in Figure 1.
Geomagnetic activity was extremely quiet over Orbit 52,
so it provides a good example of ENA emissions from the
expected quiet time configuration of the magnetosphere.
Both ENA images shown in this paper are from IBEX-Hi
energy step 5 with a central energy of 2.7 keV (2.0–3.8 keV
FWHM), and use triple coincidence measurements, which
have extremely low backgrounds [Funsten et al., 2009b].
The ENA emissions shown in Figure 2 are line of sight
integrated measurements of the convolution of the magneto-
spheric ion flux and geocoronal neutral density. None-
theless, they clearly "paint out" the densest portions of the
plasma sheet, largely following the modeled magnetic
structure. Images in other IBEX energy passbands are sim-
ilar and also follow the expected magnetic structure.

[10] The magnetospheric ENA emissions, and thus ion
density, show enhancements from the ring current region
inside ~6 R_E; emissions from this region are also bright
because the geocoronal density (and thus neutrals available
for charge exchange) drops off with distance from the Earth,
so ENAs are preferentially emitted from closer distances
within the IBEX FOV. In the tail, the brighter emissions
generally follow the superposed field model with the
greatest enhancements in the plasma sheet region, which
generally fills the central portion of the tail [e.g., Slavin
et al., 1985; Mukai et al., 1996]. Regions of little emis-
sion along the top and bottom of the tail in this image are the
low-density lobes, which are “open” or magnetically
connected to both the Earth and the solar wind. The cross-
tail current sheet and plasma sheet appears to be several R_E
thick, consistent with statistical studies of in situ plasma and
field observations [e.g., Kaufmann et al., 2001]. In addition,
the plasma sheet can appear thicker in ENA images for
several reasons. First, because the image comprises ENAs
generated at various positions across the plasma sheet it
averages over source regions that vary significantly as a
function of Y_GSE. Also, because of the offset of the Earth’s

Figure 3. IBEX ENA image of a possible disconnection
event at ~1020 UT on 29 October 2009, using ENAs in the
same energy range and the same projection and color bar
as in Figure 2. It is important to note that neither Figure 3
nor Figure 2 are snapshots but instead integrate ENA fluxes
as IBEX’s viewing swath (FWHM viewing width shown in
lower right corner) moved slowly down the tail: in Figure 3
averaging fluxes from 2121 UT on 27 October 2009 to
1340 UT on 29 October 2009.
magnetic dipole from its rotation axis, the plasma sheet flaps up and down over the course of each day. Hammond et al. [1994] did a statistical analysis of in situ data to examine the complicated shape and behavior of the plasma sheet and tail boundaries; these authors showed that neutral sheet is highly warped with the largest deflections in the middle of the magnetotail near times of the solstices (these images were made about halfway between equinox and solstice). Finally, since IBEX’s orbit carries it from a few R_E above to a few R_E below the equatorial plane, the plasma sheet also appears thicker due to the line of sight not being coplanar with the plasma sheet.

[11] Orbit 51 provides an even more interesting example of the power of IBEX’s side-viewing perspective of the magnetosphere. Here the ENA flux, and thus the likely plasma sheet source configuration changes significantly over the time viewed. Figure 3 shows an image of the ENA flux observed by IBEX over the interval from 2121 UT on 27 October 2009 to 1340 UT on 29 October 2009. This interval is indicated by the thicker line segment of the orbit.

**Figure 4.** (a) IBEX-Hi energy step 5 (2.0–3.8 keV FWHM) ENA counts for all of IBEX Orbit 51, with the magnetospheric emissions producing the bright band at an NEP angle of ~90°. (b–d) Shown are details from 29 October 2009, when the intensification occurred. Figure 4b gives the integrated counts over 30° in spin phase centered on the magnetotail. Figures 4c and 4d show propagated ACE solar wind parameters for this day.
labeled “selected data” in Figure 1, and represents times near apogee when IBEX was ~45 RE off the side of the Earth and moving very slowly tailward. This image was constructed in GSE coordinates like Figure 2 and uses the same color bar for differential flux. In contrast to Figure 2, however, the highest-ENA fluxes no longer generally follow the model magnetic field, but instead the spatial and temporal variation in the ENA flux are strongly suggestive of a plasma sheet disconnection event occurring somewhere in the inner magnetosphere at ~10 RE behind the Earth. Here we use the term “plasma sheet disconnection” event rather generally, based on the apparent brightening in ENAs at greater downtail distances. One of the obvious interpretations is that the plasma sheet could have been removed in the form of a plasmoid [e.g., Hones et al., 1984]. Indeed, small flux ropes plasmoids are now known to form frequently as a result of reconnection in the near tail at ~10 RE [i.e., Slavin et al., 2003] as opposed to the 20–30 RE distances where reconnection most frequently occurs [Nagai et al., 1998].

Figure 4a shows ENA counts in IBEX-Hi energy step 5 in each 96 spin (~24 min) interval, as a function of angle from the north ecliptic pole (NEP). Vertical brighter bands near the start and end of the orbit are produced by background when the spacecraft was within the magnetosphere. The rest of the orbit is largely quiet with clear magnetospheric emissions at an NEP angle centered at ~90° (prograde in the ecliptic plane). The magnetospheric ENAs drop off over time as IBEX moves slowly tailward, and the emission region viewed maps to progressively greater distances and lower geocoronal densities. However, on 29 October there is a clear intensification of the ENA flux, which indicates an episodic and significant enhancement of ENA emissions from ~10 RE back in the magnetotail.

The red box in Figure 4a highlights ENAs from the magnetotail (within ±15° centered on the GSE x axis) for 29 October; Figures 4b–4d show observations for this day only. Figure 4b provides the total counts in each ~24 min bin. Emissions dropped off to ~10 counts in ESA 5, which corresponds to a triple coincidence rate of ~0.05 Hz. Then at ~1020 UT the ENA flux abruptly rises to 2–3 times its earlier value. There are significant variations in the ENA counts between ~1020 and ~1500, which are much greater than before or after this interval and are larger than expected for Poisson statistics; these variations could indicate further dynamical processes occurring within this region of the tail on much shorter timescales. In fact, the initial intensification is largely contained within a single ~24 min sample, giving a timescale for very enhanced emissions in the IBEX FOV consistent with rapid magnetotail dynamic timescales.

Figures 4c and 4d show ACE SWEPAM and MAG data, which have been shifted to account for the propagation time from ACE at L1 to the magnetopause as a part of the OMNI data set. Figure 4c shows that the abrupt increase in ENA emissions from the tail at ~1020 UT occurred after many hours of northward Bz and a prolonged (~10 h) rotation of the Bt from +5 to ~10 nT. At the time of the intensification, the solar wind pressure was in the middle of a 2 h increase from ~1.5 to ~3 nPa.

Figure 5 provides the spectral shape of ENA emissions both before and after the intensification shown in Figures 4a and 4b. Starting with the dimmest emissions from 0100–0600 UT (red), the emissions became generally brighter from 0600–1020 UT (yellow), but retain the same spectral shape. Then, the ENA fluxes increased with the intensification (1020–1400), and decayed back to mostly preintensification levels at higher energies (>1 keV) between 1400–1800 UT (blue). The intensification at ~1020 occurred both at lower energies (<1 keV) and higher energies (2–5 keV), with little change around 1 keV. Interestingly, this event shows the simultaneous addition of both hot and cold plasma components with the hot component decaying away while the cold component persists.

3. Discussion

The event observed by IBEX on 29 October is complex and there may be alternate interpretations that could plausibly account for it. However, as visually suggested by Figure 3, the observations may show a plasma sheet disconnection event in the near-tail (roughly ~10 Re) region: possibly in the form of a plasmoid [e.g., Hones et al., 1984] or flux rope [i.e., Slavin et al., 2003]. Throughout the ENA intensification the IMF is extremely benign with northward Bz and a little convection electric field. The 3 h Kp index was no greater than one for the entire first half of the day, and Dst was near zero. All-in-all, this was an extremely quiet day and there was no obvious trigger for the intensification in ENA emissions.

An approximate factor of 2 pressure increase arrived at the magnetopause between ~0930 and 1030 UT, with the intensification coincident with the second half of this increase to within the ENA integration interval (~24 min). This external pressure increase could certainly make the amount of magnetic flux stored in the magnetotail unsustainable and may have driven the magnetotail to shed magnetic flux through a small plasma sheet disconnection event. If this is a near-Earth reconnection event that occurred
within IBEX’s FOV, then the spectral information in Figure 5 could be directly showing the particle energization via reconnection across the plasma sheet (the hotter component). In this case, the cooler component might be lower-energy plasma expanding tailward as the plasmoid disconnects and moves down the tail; it is interesting that this cooler plasma enhancement persists after the intensification while the hotter component seems to be associated only with the time of the intensification.

[18] A second possible interpretation of the ENA intensification is adiabatic acceleration of plasma sheet ions due to sudden magnetotail compression caused by an enhancement of solar wind dynamic pressure. Keika et al. [2008] showed that the time profile of the total pressure in the plasma sheet is well correlated with that of an impulsive enhancement of the solar wind dynamic pressure. Miyashita et al. [2010] reported three tail compression events, which showed an increase in ion temperature and pressure in the plasma sheet. Such adiabatic heating can intensify ENA emissions from the plasma sheet even during otherwise extremely quiet conditions, such as those surrounding this event. Furthermore, the evolution of energy spectra observed here could also be consistent with adiabatic heating/acceleration.

[19] Yet another possible interpretation is that a substorm injection could have occurred deeper in the magnetotail, beyond the distance that IBEX was viewing. Such an event might cause significantly enhanced plasma sheet densities to enter IBEX’s FOV from deeper in the tail as the magnetic field becomes more dipolar and plasma sheet material inside the X line is accelerated earthward. However, there is little evidence for an actual substorm in the geomagnetic indices. This event might also be a “pseudobreakup.” Such events may involve reconnection and current sheet acceleration, but end before the reconnection reaches lobe field lines. In that case, there might only be partial disconnection, without establishing a significant field aligned current system, consistent with the extremely quiet AE and other indices during this period.

[20] It is interesting to note that the ENA fluxes shown in this study represent geomagnetically quiet times. Large substorms and storms and times of enhanced plasma sheet density should emit significantly more ENAs. For this study we used ~24 min integration times in order to get adequate counting statistics, however, IBEX reports individual ENAs (Direct Events) with extremely high precision (30 s and <0.1° in spin phase), so much higher time resolution of magnetospheric activity can be readily achieved with IBEX when there are adequate ENA fluxes.

[21] In this brief study we have shown the first remote observations of the plasma sheet from outside the magnetosphere. IBEX’s near-ecliptic highly elliptical orbit and extremely high-sensitivity ENA cameras provide a truly unique opportunity to study magnetospheric, magnetotail and plasma sheet emissions, morphology, and dynamics from an external, side-viewing vantage point. The IBEX magnetosphere data set is rich with spatial and temporal variations in ENA fluxes and detailed spectral information. Finally, we look forward to collaborative analyses with complimentary magnetospheric ENA observations from TWINS and with a variety of local, in situ measurements from various current and soon-to-be-launched spacecraft (e.g., THEMIS, MMS, RBSP), which should lead to a continuing and bountiful harvest of important new magnetospheric physics results.
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