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**Swath Mapping on the Continental Shelf and Slope: The Eel River Basin, Northern California**

By John A. Goff, Larry A. Mayer, John Hughes-Clarke and Lincoln F. Pratson

The STRATAFORM program sponsored by the Office of Naval Research (Nittrouer and Kravitz, 1996, this issue) seeks to understand how sedimentary processes lead to the formation of the stratigraphic sequences on continental margins. A central challenge facing this effort is to understand the transport of sediments in shore-parallel as well as shore-perpendicular directions. Multidimensionality is necessary to describe, for example, the accumulation of sediments from river inputs, the distribution of gullies and canyons on the slope, the meandering of channels, and the structure of slumps and slides.

Acoustic swath mapping, which produces rapid, detailed, and complete aerial coverage of seabed bathymetry and backscatter, is a key component to investigating the multidimensionality of the continental-margin environment. Swath bathymetry provides data on the morphological structure of surficial features at a level of detail and coverage unavailable by any other means. Sidescan backscatter is responsive to both the composition and fine-scale roughness of surface sediments. Such information is complementary to other data sources, such as cores and seismic-reflection profiles that provide constraints on seafloor types and subsurface structure. Collectively, these data will supply observational constraints for understanding and ultimately predicting sedimentary processes operating in this area.

**Geological Setting**

The Eel margin is part of a larger active continental margin influenced both by the eastward subduction of the Gorda plate beneath North America and by the northward migration of the Mendocino Triple Junction (e.g., Clarke, 1987). The Little Salmon fault and anticline (Fig. 1) run NW-SE through the survey area and constitute an important tectonic and structural boundary in the subsurface. The Eel River (Fig. 1) is the primary source of sediments deposited within the survey area (e.g., Wheatcroft *et al.*, 1996, this issue), although there is also a significant contribution from the Mad River (Fig. 1). Figure 2 displays the sidescan and bathymetry data collected using the EM1000 during the Eel margin survey. Below we discuss the primary observations derived from this data set.

**Shelf Morphology**

The continental shelf of the Eel River Basin (depths less than ~120 m) is topographically very smooth (Fig. 2). What small-scale morphology does exist (ripples, bioturbation, etc.) is below the resolu-
tion of the Simrad EM1000 instrument. The smoothness of the shelf is likely due to large input of fine terrigenous sediments from the Eel and Mad rivers and reworking by storms. The only bathymetric features on the shelf notable in Figure 2 are the shallows associated with the Eel River and Mad River sediment bulges and a dredge-spoil dump site.

The bathymetry of the shelf is not, however, entirely devoid of character. Subtle, longer-wavelength structures do exist but are difficult to see because they are small compared with the overall gradient of the shelf. Figure 3 displays contours of residual bathymetry on the shelf, where a regional shelf gradient has been subtracted from the bathymetry (see caption for details). Here the most dominating structures evident are the Eel River sediment bulge and an elongated secondary bulge that extends >20 km northward from the primary bulge, between ~55 m and 90 m water depth (Fig. 2) with ~1–6 m of relief. The location and strike of the secondary bulge correlates with the southern half of the flood deposit (see thickness contours overlain on Fig. 3) mapped by Wheatcroft et al. (1996, this issue) from coring work conducted in February, 1995. This observation suggests a possible connection between a long-term effect (the secondary bulge) and a short-term process (floods).

### Slope Morphology

The southern part of the continental slope within the survey area is dominated by the Humboldt slide (Fig. 2) (Field and Barber, 1993), a
Fig. 2: Gridded sidescan and bathymetry data from the Eel River Basin swath-mapping survey. Colors are derived from side-scan backscatter data, with warmer colors (red and yellow) indicating high backscatter, and cooler colors (blue and purple) indicating low backscatter. Shading is derived from artificial sun illumination of bathymetry (azimuth N25°E). Contours are in meters. Principal features discussed in the text are identified.

...at the outlet of several... of the headwall gullies there is a small fan-like structure.

large failure scar with an amphitheater-shaped headwall incised by relatively deep gullies (~20 m relief). Below the headwall are a series of contour-parallel ridges (Fig. 2), interpreted as slump blocks grading downslope into pressure ridges (Syvitski et al., 1996, this issue). The deep gullies on the headwall are indicative of a mature morphology, whereas ridges below the headwall, owing to the lack of infilling sediment (Syvitski et al., 1996, this issue), appear to be more recent in origin. Hence these ridges likely did not originate as mass movement breaking away from the headwall, but rather originated in the sediments lying below it. The headwall in turn may have its origins in an earlier mass wasting event, now buried, or may be the product of a more gradual evolution. Above the headwall and along its upper slope (~300–120 m) lies a region of high backscatter (Fig. 2) which, in corroboration with seismic work (Syvitski et al., 1996, this issue; Austin et al., 1996, this issue), is interpreted to be outcrop of subsurface strata and evidence for erosion. The shallowest part of this high backscatter region extends to the shelf indentation noted in Figure 3.

Figure 3 displays a detailed view of the morphology for part of the Humboldt slide headwall and its base. Changes in the contour pattern from pointing upslope to downslope and a downslope-expanding crenulated pattern indicate that at the outlet of several (but not all) of the headwall gullies there is a small fan-like structure. The Humboldt slide...
ridges lie downslope of the fan structures; there is no obvious connection between the two evident in the seafloor morphology, although a connection cannot be ruled out. We infer that the fan structures contain sediments that have deposited at the base of the headwall after flowing through the gullies, probably as turbidity flows, and that the previously noted high backscatter region (Fig. 2) and shelf indentation (Fig. 3) above the headwall are a source of these sediments. We suggest that turbidity flows have been a significant factor in the evolution of the headwall morphology.

Two breached anticlines (Clarke, 1987) bound the northern side of the Humboldt slide (Fig. 2). North and east of these lies a series of sinuous ridges and swales oriented roughly perpendicular to the breached anticlines (Fig. 2). The amplitude of these structures decreases from west (~20 m) to east (~1 m), and cross contours at ~45°. The ridges and swales are strongly correlated with changes in acoustic backscatter in the sidescan data: the seaward slopes exhibit high backscatter and the landward slopes exhibit low backscatter. Proximity to the breached anticlines suggests a causal relationship, but interpretation of these features must await analysis of high-resolution seismic-reflection data.

A series of seaward-trending linear slope gullies occurs along the north slope (Figs. 2 and 5). The shelf break here is ~60 m deeper and ~7 km farther from shore than the shelf break in the Humboldt slide region. The gullies on the north slope are an order of magnitude smaller (~2 m) than those incising the headwall of the Humboldt slide, although both sets have similar spacing (~100–1,000 m). The former are generally confined to depths greater than ~300 m, a depth that also marks the steepest part of this shelf terrace. In comparison, the Humboldt slide gullies rise to depths as shallow as 180 m.

Gullies similar to those on the north slope have been observed on the New Jersey continental slope between submarine canyons within the east coast STRATAFORM study area (Pratson et al., 1994). However, the upslope termini of northern California gullies do not abruptly end in failure headwalls as on the New Jersey continental slope. Instead, they rise gradually to the elevation of the surrounding seafloor. Other similar gullies have been observed on the Arguello slope, California by Reynolds and Gorsline (1988), who interpreted them as erosional in nature.

How the slope gullies formed on the north slope remains uncertain. Reynolds and Gorsline (1988) discuss numerous possibilities for gully formation, and we refer the reader to that reference for a full discussion. One that we favor is that the gullies have been formed by turbidity flows composed of sediments introduced from the Eel and Mad Rivers. This scenario has parallels to early stages of submarine canyon formation predicted by the seascape-evolution model of Pratson and Coakley (1996). Initiation of flow may be induced by several mechanisms, including sediment oversteepening, fluid or gas seepage, and seismic triggering. However, the lack of a headwall scarp and minimum depth limitation suggest that these gullies are not growing by headward erosion, as appears to be the case on the New Jersey shelf.

The north slope also contains numerous small circular depressions, generally less than ~100 m in diameter (Fig. 5). Such features have been interpreted by others (e.g., Field and Barber, 1993) as craters formed by seeping gas. In the vicinity of the gullies, the depressions are often aligned within the gullies, especially at the northern limit of the survey. Elsewhere they appear randomly distributed. In the southern half of Figure 5, there are numerous gullies formed without any depressions in the vicinity. We conclude that gullies are affecting the position and formation of depressions, rather than vice versa.

**Future Directions**

One of the primary purposes of the swath-mapping work described here was to provide a geological and morphological context for the seismic, coring, sampling, and modeling investigations that are also part of STRATAFORM. In so doing we have raised many questions and generated many...
...some of the high-backscatter anomalies are erosional unconformities...

speculations that will need to be addressed in subsequent work. Perhaps the most important will be to ground truth many of the variations in sidescan intensity that we observe. Although high-resolution seismic data have already demonstrated that some of the high-backscatter anomalies are erosional unconformities, many other anomalies are of unknown origin. For example, on the shelf we are not certain (although we have speculated) why the Eel and Mad River sediment bulges have such low backscatter, nor what the origins of the shore-normal striations are. On the slope, we also are not certain why backscatter values generally increase with depth. These issues will be addressed within STRATAFORM; systematic coring will provide information on surface sediment types and volume heterogeneity in the immediate subbottom, and microtopography analysis will provide information on bottom roughness.

Seismic-reflection data, as we have already discussed, are also critical to our understanding of the features identified in the swath-map data. Although bathymetry and sidescan data provide exquisite detail and complete aerial coverage, seismic reflection penetrates into the internal architecture of features, which in many cases is essential to determine how they were formed. Features of particular interest to be investigated with seismic reflection include the Eel and Mad River primary and secondary sediment bulges, the Humboldt slide, the breached anticlines and nearby ridges and swales, and the gullies of the north slope and the Humboldt slide headwall.
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Fig. 5: Sun-illuminated image of the north slope region (Fig. 1), with bathymetric contours in meters. Illumination is from azimuth N20°E. Image shows small gullies incising lower half of terrace slope, and the presence of small circular depressions, interpreted as gas seeps, many of which are aligned within the gullies.