Table 1. 2022 Pine River Pond Seasonal Averages and NH DES Aquatic Life Nutrient Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Oligotrophic “Excellent”</th>
<th>Mesotrophic “Fair”</th>
<th>Eutrophic “Poor”</th>
<th>Pine River Pond Average (range)</th>
<th>Pine River Pond Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Clarity (meters)</td>
<td>4.0 – 7.0</td>
<td>2.5 - 4.0</td>
<td>&lt; 2.5</td>
<td>6.2 meters (4.2 – 7.9)</td>
<td>Oligotrophic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyll a 1 (ppb)</td>
<td>&lt; 3.3</td>
<td>&gt; 3.3 – 5.0</td>
<td>&gt; 5.0 – 11.0</td>
<td>2.4 ppb (1.9 – 2.7)</td>
<td>Oligotrophic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Phosphorus 1 (ppb)</td>
<td>&lt; 8.0</td>
<td>&gt; 8.0 – 12.0</td>
<td>&gt; 12.0 – 28.0</td>
<td>6.5 ppb (5.3 – 8.3)</td>
<td>Oligotrophic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)</td>
<td>5.0 – 7.0</td>
<td>2.0 – 5.0</td>
<td>&lt; 2.0</td>
<td>0.0 ppm (0.0 – 0.1) *</td>
<td>Eutrophic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Dissolved oxygen concentrations were measured between 11.0 and 16.0 meters, in the bottom water layer, on September 12, 2022.

Table 2. 2022 Pine River Pond Seasonal Average Accessory Water Quality Measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Assessment Criteria</th>
<th>Pine River Pond Average (range)</th>
<th>Pine River Pond Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Color (color units)</td>
<td>&lt; 10 uncolored</td>
<td>15.0 color units (range: 11.8 – 17.3)</td>
<td>Slightly colored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity (ppm)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0 acidified</td>
<td>7.0 ppm (range: 6.8 – 7.3)</td>
<td>Moderately vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH (std units)</td>
<td>&lt; 5.5 suboptimal for successful growth and reproduction</td>
<td>6.6 standard units (range: 6.6 – 6.7)</td>
<td>Optimal range for fish growth and reproduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Conductivity (uS/cm)</td>
<td>&lt; 50 uS/cm Characteristic of minimally impacted NH lakes</td>
<td>57.4 uS/cm (range: 55.3 – 59.3)</td>
<td>Characteristic of lakes with some human influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50-100 uS/cm Lakes with some human influence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 100 uS/cm Characteristic of lakes experiencing human disturbances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strategies to stabilize and improve water quality


- [https://awwatersheds.org/](https://awwatersheds.org/)
- [https://nhlakes.org/lakesmart-resource-library/](https://nhlakes.org/lakesmart-resource-library/)
Figures 2 and 3. Seasonal comparison of Pine River Pond water transparency (Secchi Disk depth), chlorophyll $\alpha$ and total phosphorus for 2022. Shallower water transparency measurements oftentimes correspond to increases in chlorophyll $\alpha$ and/or color concentrations.

Figures 4 and 5. Annual median Pine River Pond water transparency, chlorophyll $\alpha$ and total phosphorus concentrations measured between 1987 and 2022, through the New Hampshire Lakes Lay Monitoring Program and the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services. The long-term data provide insight into the water quality fluctuations, among years, that have been documented in Pine River Pond.
Figures 6, 7 and 8. Temperature, dissolved oxygen and specific conductivity profiles displaying the water quality differences in 0.5-meter increments. Notice the decreasing dissolved oxygen concentrations, near the lake bottom, through the season. The dashed vertical red line in Figure 7 displays the dissolved oxygen threshold for the successful growth and reproduction of cold-water fish such as trout and salmon.

Figure 9. Total phosphorus comparison between the surface (epilimnion) and bottom water (hypolimnion) zones. Notice the differences in the bottom water total phosphorus concentrations, relative to surface water concentrations.
This highlight report provides a general overview of the current and historical conditions of Pine River Pond. The report is intended to provide a simple assessment of the water quality trends. Should you have additional questions about interpreting your water quality results, we would be happy to discuss the data with you and/or any concerns you may have. In general, some factors that influence the current and long-term water quality results/trends for our New Hampshire lakes and ponds include:

- **Land-use Patterns** within the watershed (drainage basin) – Research indicates land use patterns have an impact on how much phosphorus (nutrient) is washing into our lakes. In general, more urbanized watersheds have a greater degree of phosphorus runoff than highly forested/vegetated drainage areas.
- **Weather Patterns** – Rainfall and temperature can influence water quality. Wet periods, and overland runoff, tend to be a time when elevated nutrients and other pollutants are transported into our lakes. Temperature can also influence water quality conditions since many aquatic plants and algae tend to respond to changing seasonal conditions. Unusually warm periods are sometimes tied to short-term algal and cyanobacteria blooms.
- **Best Management Practices (BMPs)** – The presence/absence of best management practices can have an interplay on water quality. BMPs are measures that are used to manage nutrients and other pollutants that could otherwise make their way into our lakes. Properties that employ BMPs, designed specifically to remove pollutants of concern (e.g. sediments and phosphorus), are less likely to contribute nutrients and other pollutants into our lakes.
- **Temperature (Thermal) Stratification** – Many lakes become thermally stratified during the summer months and may form three distinct thermal layers: upper water layer (epilimnion), middle lake layer (metalimnion) and bottom cold-water layer (hypolimnion). These thermal zones form a barrier to lake mixing, during the summer months, and can coincide with differences in dissolved oxygen and specific conductivity through the water column.
- **Internal Nutrient Loading** (nutrients that are introduced from the sediments along the lake bottom) – Some of our lakes experience significant internal nutrient loading. Such lakes generally tend to be well stratified and exhibit increasing deep water phosphorus concentrations, relative to surface levels.

### Table 3. Saco River Watershed Lakes (Acton ME and Wakefield NH)
(2022 water quality data collected between June 6 and September 26)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>Average (range) Secchi Disk Transparency (meters)</th>
<th>Average (range) Total Phosphorus (ppb)</th>
<th>Average (range) Chlorophyll-a (ppb)</th>
<th>Average (range) Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balch Lake</td>
<td>5.3 meters (range: 4.7 – 5.8)</td>
<td>7.5 ppb (range: 5.3 – 9.4)</td>
<td>3.2 ppb (range: 1.9 – 6.5)</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belleau Lake</td>
<td>1.9 meters (range: 1.5 – 2.2)</td>
<td>18.5 ppb (range: 15.8 – 21.1)</td>
<td>9.0 ppb (range: 5.9 – 12.1)</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine River Pond</td>
<td>6.2 meters (range: 4.2 – 7.9)</td>
<td>6.5 ppb (range: 5.3 – 8.3)</td>
<td>2.4 ppb (range: 1.9 – 2.7)</td>
<td>0.0 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province Lake</td>
<td>2.5 meters (range: 1.6 – 3.6)</td>
<td>19.1 ppb (range: 15.1 – 24.5)</td>
<td>4.3 ppb (range: 2.5 – 6.6)</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Water quality data are reported for a deep reference sampling location in each water body.
- Dissolved oxygen measurements were taken late season and from the bottom water layer.
- ----- indicates the site is too shallow to form a bottom water layer.
Figure 10. Pine River Pond
Wakefield, NH
2022 deep water and tributary sampling stations

Average Depth = 12.1 feet
Maximum Depth = 55.1 feet
Surface Area = 570 acres

Aerial Orthophoto Source: NH GRANIT
Site location GPS coordinates collected by the UNH Center for Freshwater Biology