University of New Hampshire [University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository](https://scholars.unh.edu/)

[Master's Theses and Capstones](https://scholars.unh.edu/thesis) [Student Scholarship](https://scholars.unh.edu/student) Student Scholarship

Fall 2017

DESIGN, MECHANICAL MODELING AND 3D PRINTING OF KOCH FRACTAL CONTACT AND INTERLOCKING

Mona Monsef Khoshhesab University of New Hampshire, Durham

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholars.unh.edu/thesis](https://scholars.unh.edu/thesis?utm_source=scholars.unh.edu%2Fthesis%2F1130&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Monsef Khoshhesab, Mona, "DESIGN, MECHANICAL MODELING AND 3D PRINTING OF KOCH FRACTAL CONTACT AND INTERLOCKING" (2017). Master's Theses and Capstones. 1130. [https://scholars.unh.edu/thesis/1130](https://scholars.unh.edu/thesis/1130?utm_source=scholars.unh.edu%2Fthesis%2F1130&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Scholarship at University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Master's Theses and Capstones by an authorized administrator of University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. For more information, please contact Scholarly.Communication@unh.edu.

DESIGN, MECHANICAL MODELING AND 3D PRINTING OF KOCH FRACTAL CONTACT AND INTERLOCKING

BY

Mona Monsef Khoshhesab Baccalaureate Degree (BS), University of Guilan, 2015

THESIS

Submitted to the University of New Hampshire in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Science

in Mechanical Engineering

September, 2017

This thesis has been examined and approved in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master in Mechanical Engineering by

Thesis Director, Yaning Li, Ph.D.

Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering, University of New Hampshire

Christine Ortiz, Ph.D.

Professor, Materials Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Igor Tsukrov, Ph.D.

Professor, Mechanical Engineering, University of New Hampshire

On July 31, 2017

Original approval signatures are on file with the University of New Hampshire Graduate School.

Table of Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

Figure 2.3. [\(a\) Categorizing slant \(red\) and flat \(blue\) segments for](#page-27-1) *N*=2 case; (black and white arrows show slant and flat segments in contact, respectively); (b) the free body diagram of [the top piece of a pair of slant segments in contact. \(c\) Dash line displays the deformed](#page-27-1) [configuration...](#page-27-1) 15

Figure 2.4. Contact/interlocking stages (a) Stage I, when no segment is in contact (b) Stage [II when only some flat segments get in contact \(c\) Stage III when some of the flat and slant](#page-30-0) segments get in contact. [..](#page-30-0) 18

Figure 2.5. [Schematics of flat and slant segments' length when \(a\)](#page-31-0) *r>g* and (b) *r<g*.......... 19

Figure 2.6. [\(a\) Four decomposed sections with](#page-33-0) *N*=1 geometry: *x, y, z*, *k* rotating counter[clockwise to the horizontal direction as 0°, 60° , 120°, and 360°, respectively. \(b\) Reproducing](#page-33-0) process of each section $S_{0\degree}$, $S_{60\degree}$, $S_{120\degree}$, $S_{180\degree}$, in [*N*]th [level from sections in \[](#page-33-0)*N*-1]th level. 21

Figure 2.7. Influence of (a) gap *g,* (b) rounded tip radius *r* [and \(c\) friction coefficient](#page-38-0) *μ* [mechanical response of Stages II and III for case](#page-38-0) *N*=3... 26

Figure 2.8. [Theoretical prediction of the influences of gap](#page-41-0) *g* on the mechanical response of fractal contact models for different *N*. [..](#page-41-0) 29

Figure 2.9. (a) Theoretical prediction of the contact area of flat and slant segments in different stages of deformation, and [\(b\) the total contact area of Koch fractal for](#page-42-0) *N*=2, 3 and 4. $(A_f, A_s \text{ and } A_f + A_s \text{ represent contact area of flat, contact area of slant and total area,}$ [respectively.\)...](#page-42-0) 30

Figure 2.10. Influence of rounded tip radius *r* [on mechanical behavior of Koch fractal](#page-44-0) contact models. [...](#page-44-0) 32

Figure 2.11. (a) Contact area of flat and slant segments in different stages of deformation. [\(b\) Total contact area of Koch fractal for](#page-45-0) $N=2$, 3,4 and 5. A_f , A_s and $A_f + A_s$ represent contact [area of flat, contact area of slant and total area, respectively.](#page-45-0) .. 33

Figure 2.12. [\(a\) Contact area of flat and slant segments in different stages of deformation.](#page-46-0) [\(b\) Total contact area of Koch fractal for](#page-46-0) $N=2, 3, 4, 5$ and 6. A_f , A_s and $A_f + A_s$ represent [contact area of flat, contact area of slant and total area, respectively...](#page-46-0) 34

Figure 2.13[. Theoretical prediction on the influences of friction coefficient on the load](#page-47-1)displacement relations for different *N*['s..](#page-47-1) 35

Figure 2.14. Influences of gap *g* [on non-dimensionalized effective stiffness in \(a\) Stage II](#page-49-0) [and \(b\) Stage III. \(The solid black curves represent the ideal case of](#page-49-0) *g*=0 and *r*=0 which is the [upper limit of effective stiffness for Koch contact for each](#page-49-0) *N.*) ... 37

Figure 2.15. [Non-dimensionalized effective stiffness vs.](#page-50-0) *N*, when $0 < g < 0.1$ mm............... 38

Figure 2.16. Influence of rounded tip radius *r* [on non-dimensionalized effective stiffness in](#page-51-0)

[\(a\) Stage II and \(b\) Stage III. \(The solid black curves are for the ideal case](#page-51-0) of $g = 0$ and $r = 0.$) 39

Figure 2.17. [Influence of friction coefficient on non-dimensionalized effective stiffness in40](#page-52-1)

Figure 3.1. [Boundary conditions and the finite element mesh with](#page-55-0) *N*=2, 3 and 4,

[respectively; \(b\) illustration of the measured](#page-55-0) displacement. .. 43

Figure 3.2. [Mechanical response of Koch interlocking models. \(a\) Force-displacement](#page-56-0) [curves and \(b\) Stress-strain curves of Koch fractal with different number of RVEs horizontally](#page-56-0) oriented when *N*[=2..](#page-56-0) 44

Figure 3.3. [Mechanical response of Koch interlocking models. \(a\) Force-displacement](#page-57-1) [curves and \(b\) Stress-strain curves of Koch fractal with different number](#page-57-1) of RVEs horizontally oriented when *N*[=3..](#page-57-1) 45

Figure 3.4. [\(a\) Influence of geometry complexity on mechanical behavior of Koch fractal](#page-58-0) with $N=2,3$ and 4. (b) Stress distribution of cases with different RVE design when $\delta=0.3$ mm. 46

Figure 3.5. [FE Von Misses stress counter of the designs with](#page-59-1) *N*=2,3 and 4 at two

pieces for different cases of (a) *N*=2, (a) *N*=3 and (a) *N*=4. [...](#page-71-0) 59

Figure 3.17. [Distribution of strain energy per volume fraction between top and bottom](#page-72-0) pieces for $N=3$ with different values of (a) $r=0.05$ mm, (b) $r=0.15$ mm and (c) $r=0.3$ mm. 60

Figure 3.18. [FE curves of energy density vs displacement and FE stress distribution](#page-73-1) contours for *N*=3 with *r*=0.05 mm, (a) *g*=0.6 mm and (b) *g*=0.1mm. [..](#page-73-1) 61

Figure 4.1. [Experimental results of 3D-printed Koch fractal interlocking with](#page-77-0) *N*=2, 3 and 4,

one RVE, gap $g=0.2$ mm and $r=0.15$ a_N . Teeth with large deformations are marked with a

[circle..](#page-77-0) 65

- **Figure 4.2.** DIC strain (\mathcal{E}_{yy}) contours of Koch fractal interlock with *N*=2, 3 and 4 under uni-
- axial tension. [...](#page-78-0) 66

Figure 4.3. DIC strain (\mathcal{E}_{xy} [\) contours of Koch fractal interlock with](#page-79-1) *N*=2, 3 and 4 under uni-

axial tension. [...](#page-79-1) 67

Figure 4.4. [Experimental results of Koch](#page-80-0) *N*=2, 3 and 4 with a soft layer. 68

Figure 4.5. [Comparison between the overall load-displacement curves of the 3D-printed](#page-81-1) [specimens with and without a soft adhesive layer for](#page-81-1) *N*= 2, 3 and 4 (one RVE, gap *g*=0.2 mm and *r*= 0.15 .[\)..](#page-81-1) 69

Figure 4.6 [Comparison between overall force-displacement curve from FE simulation and](#page-83-1)

Figure 4.7. [3D-printed Koch interlocking specimens with two flipped RVEs and a soft](#page-84-0)

[adhesive layer tested under uni-axial tension for](#page-84-0) *N*=0,1 2 and 3.. 72

Figure 4.8. [Experimental load-displacement curves of the 3D-printed specimens tested](#page-86-0) [under uniaxial tension, with two flipped RVEs and a soft adhesive layer for](#page-86-0) *N*=0, 1, 2, and 3. .. 74

Figure 4.9. (a) Nondimensionalized toughness vs. *N* (b) the finite element stress contours of the Koch layer with different *Ns.*[..](#page-87-0) 75 **Figure 4.10.** [3D-printed specimens fabricated for compact tension test with](#page-89-0) *N*=0,1 2 and 3.

[...](#page-89-0) 77

Figure 4.11. Experimental results of compact tension test. (a) Force-displacement curves of four geometries (b) Normalized toughness vs *N*[. The dash line displays the toughness deriving](#page-90-0) [from the rule of mixture..](#page-90-0) 78

Figure 4.12. [Comparison between toughness of 3D-printed specimens designed for uni](#page-91-1)[axial tension and compact tension. Black dash-line displays predication from rule of mixture.](#page-91-1) . 79

ABSTRACT

Design, Mechanical Modeling, and 3D Printing of

Koch Fractal Contact and Interlocking

by

Mona Monsef Khoshhesab

University of New Hampshire, September, 2017

 Topological interlocking is an effective joining approach in both natural and engineering systems. Especially, hierarchical/fractal interlocking were found in many biological systems and can significantly enhance the system mechanical properties. Inspired by the hierarchical/fractal topology in nature, mechanical models for Koch fractal interlocking were developed as an example system to better understand the mechanics of fractal interlocking. In this investigation, Koch fractal interlocking with and without adhesive layers were designed for different number of iterations *N*. Theoretical contact mechanics model was used to capture the deformation mechanisms of the fractal interlocking with no adhesive layers under relatively small deformation. Then finite element (FE) simulations were performed to study the mechanical behavior of fractal interlocking under finite deformation. The designs were also fabricated via a multi-material 3D printer (Objet Connex 260) and mechanical experiments were performed to further explore the mechanical performance of the new designs.

 It was found that the load-bearing capacity of Kotch fractal interlocking can be effectively increased via fractal design. In general, when the fractal complexity (it is specifically represented as number of hierarchy *N* in the present Koch fractal design) increases, the stiffness of the fractal interlocking will increase significantly. Also, when *N* increases, the stress are more uniformly distributed along the fractal boundary of the top and bottom pieces of the fractal interlocking, which efficiently reduce local stress concentration, and therefore the overall strength of the interlocking also increases.

 However, the mechanical responses of fractal interlocks are also sensitive to imperfections, such as the gap between the interlocked pieces and the rounded tips. When fractal complexity increases, the mechanical properties will become more and more sensitive to the imperfection and eventually, the negative influences from imperfection can even become dominant. Therefore, considering the imperfection, there is an optimal level of fractal complexity to reach the maximum mechanical performance. This result is in consistent with fractal interlocks in different biological systems.

 Except topology, the influences of friction, material properties and damage evolution, and the adhesive layer on the mechanical performance of Koch fractal interlocking were also evaluated via non-linear FE simulations and mechanical experiments on 3D printed Koch interlocking specimens. It was found that the adhesive layer can significantly improve the load transmission of the fractal interlocking and therefore can effectively amplify the interlocking efficiency.

Chapter 1. Background and Introduction

1.1. Motivation

In nature, during years of evolution, many biological systems develop complicated geometrical and material heterogeneity across several length scales to achieve light weight and high mechanical performance [1-6]. Generally, hierarchical heterogeneity can be achieved via two different mechanisms: (1) variation of nano/micro structures at different length scale, such as bones and sea shells [7-9] and (2) self-similarity via fractal geometry, such as gecko feet [10-11] and biological sutures [12-14]. The fractal interlocking explored in this investigation falls in the second category. It is a type of fractal-induced self-similar mechanical interlocking, which provides a specific option of designing hierarchical heterogeneity in any material system.

Examples of hierarchical interlocks in biological systems are shown in Fig.1. Fig. 1a shows the cranial suture of a white-tailed deer with complicated interlocking pattern. Cranial suture is very important in transmitting load, absorb energy, and provide flexibility to accommodate growth, respiration and locomotion [15-17]. The geometric interlocking pattern is the key to optimize the multi-function of cranial suture. Fig. 1b shows the micro-CT images of the sutural interlock on the carapace of red-eared turtle. A complicated 3D interlock balance the rigidity and flexibility of the turtle shell. Figs. 1c and 1d show the fractal-like fossil ammonite sutures of two different species. The fossil record shows that the complexity of ammonite suture consistently increases within several mass extinctions [18]. These examples indicate that the hierarchical interlocking is one of the key mechanical mechanism to achieve optimal mechanical properties and function.

Figure 1.1 Examples of hierarchical interlocking in nature: (a) cranial sutures in deer's skull[19], (b) suture in the shell of red-eared slider turtle[20] (c) Ammonite shell (*Craspedites nodiger*)[21] and (d) Ammonite shell (*Ceratitic*) with suture lines[22].

 The evolution and growth of hierarchical interlocks in nature is a mystery to the field. For example, it is not well understood why the cranial suture of human being develops from a simple straight line to a complicated zigzag pattern during growth from infant to adult [14, 17], and why the cranial sutures of mammals with horns exhibit even more complex patterns than those of humans. Also, why there is a limitation for geometry complexity in these biological systems?

To address these questions, recently, composite mechanical models of biological sutures with different waveforms were extensively explored [23-32]. The triangular tooth geometry was proved to be the optimized geometry to maximize strength and load transmission [31-33]. Also, it was found that by increasing the number of hierarchy, the overall stiffness, strength and fracture toughness can be tuned by orders of magnitude [22-25, 32-34].

 In this investigation, the primary goal is to design Koch fractal interlocking and explore the influence of number of hierarchy, material properties, and geometry imperfection on the overall mechanical properties of the designs. Design principles for fractal interlocking will be developed, which will provide insights to develop optimized design for joining similar/dissimilar materials through topological interlocking.

1.2. Fractals

Euclidean geometry is a system of geometry considered measurement and the concepts of congruence, parallelism and perpendicularity with ten common assumptions and postulates. When any of the postulates is negated, the geometry is non-Euclidean [35]. Fractal geometry is one of the youngest non-Euclidean geometric concepts which uses simple algorithms to design complex forms. They were discovered by Mandelbrot [3]. They can not only model the complex forms, but also act as bridge between regular geometries to irregular ones [3, 36-37]. One interesting characteristic of fractals is that they exhibit great complexity driven by simplicity [38-41]. Fractals exhibit repeating patterns that display at every scale. This is one major feature of fractal, called self-similarity.

To further understand the definition of fractal, examples of three basic fractals are provided in Figure 1.2. Figure 1.2a shows a binary fractal tree which is defined recursively by symmetric binary branching. The trunk of length *L* splits into two branches of smaller length *R,* each making an angle θ with the direction of the trunk. Continuing in this way for infinitely many branching, the tree is the set of branches, together with their limit points, called branch tips. Figure 1.2b shows the Sierpinski triangle, which is a basic fractal with the overall shape of an equilateral triangle, subdivided recursively into smaller equilateral triangles. Another basic fractal is the Koch flakes,

as shown in Figure 1.3c. The Koch flakes is also generated from an equilateral triangle, then the edges are recursively replaced by Koch fractal curves.

Figure 1.2 Examples of three basic fractals: (a) the simple fractal tree [42], (b) Sierpinski triangle [43], and (c) Koch snow flake[44].

Koch curve is one of the first classical fractals described by Helge von Koch in 1904 as an example of a non-differentiable curve. It was generated via an iterated function system (IFS) where the number of iteration defined as *N* [44]. We will talk about Koch curve in more detail in Chapter 2.

Fractals are also ubiquitous in nature in all length scales, as shown in Fig. 1.3. Natural fractals include frost crystals occurring naturally on cold glass (Fig.1.3a), [Romanesco broccoli,](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Romanesco_broccoli) showing 3D [self-similar](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Self-similar) geometry (Fig.1.3b), and fractal defrosting patterns, polar Mars, where the patterns are formed by sublimation of frozen $CO₂$. The width of image is about a kilometer (Figure 1.3c)!

Figure 1.3 Examples of fractals in nature across all length scales:(a) frost crystals on cold glass form fractal pattern [45], (b) [Romanesco broccoli](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Romanesco_broccoli) [45], and (c) fractal defrosting patterns, polar Mars [45].

Fractals have been used by scientists in electrical engineering to produce electronic circuit with chaotic behavior [46], medical and health care fields to model and measure tumor and irregular distribution of collagen in tissue [47,48], architecture engineering to design bio-inspired constructions [49-51].

1.3. Topological interlocking

 Topological interlocking has been suggested as a novel method to create architectured materials [52-72]. This concept relies on segmenting a monolithic material into elementary blocks with specific shapes. The building blocks are constrained in their movement by the neighboring ones [53]. Providing structural stability, topological interlocking allows for restricted locomotion of neighboring building blocks. This ensures that the new architectured material articulated via topological interlocking is more compliant than a monolithic one, and is also able to absorb vibrational energy, which is dissipated by frictional losses [53, 61].

 Topological interlocking plays a critical role in joining similar/dissimilar materials and structures. For example, topological interlocking is used in adhesive science and engineering [57, 73] , in the area of friction and tribology [74], in plasticity and creep [75] (interlocking of wavy grains, with a great resistance to sliding deformation.) of ductile metals and also in fiber reinforced composites [76].Compared with bonding via adhesive materials or mechanical fasteners, mechanical interlocking has a similar function with a simpler and more robust method in manufacturing [53, 61]. The geometry of the interlocked piece is the key to achieve high-quality joints through topological interlocking [53, 59, 61, 77-85].

 As shown in Figure 1.4, topological interlocking is also found in many biological composites to meet a complex spectrum of functional requirements through hierarchical/fractal geometries. Bones, woods, nacre, and biological sutures are a few examples of natural composites that employed hierarchical design to achieve remarkable properties and functionality [1, 11, 37, 71-76]. Figure 1.4a , 4b and 4c show interlocked linking girdles in three species of diatoms. Figure 1.4d displays natural gear in a species of jumping inset, *Issus*. Having a row of cuticle gear teeth around the curved medial surfaces of their two hind-leg trochantera, the jumping efficiency of the nymph, but can be significantly improved [89].

Figure 1.4 Examples of topological interlocking in nature: (a) linking girdle in *Aulacoseira ambigua* [86], (b) in *Aulacoseira alpiegena* [87], and (c) in *Aulacoseira valida* [88]; (d) gear-like joints in a jumping insect *Issus* [89].

 Recently, topological interlocking has been shown to be an effective method to create architectured materials [8, 20, 52-53]. Topologically interlocking of building blocks have shown unusual and attractive combinations of properties [90-96].

 Inspired by those remarkable biological systems, innovative designs were recently developed and fabricated by utilizing different technologies. For example, suture-inspired composites and nacre-inspired composites were designed [6, 84, 97-100] and fabricated via 3D printing. Also, inspired by the fractal geometry of gecko feet, dry adhesives were designed and fabricated [101-104]. In addition, lotus-leaf-inspired super hydrophobic surfaces are industrialized and are being manufactured in a wide range [105-107]. In all the previous research, it was shown

that the mechanical behavior of the mechanical designs have great sensitivity to geometric hierarchy [5, 9, 12, 14, 23-26 31-32].

1.4. Contact mechanics framework

 According to the following classical categorization of the theories for contact mechanics, Koch fractal contact and interlocking studied in this thesis is a **non-Hertzian, mainly nonadhesive** and **conforming** contact. There is no classical analytical model exist for this specific problem. Therefore, one of the research goals of this thesis is to derive a new theoretical model to capture the mechanical behavior of Koch fractal contact/interlocking. Theoretical results, then will be verified with numerical and experimental ones.

Hertizan theory vs. non-Hertizan theory. The original work in contact mechanics belongs to Heinrich Hertz [108, 109]. It applies to normal contact between two elastic solids that are smooth and can be described locally with orthogonal radii of curvature. Further, the size of the actual contact area must be small compared to the dimensions of each body and to the radii of curvature. Hertz made these assumptions based on observations that the contact area is elliptical in shape for such three-dimensional bodies [108]. Closed-form solutions can be derived when the contact area is circular such as with spheres or cylinders in contact. At extremely elliptical contact, the contact area is assumed to have constant width over the length of contact such as between parallel cylinders [108, 109].

 Thus, to summarize, there are four basic assumptions for Hertzian contact problems [108]: (1) the strains are small and the material deforms within the elastic limit, (2) the surfaces are continuous and non-conforming. This means the area of contact is much smaller than the characteristic dimensions of the contacting bodies, (3) each body can be considered as an elastic half-space, and (4) the surfaces are frictionless [108, 109]. If some or all these assumptions are violated the contact problem will be recognized as *non-Hertzian* contact.

 For the Koch fractal contact, the assumptions (2), (3) and (4) are violated, therefore, Koch fractal contact studied in this thesis is a *non-Hertzian* contact problem.

Adhesive vs. non-adhesive contact. The classical theory of contact mainly focused on nonadhesive contact where there is no tension force within the contact area. This means removing adhesion forces, the contacting bodies can be separated. Non-adhesive contact mechanics problems can become very sophisticated, which is due to complex forces and moments are transmitted between the bodies in contact. The contact stresses are also usually a nonlinear function of the deformation. To simplify both the problem and the solution, a reference can be defined in which the objects are static and interact through surface tractions at their interface [109].

 Based on this definition, the Koch fractal contact with gaps are non-adhesive contact. While the Koch fractal interlocking with soft adhesive layer fall out of the contact mechanics range, but after the failure of the interfacial layer material, the contact is adhesive contact.

Conforming vs. non-conforming contact. Based on geometry of contact bodies, the analytical methods for non-adhesive contact problem can be categorized in two types [108, 109]. A conforming contact is when the two bodies touch at multiple points before deformation which means the two bodies are fit together [109]. A non-conforming contact is when the contact area is very small compared to the sizes of the objects and the stresses are highly concentrated in one area [109]. Thus Koch fractal contact is a conforming contact problem.

1.5. Overview

In this thesis, Koch fractal interlocks are designed as a geometrically imperfect system. We systematically investigated the role of fractal geometry and imperfection in determining the contact and interlocking behavior of the designs. Both theoretical and numerical models were developed to quantify the mechanical properties of Koch fractal contact and interlocking. Also, to further evaluate the mechanical performance of the designs and the model prediction, the designs were fabricated via 3D-printing and mechanical experiments were performed.

The main content of this thesis is organized into the four following chapters:

In Chapter 2, Koch fractal interlocks with no adhesive layers were designed and modeled theoretically. The theoretical framework of fractal contact was proposed and a theoretical model was developed to quantify the deformation mechanisms. The influences of the number of hierarchies, geometric imperfection and friction were evaluated via the theoretical model. A scaling law was then summarized.

In Chapter 3, finite element models of the design was developed. Numerical simulations were performed to investigate the influences of different geometric parameters and material models on the overall mechanical behavior of the designs. Both linear elastic and elasto-perfectly plastic material models were used in FE simulations. In addition to the load-displacement behavior (equivalent to the effective stress-strain behavior), the contact area and energy absorption behavior of the designs were also evaluated.

To further prove the concept, Chapter 4 mainly focuses on 3D printing of the designs and mechanical experiments on the 3D printed specimens. In this Chapter, to evaluate the role of soft adhesive layer, the designs with gaps and the corresponding designs with soft adhesive layers were compared via both mechanical experiments and FE simulations. Also, both uni-axial tension and compact tension experiments were conducted to evaluate the stiffness, strength and fracture toughness of the Koch fractal interlocking with soft adhesive layers under uniform and concentrated loading cases.

Finally, the major conclusions and future work are summarized in Chapter 5. Based on the results in Chapters 2-4, a design guideline for 3D printed fractal contact and interlocking were developed.

Chapter 2. Theoretical Model of Koch Fractal Interlocking

2.1. Design of Koch fractal inte**rlocking**

As one of the first rigorously defined fractals, Koch curve is a non-differentiable curve, which was proposed by Helge von Koch [44] in 1904. It was generated via an iterated function system (IFS) which iteratively separates a straight line with length a_0 into four smaller sections with the same length $a_0/3$ as shown in [Figure 2.1.](#page-24-2) Within each iteration, in order to keep the direct distance between the starting and end points of the new curve to have the same length as the mother curve, the four smaller sections are connected together with angles of either 120 or 60 degrees between them as shown in [Figure 2.1.](#page-24-2) The geometries at different iteration level *N* are self-similar.

Figure 2.1. Koch geometry for *N*=0, 1, 2, 3.

Thus, to satisfy the definition of Koch fractal, the smallest section length a_N of N^{th} order Koch curve is related to a_0 and *N* as:

$$
a_N = \frac{a_0}{3^N} \,. \tag{2.1}
$$

Then, the total arc length L_N of the N^{th} order Koch curve is related to a_0 and *N* as:

$$
L_N = 4^N a_N = a_0 (4/3)^N . \tag{2.2}
$$

Eq.(2.2) shows that the total arc length L_N experiences exponential growth with *N*. Full differentiation of Eq.2.2 gives:

$$
dL_N = \frac{\partial L_N}{\partial a_0} \, da_0 + \frac{\partial L_N}{\partial N} \, dN,\tag{2.3}
$$

Eqs. (2.1-2.3) yield:

$$
dL_N = \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^N da_0 + \ln\frac{4}{3}L_N dN = L_N\left(\frac{da_0}{a_0} + \ln\frac{4}{3}dN\right),\tag{2.4}
$$

Eq.2.4 shows that the growth rate of L_N is actually proportional to the current value of L_N , indicating the exponantioal growth of L_N with N.

In order to achieve interlocking for the Koch curve design, *N* needs to be larger than 1. Representative volume elements (RVE) of a periodic fractal interlock with *N*=2, 3 and 4 are shown in [Figure 2.2.](#page-26-0)a. The RVE includes two pieces with the bottom boundary of the top piece and the top boundary of the bottom piece follow the geometry of two sections of Koch curve: In the designs, to avoid potential stress concentration at the tooth tips, all tips were rounded by radius *r*

(shown in [Figure 2.2.](#page-26-0)c) where *r* is the radius of the rounded tip of the inner edge of the convex angle and also the tip radius of the outer edge of a concave angle*.* To ensure self-similarity *r* is a function of the Koch geometry and *N* through $r = ca_N$ ($0 < c < 1$). A small gap *g* between the two boundaries from the top and bottom pieces was introduced, as shown in [Figure 2.2.](#page-26-0)c. To define *g*, first the Koch curve was rounded with radius *r* and then offset by *g* and defined the top part of Koch layer*.* Therefore, the geometry of the Koch fractal interlocking is determined by four independent geometry parameters: a_0 , *N*, *g*, *r* in addition to friction coefficient μ .

Figure 2.2. (a) Specimen with Koch fractal gap for *N*=2, 3 and 4. (b) Koch fractal geometry for $N=0,1,2$ and 3 (c) enlarged image of *r*, *g*, a_s and a_f .

The mechanical behavior of the Koch contact design will be quantified via mechanical modeling in the following section.

2.1. Contact model for slant surfaces

During uni-axial tension along vertical direction, load bearing capacity of fractal interlocking is achieved via contact along the boundaries of the top and bottom pieces. Since the fractal boundary is composed of flat (in blue) and slant (in red) segments, as shown in [Figure 2.3.](#page-27-1)a (*N*=3, as an example), the fundamental contact mechanism is the contact between two pieces with a flat contact surface, and a slant contact surface. In general, for Koch fractal contact, the slant contact surface forms a 60 degree angle with the horizontal direction, as shown in [Figure 2.3.](#page-27-1)b.

Figure 2.3. (a) Categorizing slant (red) and flat (blue) segments for *N*=2 case; (black and white arrows show slant and flat segments in contact, respectively); (b) the free body diagram of the top piece of a pair of slant segments in contact. (c) Dash line displays the deformed configuration.

The geometric model for the basic contact problem with slant surface is shown in [Figure 2.3.](#page-27-1)b, where length of the rectangular model is *L*, the length of the slant surface is *a* and the angle between the slant surface and horizontal direction is 60 degrees. A local coordinate *n*-*t* defined with *t*-axis along the contact surface, and *n*-axial normal to the contact surface. Assume the far-field normal force is F_s , and the contact-induced normal force on the contact surface is F_n , and the contactinduced tangential force on the contact surface is F_t , the equilibrium of the top piece yields:

$$
F_s = F_n \cos 60^\circ + F_t \sin 60^\circ. \tag{2.5}
$$

By defining f_s , f_n and f_t as forces per unit length as;

$$
f_n = F_n/a
$$
, $f_t = F_t/a$, $f_s = \frac{F_s}{a \cos 60^\circ}$. (2.6)

Based on the Coulomb's law of friction, $f_t = \mu f_n$. Where μ is the static/kinetic friction coefficient. Thus Eq.(2.5) can be rewrite as;

$$
f_s = f_n (1 + \mu \tan 60^\circ).
$$
 (2.7)

The normal deflection δ_n around the contact area is related to δ_s as:

$$
\delta_n = \delta_s \cos 60^\circ. \tag{2.8}
$$

Thus, through the system of Eqs. (2.1)-(2.4), the far-field traction-displacement relation f_s – δ_s can be obtained via the local normal traction-displacement relation $f_n - \delta_n$ in the contact area. When the surface is flat, the normal contact traction is:

$$
f_n = k_n \delta_n,\tag{2.9}
$$

where, $k_n = E\frac{t}{l}$ $\frac{L}{L}$

This is a simpler version derived from flament solution, where L is the height of a flat segment, t is the out of plane thickness and E is the Young's modulus of the base material. k_n was derived based on assumption that flat contact is equivalent to one-piece. We proved that through FE analysis. Please see the Appendix A for more details.

Thus, f_s can be written as a function of f_n and δ_s as follow:

$$
f_s = k_n \left(1 + \mu \tan 60^\circ \right) \cos 60^\circ \, \delta_s. \tag{2.10}
$$

To apply this model hierarchically via the Koch curve geometry, a theoretical model to predict the traction-displacement relation of Koch interlocking can be derived. Due to the small gap *g* the contact mechanism of Koch fractal interlocking can be separated into three stages for different overall uni-axial displacement δ as shown in [Figure 2.4:](#page-30-0) **Stage I** ($\delta < g$.), in this stage no contact happens due to the small gap *g*; **Stage II** $(g < \delta < \frac{g}{\epsilon}$ $\frac{g}{\cos 60^\circ} = 2g$), only some of the flat segments are in contact and no slant segment are in contact yet; **Stage III** $(\delta > \frac{g}{\delta \cos \theta})$ $\frac{g}{\cos 60^\circ} = 2g$, both slant and flat segments are in contact. Assume the vertical force applied at the boundaries of the *N th* order Koch fractal interlock is $F(N)$, the force-displacement relations of the three stages can be expressed as:

Figure 2.4. Contact/interlocking stages (a) Stage I, when no segment is in contact (b) Stage II when only some flat segments get in contact (c) Stage III when some of the flat and slant segments get in contact.

Stage I:
$$
F(N) = 0;
$$
 $\delta < g$ (2.11)

Stage II:
$$
F(N) = f_n a_n n_f^{c[N]}
$$
; $g < \delta < 2g \quad (2.12)$

Stage III:
$$
(N) = f_s a_s n_s^{c[N]} + f_n a_n n_f^{c[N]}
$$
; $\delta > 2g$ (2.13)

where a_s , and a_f are the contact areas of each slant and flat segment in the Koch fractal , n_f^{c} ^[N] and n_s^{c} [N] are the number of flat and slant segments in contact, respectively. n_f^{c} [N] and n_s^{c} [N] will be determined in the next section.

Generally, a_s and a_f are directly related to a_N . Due to the rounded tip *r* and the gap *g*, a_s and a_f will be functions of r and g as well. The schematics of the contact area for flat and slant segments are shown in [Figure 2.5.](#page-31-0)

Figure 2.5. Schematics of flat and slant segments' length when (a) *r>g* and (b) *r<g*.

According to [Figure 2.5](#page-31-0) a_s and a_f can be expressed as a function a_N , *r* and *g* as:

If *r*>*g*;

$$
a_s = a_N - 2g \sin 60^\circ - r \tan 60^\circ - r \tan 30^\circ. \tag{2.14}
$$

$$
a_f = a_N - r \tan 60^\circ - r \tan 30^\circ. \tag{2.15}
$$

And if $r < g$;

$$
a_s = a_N - 2g \sin 60^\circ - r \tan 60^\circ - g \tan 30^\circ
$$

=
$$
a_N - \frac{2g}{\sin 60^\circ} - r \tan 60^\circ.
$$
 (2.16)

$$
a_f = a_N - r \tan 60^\circ - g \tan 30^\circ. \tag{2.17}
$$

2.2. Fractal contact model

Categorization and self-similar reproducing mechanism. In nature, the fractal interlocking is the contact between flat segments and slant segments in different levels in a fractal manner. Due to the self-similarity of Koch fractal geometry, for Koch curves with *N*>2, the geometry can be decomposed of four sections with the geometry of *N*=1 rotating with four different angles with the horizontal direction as shown in [Figure 2.6.](#page-33-0) The four units are shown in [Figure 2.6.](#page-33-0)a, named as units S_0 ^o, S_{60} ^o, S_{120} ^o, S_{180} ^o rotating counter-clockwise to the horizontal direction (due to symmetry) as 0° , 60° /-60°, 120° /-120°, and 180° , respectively.

Figure 2.6. (a) Four decomposed sections with *N*=1 geometry: *x, y, z*, *k* rotating counterclockwise to the horizontal direction as 0° , 60° , 120° , and 360° , respectively. (b) Reproducing process of each section S_0 ^o, S_{60} ^o, S_{120} ^o, S_{180} ^o in [*N*]th level from sections in [*N*-1]th level.

According to this categorization, Koch fractal order *N* can be decomposed into S_0 ^o, S_{60} ^o, S_{120^o} , S_{180^o} units. The number of each unit in the N^{th} order RVE is *x*, *y*, *z* and *k*, respectively.

Using this categorization method, for a Koch curve with Nth order, a vector $m^[N]$ can be defined as:

$$
\mathbf{m}^{[N]} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ k \end{bmatrix}^{[N]}
$$
 (2.18)

[Figure 2.6.](#page-33-0)b shows that from [N-1] to [N] hierarchy, each S_{0} ^o section will generate two S_{0} ^o sections, and two S_{60} ^o sections, but no S_{120} ^o and S_{180} ^o segments; each S_{60} ^o section will generate one S_{0} ^o section, two S_{60} ^o sections, and one S_{120} ^o section; each S_{120} ^o section will generate one S_{60} ^o section, two S_{120} ^o sections, and one S_{180} ^o section; and each S_{180} ^o section will generate two S_{120} ^o sections and two S_{180} ^o sections in [N] order hierarchy.

Thus, due to self-similarity, the iterative relation of the number of each section at two neighboring hierarchies can be written as:

$$
\mathbf{m}^{[N]} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{m}^{[N-1]} \tag{2.19}
$$

where, **R** is named as reproducing matrix, and for Koch fractal geometry,

$$
\boldsymbol{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (2.20)

By taking the first row as an example, matrix R means that S_0 ^o in *N* hierarchy were generated from S_{0} ^o and S_{60} ^o in *N*-1 hierarchy. where two were S_{0} ^o, one was S_{60} ^o and non from S_{120} ^o and S_{180^o} , as gives the first row of *R* as (2, 1, 0,0).

Group matrix. In general Koch curve are composed of two types of segments: flat or slant. The total number of flat segments n_f , and slant segments n_s , are shown as a vector *n* as follows;

$$
\boldsymbol{n}^{[N]} = \begin{bmatrix} n_f \\ n_s \end{bmatrix}^{[N]} \tag{2.21}
$$

For each unit, the number of flat and slant segments can be summarized in a table as follows:

	S^0	S^{60}	S^{120}	S^{180}
n_f				
$n_{\rm s}$	$\overline{2}$	3		

Table 2.1 Number of flat and slant segments generated from S_0 ^o, S_{60} ^o, S_{120} ^o and S_{180} ^ounits.

Table 2.1 means each S_{0} ^o and S_{180} ^o units are composed of two flat and two slant segments and each of S₆₀° and S₁₂₀° units will generate three slant and one flat segments. Defining **G** matrix as a group matrix all segments can be grouped into slant and flat. According to this table G can be written as:

$$
G = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.22}
$$

Using matrix *G* the total number of flat and slant segments can be calculated as:

$$
\boldsymbol{n}^{[N]} = \boldsymbol{G}\boldsymbol{m}^{[N]} \tag{2.23}
$$

From another point of view, *G* is related to the reproducing matrix, *R* through the following two equations;

$$
G_{1j} = R_{1j+1} R_{4j}.
$$
\n(2.24)

$$
G_{2j} = R_{2j} + R_{3j}, j = 1, 2, 3, 4
$$
\n^(2.25)

Contact matrix. Furthermore, among all flat and slant segments, only some of them are in contact/interlocking. We define a vector n^c to represent the number of segments in contact and it can be:
$$
\boldsymbol{n}^{c[N]} = \begin{bmatrix} n_f^{c} \\ n_s^{c} \end{bmatrix}^{[N]}
$$
 (2.26)

Table 2.2 Number of flat and slant segments in contact that generated from S_0 °, S_{60} °, S_{120} ^o and S_{180} ^o units.

	S^0	S^{60}	S^{120}	S^{180}
n_f^c	O			
n_s^c	0			

Table 2.2 means each S_0 ^o unit has neither flat nor slant segments in contact; each of S_{60} ^o unit has one slant segment in contact; each S_{120} ^o unit has one flat and two slant segments in contact; and each S_{120} ^o unit has two flat and two slant segments in contact. By defining matrix C as a contact matrix, all contact segments will be categorized into slant and flat segments. According to Table 2.2 **C** can be written as;

$$
\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.27}
$$

Thus, total number of flat and segments in contact can be determined via:

$$
\boldsymbol{n}^{c\,[N]} = \boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{m}^{[N]} \tag{2.28}
$$

According to Eqs. (2.18) - (2.27) , for the Nth order Koch fractal interlocking, the numbers of flat and slant segments in contact can be determined through those at $[N-1]$ th order. A Matlab code was developed to theoretically explore the influence of each parameter on the mechanical properties of fractal contact.

The number of flat and slant in contact is summarized and shown in Table.2.3. From this table, knowing x, y ,z and k at [*N*], the exact number of flat and slant segments in contact can be predicted at [*N*+1]. As an example, from this table, the number of flat and slant segment in contact for *N*=3 are 2 and 12, respectively.

Segment	$\mathbf{2}$	3	4	$N+1$
$S^0 = x$	$\overline{2}$	6	20	$2x^N + y^N$
$S^{60} = y$	$\mathfrak{D}_{1}^{(1)}$	8	30	$2x^{N} + 2y^{N} + z^{N}$
$S^{120} = z$		$\overline{2}$	12	$y^{N}+2z^{N}+2k^{N}$
$S^{180} = k$				z^N+2k^N

Table 2.3 Summary of number of flat and slant segments in contact

2.3. Influence of g, r and μ **for** $N=3$

By taking the case of *N*=3 as an example, the influences of the small gap *g*, the rounded tip *radius r* and friction coefficient *μ* on the overall force (*F*) and displacement (*δ*) relation were studied via the theoretical model. The theoretical model results of the load-displacement curves are shown in [Figure 2.7.](#page-38-0)

[Figure 2.7](#page-38-0) shows that for the perfect model of $g = r = \mu = 0$, the curve starts from (0, 0) point. For the cases of $g > 0$, the curves show three stages: Stage I, $\delta < g$, the curve is with zero stiffness; Stage II, $2g < \delta \le g$; and (3) Stage III, $\delta > 2g$. [Figure 2.7.](#page-38-0)a shows that when *g* increases not only the contact of flat and slant segments is delayed, the slope of the force displacement curves at stages II and III also decreases. To calculate the stiffness, slope of force-displacement curve is converted

to the slope of stress-strain curve by using initial area (*w*) and initial height (*L*) of one Koch RVE. So that stiffness of Stage II Stage III of contact are E_{II} and E_{III} , respectively.

Figure 2.7. Influence of (a) gap *g*, (b) rounded tip radius *r* and (c) friction coefficient μ mechanical response of Stages II and III for case *N*=3.

The effective force-displacement curves for the case of $N=3$ and $g=0.1$ mm and $\mu=0.1$, with different values of $r=0$, 0.05, 0.15 and 0.3mm are shown in [Figure 2.7.](#page-38-0)b. The four curves in [Figure 2.7.](#page-38-0)b show that the contact starts from the same overall displacement due to the same gap, and then increases through the two stages. It can be seen that when *r* decreases, the effective stiffness of the fractal interlocks will increase significantly which is mainly due to the increase in contact area (Eqs.(2.14)-(2.17)).

To evaluate the effect of friction coefficient μ , the overall load-displacement curves for the case of $N=3$, $g=0.1$ mm and $r=0.05$ with different friction coefficient $\mu=0$, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 are plotted in [Figure 2.7.](#page-38-0)c. It can be seen that when μ increases, the slopes at Stage II are barely influenced, while the slopes at Stage III increases. This confirms the fact that flat segment's contact is independent of friction coefficient *μ.* However, the contact of slant segments (Stage III) depends on μ . When μ increases, contact force also increases.

2.4. Influence of g, r and *μ* **for cases with different** *N*

In this section, the influences of the geometric imperfections *g*, *r* and the friction coefficient *μ* for different *N*s were evaluated via the theoretical model. The influence of each parameter was explored by fixing other parameters in the theoretical model.

Effect of g. The influences of gap *g* on the effective stiffness of the two contact stages were studied for different *N* via the theoretical model. [Figure 2.8.](#page-41-0)a shows that when *g*=0, as *N* increases, theoretically, the effective slope of force-displacement always increases and there is no limitation for that. This trend continues so that theoretically/conceptually, the stiffness of the Koch fractal interlock (when $N \rightarrow \infty$) can achieve an even higher stiffness than the base material, although this sounds intuitively impossible. In this case, there is only one recognizable stage for contact mechanism (which is a combination of Stages II and III together) since both flat and slant segments are in contact from the very beginning.

However, in reality, *g* cannot be zero. [Figure 2.8.](#page-41-0)b shows that by keeping μ =0.1, *g*=0.1 mm, and to ensure self-similarity, $r=0.15a_N$, and increasing N, the slopes of $F-\delta$ curve increases when N<5; when *N* increases beyond 4, the slope starts decreasing and eventually become zero when *N* increases beyond 5. This is because that according to Eqs. (2.16) and (2.19), for non-zero *g* values, when *N* increases beyond a critical value, the contact area starts to decrease and eventually goes to zero due to loss of contact/interlocking. [Figure 2.8.](#page-41-0)c shows that when *g* increases to 0.2 mm, when *N*=5, the force has already gone to zero, indicating when *g* increases, the critical *N* for losing contact decreases.

Figure 2.8. Theoretical prediction of the influences of gap *g* on the mechanical response of fractal contact models for different *N*.

It can be concluded that the gap *g* plays a significant role on determining the contact behavior of Koch fractal interlocking, which is mainly due to influence of *g* on the contact area. The contact area can be quantified via the theoretical model. The contact areas *A* of the cases of *N*=2, 3 and 4, with μ = 0.1, g = 0.2 mm, r = 0.15 a_N are predicted as a function of the overall displacement, as shown in [Figure 2.9.](#page-42-0)

[Figure 2.9](#page-42-0) shows the evolution of the contact area of flat segments, A_f and the contact area of only slant segments, A_s . It can be seen that no contact occurs at Stage I; at Stage II, when N increases, A_f increases. However, at stage III, only $N=2$ and 3 have slant segments in contact, with A_s . for $N=3$ slightly larger than that with $N=2$. For $N=4$, $A_s=0$. This is because that for the relatively large value of *g,* the slant segments for the case of *N*=4 will not be in contact.

Figure 2.9. (a) Theoretical prediction of the contact area of flat and slant segments in different stages of deformation, and (b) the total contact area of Koch fractal for *N*=2, 3 and 4. $(A_f, A_s \text{ and } A_f + A_s \text{ represent contact area of flat, contact area of slant and total area,$ respectively.)

[Figure 2.9.](#page-42-0)b shows how total contact area changes with displacement during the three stages. When *N* increases from 2 to 3, the total contact area increases significantly in both stages. However, for *N*=4, the total contact area $A_f + A_s$ keeps unchanged from Stage II to Stage III. This explains that the slope of the load-displacement curve (shown in [Figure 2.8.](#page-41-0)c) of *N*=4 does not change.

Effect of r. The influence of geometric parameter *r* on the effective stiffness of the two contact stages were studied for different *N*. As [Figure 2.10.](#page-44-0)a shows that for *r*=0 case, when *N* increases, the slope of the F-δ curve in Stage II increases until *N*=5 and then because of the gap *g,* starts to decrease when N changes from 5 to 6. [Figure 2.10.](#page-44-0)b and c show that for the cases of $r = 0.1 a_N$ mm and $0.2a_N$ mm, the slope of the F- δ curve increases until *N*=5, after which it decreases with *N*, and when *r* increases, the critical number of hierarchy of losing contact will decrease.

Figure 2.10. Influence of rounded tip radius *r* on mechanical behavior of Koch fractal contact models.

Similarly, the contact areas of the case shown in [Figure 2.10.](#page-44-0)c are plotted for different *N*s in [Figure 2.11. Figure 2.11.](#page-45-0)a shows that at Stage II, when *N* increases from 2 to 5, the contact area of flat segments always increases; however, at Stage III, the contact area of slant segments increases first but then stop increasing $(A_s$ is almost the same for the cases of $N=3$ and 4) and eventually becomes zero when *N*=5.

Figure 2.11. (a) Contact area of flat and slant segments in different stages of deformation. (b) Total contact area of Koch fractal for N=2, 3,4 and 5. A_f , A_s and $A_f + A_s$ represent contact area of flat, contact area of slant and total area, respectively.

[Figure 2.11.](#page-45-0)b shows the evolution of the total contact area *A* for the case of $r=0.2a_N$. When *N*<5, from Stage II to III, *A* always increases, when *N*=5, *A* become unchanged from Stage II to Stage III. This is because of the zero A_s in Stage III for $N=5$.

[Figure 2.12](#page-46-0) shows the evolution of the total contact area *A* for the case of $r=0.1a_N$, it can be seen that when *N*>5, the contact area of the flat segments already starts to decreases in Stage II. The critical value of losing contact in Stage III is *N*=6, which is larger than the case of $r=0.2a_N$. This indicates that when r decreases, the critical value of *N* for losing contact will increase.

Figure 2.12. (a) Contact area of flat and slant segments in different stages of deformation. (b) Total contact area of Koch fractal for $N=2, 3, 4, 5$ and 6. A_f , A_s and $A_f + A_s$ represent contact area of flat, contact area of slant and total area, respectively.

Effect of μ *.* The influence of friction coefficient μ on the effective stiffness of the two contact stages were studied for different *N*. [Figure 2.13](#page-47-0) shows that for all three cases of μ =0, 0.1 and 0.2, the slope slightly increases for each *N*, but the critical *N* for losing contact keeps the same, indicating that the critical N for losing contact is not sensitive to the friction coefficient μ .

Figure 2.13. Theoretical prediction on the influences of friction coefficient on the loaddisplacement relations for different *N*'s.

2.5. Scaling Law

The theoretical prediction results in Section 2.5 indicate that the number of hierarchy *N*, the imperfection g and r, and the friction are all very important for the mechanical properties of the Koch fractal interlocking. Especially, N dominates the scaling effects of the effective stiffness. Based on the theoretical model, the influences of *N* on the overall stiffnesses of E_{II} and E_{III} of the fractal interlocking at Stages II and III are plotted in [Figure 2.14.](#page-49-0) It was found that E_{II} and

 E_{III} are functions of *N*, *g*, *r* and μ . System of Eqs.(2.4) to (2.31) provides a scaling law to predict the influences of these parameters on E_{II} and E_{III} .

[Figure 2.14.](#page-49-0)a shows that the influence of *g* on the non-dimensionalized effective stiffness of Stage II, $\frac{E_{II}}{E}$ for different *N* values, where E is the Young's modulus of the material. The solid black curve indicates the stiffness of the perfect system of $g=0$ and $r=0$. It provides the upper limit of the effective stiffness for Koch contact for each *N*. For the perfect system, $E_{II} = E_{III}$, and they always increase with N, and can goes to infinity. However, for any *g*>0, and/or r>0, there is a critical value of N_{cr} for each stage, when N $\gg=N_{cr}$, the top and bottom piece will loss contact, and therefore a zero stiffness. For example, for the cases shown i[n Figure 2.14,](#page-49-0) when $g=0.1$ mm, $N_{cr}=6$, and when $g=0.2$ mm, $N_{cr}=5$. Also, there is a N_{opt} (2< N_{opt} < N_{cr}) for each stage, at which the maximum stiffness is achieved. For example, for the cases shown in [Figure 2.14,](#page-49-0) in Stage II, N_{opt} =4, and in Stage III, N_{opt} =3.

Figure 2.14. Influences of gap *g* on non-dimensionalized effective stiffness in (a) Stage II and (b) Stage III. (The solid black curves represent the ideal case of *g*=0 and *r*=0 which is the upper limit of effective stiffness for Koch contact for each *N.*)

[Figure 2.14](#page-49-0) shows that when g changes from 0 to 0.1, the stiffness changes more dramatically than when g changes from 0.1 to 0.2. To show the dramatic transition more clearly, [Figure 2.15](#page-50-0) shows more curves when g changes from 0 to 0.1. [Figure 2.15](#page-50-0) shows that in Stage II, when g increases from 0.01mm to 0.1mm, N_{opt} decreases from 6 to 4.

Figure 2.15. Non-dimensionalized effective stiffness vs. *N*, when $0 < g < 0.1$ mm.

Effect of r. [Figure 2.16](#page-51-0) shows how the effective non-dimensional stiffness in Stage II and Stage III changes with N for three self-similar cases: $r=0$, $r=0.1a_N$, and $r=0.2a_N$. It can be seen that when r increases from 0 to 0.2aN, N_{cr} decreased from 7 to 6, while $N_{opt} = 5$ in Stage II, and $N_{opt} = 4$ in Stage III.

Figure 2.16. Influence of rounded tip radius *r* on non-dimensionalized effective stiffness in (a) Stage II and (b) Stage III. (The solid black curves are for the ideal case of $g = 0$ and $r = 0$.)

Effect of μ *. By taking a specific geometry:* $g=0.05$ *and* $r=0.1a_N$ *, as an example, the non*dimensional stiffness in both stages are plotted as a function of *N* in [Figure 2.17. Figure 2.17.](#page-52-0)a shows that in Stage II, the stiffness E_{II} is independent of the friction coefficient μ . This is because the contact of flat segments is independent on friction. [Figure 2.17.](#page-52-0)b shows that in Stage III, the stiffness E_{III} slightly increases, when the friction coefficient μ increases.

Figure 2.17. Influence of friction coefficient on non-dimensionalized effective stiffness in (a) Stage II and (b) Stage III. (The solid black curves are for the ideal case of $g = 0$ and $r = 0$)

2.6. Summary

In this chapter, a theoretical model was developed to predict the contact area and the loaddisplacement relation of both perfect and imperfect Koch fractal contact and interlocking with different geometries. The Koch fractal interlocking shows a typical three-stage deformation mechanism, when the gap *g* is larger than zero.

The influences of the geometric imperfection including the gap *g* and the tip radius *r*, and the friction coefficient was quantified via the theoretical model. It was found that the design is sensitive to geometric imperfection and friction. Specifically, it was shown that when *g* increases, the stiffness in both stages decrease, also initiation of contact delays; when *r* increases, both the overall stiffness and contact area increases; Friction does not influence the contact area and the stiffness in Stage II, but in Stage III, when μ increases, the overall stiffness slightly increases.

A scaling law for the effective stiffness non-dimenalized with the Young's modulus of the bulk material (*E*=1.7 GPa) was shown in Section 2.6. The scaling law indicates that for the perfect system, the stiffness will increase exponentially with *N*. However, the system is imperfection sensitive so that an optimal *N* value N_{opt} exists to achieve the maximum stiffness. Also, the Koch fractal contact area is sensitive to imperfections, so that a critical value N value N_{cr} exist, beyond which no contact occurs and therefore the system shows zero overall stiffness. Specifically, the stiffness decreases exponentially with the gap *g.* Compared with *g*, *r* and friction has less influence on the overall stiffness.

Chapter 3. Finite Element Analysis of Koch Fractal Interlocking

In this chapter, Finite Element (FE) analysis is performed to evaluate the mechanical behavior of Koch fractal interlock designed. Both 2D and 3D FE models of both perfect and imperfect Koch fractal interlocking are developed. Non-linear FE simulations were performed to quantify the mechanical properties and behavior of the designs.

To choose the correct unit cell for the FE models, the effects of the number of Representative Volume Elements (RVE), and the geometry of it are present in Sections 3.1 and 3.2, respectively. Parametric study of the load-displacement behavior of the Koch fractal designs were performed and presented in Section 3.3. In Section 3.4, 3D FE simulations were performed to further evaluate the evolution of contact area of the designs during deformation. To further evaluate the influences of material property on the stiffness and strength of the designs, in Section 3.5, FE simulations with both linear elastic material model and elasto-perfect-plastic material model were conducted and the results are compared. In section 3.6, the energy absorption of fractal interlocks with different geometries were quantified via FE simulations. Finally, the major conclusions are summarized in Section 3.7.

3.1 Effects of the number of RVEs

FE models of Koch fractal contact with 1 RVE, 2 RVE and 3 RVEs were developed in ABAQUS 6.13. Two dimensional finite element (FE) models for *N*=2, 3 and 4 were developed in ABAQUS/CAE. Four-node, plane stress, quadrilateral, and elements with reduced integration (CPS4R) were used in all FE models. Elastic model with Young's modulus of *E*= 1.7 GPa,

Poisson's ratio of $v=0.4$ and density of $p=1.1e^{-9}$ was used. Very fine mesh were used in the contact area, as shown in [Figure 3.1a](#page-55-0). Mesh sensitivity study was performed to balance computational cost and accuracy. 0.1 mm mesh size was chosen within the fractal zone (*L* as shown in [Figure 3.1b](#page-55-0).) The bottom edges of the FE models are fixed and the top edge subjected to a prescribed displacement. From the FE simulations, the displacement δ were output as the change in distance between the peak point and the bottom line of the fractal boundary, as shown in [Figure 3.1b](#page-55-0), so that we only focus on the deformation in the fractal contact zone, the deformation of the shoulders are excluded. The left and right boundaries of the FE models can only move vertically.

Figure 3.1. Boundary conditions and the finite element mesh with *N*=2, 3 and 4, respectively; (b) illustration of the measured displacement.

The overall load-displacement curves of the FE models with different numbers of RVEs for the case of *N*=2, *r*= 0.45, g=0.1 and μ =0.3 and *N*=3, *r*= 0.15, g=0.1 and μ =0.3 are compared in [Figure 3.2.](#page-56-0)a and [Figure 3.3a](#page-57-0), respectively. All three cases show the three-stage deformation mechanism very clearly. To further evaluate the influence of number of RVEs, the effective stressstrain curves are plotted and shown in [Figure 3.2b](#page-56-0) and [Figure 3.3b](#page-57-0), respectively. It can be seen that the stress-strain curves are identical for different numbers of RVE. [Figure 3.2](#page-56-0) and [Figure 3.3](#page-57-0) indicate that the results with the rolling boundary condition on the left and right edges are independent on the number of RVEs.

Figure 3.2. Mechanical response of Koch interlocking models. (a) Force-displacement curves and (b) Stress-strain curves of Koch fractal with different number of RVEs horizontally oriented when *N*=2.

Figure 3.3. Mechanical response of Koch interlocking models. (a) Force-displacement curves and (b) Stress-strain curves of Koch fractal with different number of RVEs horizontally oriented when *N*=3.

3.2 Effect of RVE symmetry

To study the effect of RVE symmetry, two types of FE models were developed for each case of *N*=2, 3 and 4: Type 1 is with 2 RVEs with the peaks upward, and Type 2 is also with 2 RVEs but one with the peak upward and the other with the peak flipped 180°, as shown in [Figure 3.4a](#page-58-0) In all FE models $g=0.1$ mm, $\mu=0.3$, and to ensure self-similarity, *r* changes proportionally to the length of segments in each *N* i.e $r = ca_N$ ($c = 0.15$)mm.

Figure 3.4. (a) Influence of geometry complexity on mechanical behavior of Koch fractal with $N=2,3$ and 4. (b) Stress distribution of cases with different RVE design when $\delta=0.3$ mm.

The overall load-displacement curves of all FE models are compared in [Figure 3.4a](#page-58-0) shows that when *N* increases, stiffness in both Stage II and III increases. The FE results of Type 1 and Type 2 are almost identical in Stage II. However, in stage III, the Type 2 has a slightly lower stiffness than Type 1. This is because that for Type 2, due to the asymmetric geometry the local deformation in Stage III becomes asymmetric (as shown in the stress contour of [Figure 3.4b](#page-58-0)) and therefore, the contact area might be slightly reduced.

Stress contours for all Type 1 FE models in Stage II and Stage III are shown and compared in [Figure 3.5](#page-59-0) It can be seen that when the order of hierarchy *N* increases, in both Stage II and Stage III, the local stress increases and more material gets involved through contact and interlocking and therefore absorb more energy.

Figure 3.5. FE Von Misses stress counter of the designs with *N*=2,3 and 4 at two displacement δ = 0.15, 0.35 (*g*=0.1 mm and *r*=0.15 a_N).

3.3 Parametric study on the overall force displacement behavior for case *N***=3 (Elastic model)**

In this section, by taking the case of $N=3$ as an example, the influence of each geometric imperfection including the small gap *g*, the rounded tip radius *r* and friction coefficient μ on the overall force-displacement curves will be explored via FE simulations.

Based on the results in Sections 3.1 and 3.2, for the parametric study, FE models with one RVE and with rolling constrains on the left and right boundaries will be used to quantify the mechanical behavior of the designs.

Influence of gap g. FE models of Koch fractal interlocking with *N*=3, and with three different values of $g = 0.1$, 0.4, 0.6 mm were developed. In all FE models, $r=0.05$ mm and $\mu=0.3$. The overall load-displacement curves of all FE models are plotted and compared in [Figure 3.6.](#page-60-0) It can be seen that there are two major effects due to $g: (1)$ when g increases, the initial contact is delayed; (2) when *g* increases, the stiffness in both Stage II and Stage III decreases. The reduction of stiffness is more serious in Stage III than in Stage II. This is because *g* has more influence on the contact of slant segments in Stage III. [Figure 3.6b](#page-60-0) shows that when *g* is large enough, no slant segments will be in contact, so that the stiffness in Stage II and Stage III is the same.

Figure 3.6. Influences of gap *g* on the force-displacement response of *N*=3.

Influence of rounded tip radius r. FE models of Koch fractal interlocking with *N*=3, and *r*=0.05, 0.15 and 0.3 with three different values of $g = 0.1$, 0.4, 0.6 mm were developed. In all FE models, $g=0.1$ mm and $\mu=0.3$. The overall load-displacement curves of all FE models are plotted and compared in [Figure 3.7.](#page-61-0) This figure shows that *r* has very little influence on the stiffness of Koch fractal interlocking.

Figure 3.7. Influence of *r* on mechanical response of Koch fractal design when *N*=3.

Influence of friction coefficient μ. FE models of Koch fractal interlocking with *N*=3, and μ =0.1 and 0.3 were developed. In all FE models, g =0.1 mm and r =0.15. The overall load-displacement curves are compared in [Figure 3.8.](#page-62-0) It can be seen that μ does not influence the stiffness in Stage II, and when μ increases, the stiffness in Stage II slightly increases.

Figure 3.8. Influence of friction coefficient *μ* on load-displacement response of Koch fractal contact with *N*=3.

3.4 3D FE simulations of Koch fractal interlocks

From the 2D FE models, the contact area cannot be outputted. While the contact area can be achieved from 3D FE models. Therefore, 3D FE models were also developed.

3D FE models with $N=2$, 3 and 4 with $g=0.1$ mm, $\mu=0.3$ and $r=0.15$ a_N mm were developed as shown in [Figure 3.9.](#page-63-0) The out of plane thickness for all 3D FE models is 1 mm. The mesh size is the same with that of the 2D FE models.

Figure 3.9. (a) 3D FE models of the Koch fractal design with $N=3$, $r=0.15$ mm, $g=0.1$ mm; (b) Boundary and loading conditions applied to 3D FE models.

The evolution of total contact area *A* and the overall load-displacement curves for different *N*s are plotted and compared in [Figure 3.10a](#page-64-0) and [Figure 3.10b](#page-64-0), respectively.

Figure 3.10. Numerical (a) contact area versus overall displacement and (b) force-overall displacement relation of *N*=2, 3 and 4.

To further study the influence of *g*, *r*, *μ* and boundary condition on the contact area, different geometries of *N*=3 were investigated. Influence of *g*, on contact area at different deformations is shown in [Figure 3.11a](#page-65-0). As it is expected increasing *g* significantly decreases the contact area *A*. Influence of *r* on contact area of *N*=3 is shown in [Figure 3.11b](#page-65-0). As this figure shows for small deformation, contact area does not extensively changes when *r* increases to its triple (0.05 mm to 0.15 mm). However, keep increasing *r* to 0.3 mm, more significant influence can be observed on the amount of contact area.

The influence of friction coefficient is shown in [Figure 3.11c](#page-65-0). For small deformation, the results are identical and shows no difference at all. While for large deformations, the lower value of μ shows a slightly higher curve. Finally, [Figure 3.11d](#page-65-0) indicates how different boundary conditions on the sides of the fractal zone influences the contact area. As it can be seen, for small deformations there is almost no difference between boundary conditions. This is mainly due to existence of flat segments as they have major role in controlling motion.

Figure 3.11. Comparison between contact area of Koch fractal with different values of (a) *g,* (b) r , (c) μ and (d) boundary conditions.

3.5 Comparison between linear elastic and elastic-perfectly plastic model

In this Section, to evaluate the influence of material model on the prediction, FE models with both linear-elastic material model and elasto-perfect-plastic model are developed. For the linear elastic material model, the Young's modulus is *E*=1700 MPa, and the Poisson's ratio v=0.4. For the elasto-perfect-plastic model, the Young's modulus, the Poisson's ratio and the initial yielding stress were assumed to be 1700 MPa, 0.4 and 54.32 MPa, respectively. These values are achieved from performing an experimental uniaxial test on a VeroWhite dog bone.

Influences of N. FE model with $N=2$, 3 and 4 were developed. In all FE models, $g=0.1$ mm, $\mu=$ 0.3, and to ensure self-similarity, *r* is proportionally changing to a_N i. e $r = 0.15a_N$. The FE results of load-displacement curves are plotted and compared in [Figure 3.12.](#page-67-0) The bifurcation points of the solid and dash lines indicate the initiation of yielding in the interlocking material. It can be seen that the interlock softens when part of the material enters into the plastic regime. Generally, when *N* increases, local yielding occurs earlier and under a higher load. For example, for *N*=2, local yield initiates in Stage III, while for *N*=3, local yielding initiates in Stage II. This is consistent with the stress contour shown i[n Figure 3.5.](#page-59-0) After the initial local yielding, the yielding propagates in more area and the load-displacement curves reach a peak. This indicates the strength of the Koch fractal interlock. When *N* increases, the overall strength also increases. In addition, when *N* increases, the curves after the peak become smoother, indicating a more stable response in the post-yielding regime.

Figure 3.12. FE comparison between linear-elastic and elasto-perfect plastic material models for *N*=2, 3 and 4.

By taking $N=3$ case as an example, the influence of geometry factors *g*, *r* and friction μ are investigated in the following sub-sections.

Influence of gap g. FE models with $N=3$ was developed. For all models, $\mu=0.3$ and $r=0.05$ mm. The FE overall load-displacement curves are plotted in [Figure 3.13.](#page-68-0) As we expected, when *g* increases, both strength and stiffness of fractal interlocking significantly decreases. Especially, the strength can reduce about 10 times.

Figure 3.13. Influences of gap *g* on the force-displacement response for *N*=3.

Influence of r. FE models with $N=3$ was developed. For all models, $\mu=0.3$ and $g=0.1$ mm, and *r* varies as 0.05mm, 0.15mm, and 0.3mm. The FE overall load-displacement curves are plotted and compared in [Figure 3.14.](#page-69-0)**[Figure 3.13](#page-68-0)** Generally, when *r* increases, less materials are available for contact and interlocking and therefore the forces are expected to decrease slightly, however, when for a smaller *r*, the bending of the teeth can make the contact and interlocking become weaker. Therefore, this is an optimal r which balances the two factors and gives the maximum stiffness and strength. But, overall, the results are not very sensitive to *r*.

Figure 3.14. Influence of *r* on mechanical response of *N*=3 with elasto-perfect-plastic response.

Influence of friction coefficient μ *. FE models with <i>N*=3, *g*=0.1 mm and *r*=0.05 mm was developed. [Figure 3.15](#page-70-0) shows that when μ increases, both stiffness and strength increases. Also, for larger friction, local yielding occurs earlier.

Figure 3.15. Influence of *μ* on the load-displacement curves of *N*=3 with linear elastic and elasto-perfectly plastic material models.

3.6 FE quantification of Energy

The energy per unit volume absorbed by the top and bottom part of the Koch fractal interlocking with different geometries were quantified via FE simulations. Elasto-perfect-plastic material model was used in all FE simulations.

2D FE models were developed. The energy density of the top and bottom parts of the interlock with *N*=2, 3, and 4 is plotted and compared in [Figure 3.16.](#page-71-0) Generally, when *N* increases, the energy density increases, indicating the more efficient usage of material. For *N*=2, the top piece absorbs a little more strain energy than the bottom piece. While for the cases of *N*>2, the bottom piece absorbs more energy than the top piece, and it is a trend that a larger *N*, the energy absorbed by

the top piece increases, while that absorbed by the bottom piece decreases. Therefore, more difference in the energy absorbed by the top and bottom pieces. This difference indicates the increase in bending energy of the bottom piece, when *N* increases.

Figure 3.16. Distribution of strain energy per volume fraction between top and bottom pieces for different cases of (a) *N*=2, (a) *N*=3 and (a) *N*=4.
[Figure 3.17](#page-72-0) shows the influence of *r* on the energy density of the top and bottom pieces. In general, when *r* increases, the energy density increases, indicating a better usage of the material. Comparing [Figure 3.17a](#page-72-0), b and c, it can be seen that when *r* decreases*,* the difference between the amount of strain energy absorbed by the top and bottom pieces increases, indicating more bending deformation in the teeth.

Figure 3.17. Distribution of strain energy per volume fraction between top and bottom pieces for $N=3$ with different values of (a) $r=0.05$ mm, (b) $r=0.15$ mm and (c) $r=0.3$ mm.

[Figure 3.18](#page-73-0) shows the influence of g on the energy density of the top and bottom pieces of the Koch fractal interlocking with different values of *g*. In can be seen that with the same value of *r* and *μ,* the geometry with a larger *g* absorbs much less energy than that with a smaller gap. Also, when *g* increases, some of the teeth on the top piece becomes slander and therefore experience larger bending deformation.

Figure 3.18. FE curves of energy density vs displacement and FE stress distribution contours for $N=3$ with $r=0.05$ mm, (a) $g=0.6$ mm and (b) $g=0.1$ mm.

3.7 Summary of the chapter

In summary, in this chapter we numerically studied the mechanical behavior of Koch fractal contact for different geometries. 2D and 3D FE models show to have almost identical results. The Koch fractal interlocking FE model shows a typical three-stage deformation mechanism, when the gap *g* is larger than zero.

The influences of the geometric imperfection including the gap *g* and the tip radius *r*, and the friction coefficient μ were quantified via FE model. It was found that the initial stiffness of designs is only sensitive to *N* and geometric imperfection g. It was shown that when *g* increases, the

stiffness in both stages decrease, also initiation of contact delays; tooth radius *r* shows to have a slight influence on both contact area and contact force; friction does not influence the contact area and the stiffness in Stage II, but in Stage III, when μ increases, the overall stiffness slightly increases.

FE models with elastic perfectly plastic mechanical model were developed. From this investigation, it was found that strength increases by; increasing complexity of designs i.e increasing *N* and decreasing imperfections *g* and *r*.

The influences of the geometric imperfection and *N* were investigated on energy density of top and bottom pieces of Koch interlock models. It was shown that increasing *N*, energy density of the whole model increases which indicates the more efficient usage of material. Increasing *N* also increases the amount of energy absorbed by the top piece.

The influences of the geometric imperfection and *N* were investigated on energy density of top and bottom pieces of Koch interlock models. It was showed that increasing *N*, increases energy density of whole model increases indicating the more efficient usage of material. Increasing *N* also shows the energy absorbed by the top piece increases, while that absorbed by the bottom piece.

Chapter 4. 3D Printing and Mechanical Experiments

In this Chapter, the Koch fractal interlocking of *N*=2, 3 and 4 were fabricated via a multimaterial 3D printer (Objet Connex 260). The specimens were two types: (1) with gap *g* and (2) with the gap filled with soft adhesive layer. Uni-axial tensions experiments were performed on both type of specimens. The experimental results of the specimens with gaps were compared with the theoretical prediction and FE simulations. The results from experiments of both types of specimens were compared to evaluate the role of the adhesive layer in the Koch fractal interlocking. In addition, a new design of Koch interlocking with asymmetric geometry was fabricated with the soft adhesive layer and both uni-axial tension and compact tension experiments were performed to evaluate the mechanical behavior of the new designs.

In Section 4.1, Koch fractal interlocking with different *N* and gaps were fabricated and tested via uni-axial tension experiments. In Section 4.2 Koch fractal interlocking with different *N* and soft adhesive layer were fabricated and tested via both uni-axial tension experiments and compact tension experiments. The results of the two types of specimens were compared in Section 4.3. Then, in Sections 4.4 and 4.5, to balance the material usage on the top and bottom piece, Koch interlocking specimens with one RVE upward and the other RVE downward were designed and fabricated with the soft adhesive layer. Both uni-axial tension and compact tension experiments were performed to evaluate the toughness of the new design under two different loading cases. Finally, conclusions were summarized in Section 4.6.

4.1 Fabrication and tensile experiments of Koch fractal interlocking with gaps

Koch fractal interlocking with *N*=2, 3 and 4 with one RVE was designed for experiments. The dimensions of the specimens are: the width of the specimen, i.e. $a_0=54$ mm, $g = 0.2$ mm and $r=0.15 a_N$. The specimens were printed as VeroWhite material from the 3D printer. Speckle patterns were put on the surfaces of the specimens and Digital Image Correlations (DIC) (VIC-2D) was used to track the strain evolution during the experiments. Then the top and bottom pieces of each specimen were mounted on the Zwick material testing machine. Quasi-static uni-axial tensile load was controlled for the top piece, the loading rate is 0.024 mm/min. Through performing mechanical test on a dog bone Vero white the Young's modulus, Poisson's ratio, and ultimate strength are found to be $E=1.7$ GPa, $v=0.33$ and $\sigma=35$ MPa, respectively.

From the experiments, the overall force-displacement curves of the 3D-printed specimens with *N*=2, 3 and 4 are shown in [Figure 4.1.](#page-77-0)a. It can be seen that generally, when *N* increases, the stiffness and strength of the specimens increase. The increase in stiffness and strength is significant from *N*=2 to 3. When *N* increases from 3 to 4, although the stiffness and strength only slightly increases, but the toughness and the final displacement to breakage increase significantly.

Figure 4.1. Experimental results of 3D-printed Koch fractal interlocking with *N*=2, 3 and 4, one RVE, gap $g=0.2$ mm and $r=0.15$ a_N . Teeth with large deformations are marked with a circle.

During the deformation, some of the teeth break, as shown in [Figure 4.1.](#page-77-0)b, which cause the drop of the curves. For *N*=2, due to sliding of the two slant segments, no tooth breakage was observed. When *N*=3 and 4, have one and two major teeth breakage, respectively, which are marked with circles on [Figure 4.1.](#page-77-0)b.

For each specimen, two major strain components of ϵ_{yy} and ϵ_{xy} were output from the Digital Image correlation (DIC) software (VIC2D). [Figure 4.2](#page-78-0) shows the distribution of \mathcal{E}_{yy} for *N*= 2, 3 and 4 at different overall displacement. When the overall strain $\varepsilon = 0.03$, for $N=2$ specimen, there is no contact yet; while for the cases of $N=3$ and 4, the flat segments start in contact. When the overall strain in vertical direction $\varepsilon = 0.05$, for *N*=2 specimen, only slant segments are in contact, the model experiences sliding and interlocking; for the cases of *N*=3 and 4, the flat and slant segments are fully in contact. When the overall strain $\varepsilon = 0.08$, in shown in [Figure 4.2.](#page-78-0) For all specimens, some of the segments which were in contact before now lost contact due to large local deformation and tooth breakage (Marked with circles in [Figure 4.1b](#page-77-0)).

Figure 4.2. DIC strain (ε_{yy}) contours of Koch fractal interlock with *N*=2, 3 and 4 under uniaxial tension.

The shear strain component was also output from DIC at the overall strain of 0.05, as shown in **[Figure 4.3](#page-79-0)**. It can be seen that the local shear is significant around the slant segments in contact.

Figure 4.3. DIC strain (ε_{xy}) contours of Koch fractal interlock with *N*=2, 3 and 4 under uniaxial tension.

4.2 Fabrication and tensile experiments on Koch interlocking with adhesive layer

Different from Section 4.1, in this Section, the gap of the specimens in Section 4.1 were replaced with a soft adhesive layer. The new specimens with adhesive layers were fabricated via the multi-material printer, in which the adhesive layer was printed as TangoBlack+, and the major pieces were printed as VeroWhite (the same as the specimens in Section 4.1). TangoBlack+ is a soft rubbery material with Young's modulus ~1MPa. Again the geometric dimensions of the specimens are: a_0 =54 mm, $g = 0.2$ mm and $r = 0.15$ a_N . Thus, the only difference between the specimens in this section and those in Section 4.1 is with or with/out the soft adhesive layer. Speckle patterns were also put on the surfaces of the specimens and Digital Image Correlations (DIC) (VIC-2D) was used to track the strain evolution during the experiments. Then the top and bottom pieces of each specimen were mounted on the Zwick material testing machine. Quasi-static uni-axial tensile load was controlled for the top piece, the loading rate was 0.024 mm/min.

From the experiments, the overall load-displacement curves are plotted and shown in [Figure 4.4.](#page-80-0) It can be seen that generally, the curves show two peaks, and when *N* increases, the stiffness is almost the same, while the toughness and the peak strength of both peaks increases significantly. Although, more improvement was observed when *N* increases from 2 to 3 than from 3 to 4. The first load drop after the first peak is because of the failure of the soft adhesive layer. Then after the layer failure, the interlocking still functions through fractal contact between the top and bottom pieces.

Figure 4.4. Experimental results of Koch *N*=2, 3 and 4 with a soft layer.

4.3 Comparison of Koch interlocking with gaps and soft adhesive layers

The only difference between the specimens and experiments in Sections 4.1 and 4.2 is the soft adhesive layer. In order to evaluate the role of the soft adhesive layer, the overall loaddisplacement curves of the Koch interlocking with gaps (Section 4.1) and with layers (Section 4.2) for different *N*s are plotted and compared in [Figure 4.5.](#page-81-0)

Figure 4.5. Comparison between the overall load-displacement curves of the 3D-printed specimens with and without a soft adhesive layer for $N= 2$, 3 and 4 (one RVE, gap $g=0.2$ mm and $r = 0.15 a_N$.)

[Figure 4.5](#page-81-0) clearly shows that by adding a soft adhesive layer, the stiffness, strength and toughness are all significantly increased. Also, for the specimens with the soft adhesive layer, the effective stiffness after the first peak is similar to the effective stiffness of the corresponding specimens with gaps. Also, compared with the specimens with soft adhesive layers, the ones with gaps are very sensitive to the alignment of the specimens. This is because that the top and bottom pieces initially are not connected, and any in-plane and/or out-of-plane misalignment can significantly reduce the contact area and therefore the load-bearing capacity of the specimens.

4.4 Comparison between FE models and experiments

To compare and validate experimental results of 3D-printed dog bone specimens without a soft layer, with numerical results, 2D FE models of $N=2$, 3 and 4 with $g=0.2$ mm, $\mu=0.01$ and $r=0.15$ a_N mm were developed, where $a_0 = 54$ mm. The out of plane thickness is 4 mm. and the mesh size 0.2 mm was used for Koch surfaces. For all models, plane stress elements (CPS4R) and elasto perfectly plastic material model with Young's modulus of *E*= 1.7 GPa, Poisson's ratio of ν=0.33 and failure strength of *σ* =30 MPa was used.

The overall force-displacement curves of the FE models with gap instead of adhesive layer are compared with the experimental curves of the specimens with adhesive layer. All other geometric parameters of the FE models and the specimens are the same. Figure 4.6 shows that the FE results capture the second peak in the experimental curve. While the second peak in experiments is after the failure of the adhesive layer and therefore is mainly due to the fractal contact and interlocking. This indicate that the FE model captured the fractal contact and interlocking accurately.

Figure 4.6 Comparison between overall force-displacement curve from FE simulation and experimental results for *N*=2, 3 and 4.

4.5 Tensile experiments on Koch fractal interlocking with asymmetric geometry

Based on the results of Sections 4.1 - 4.3, it is suggested that the Koch fractal interlocking with soft adhesive layer is preferred for better mechanical performance (i.e. increased stiffness, strength and fracture toughness) and more stable behavior (insensitive to misalignment etc.). Also, it was shown in Chap. 3 that the top and bottom pieces deform differently and absorb different amount of energy. Thus, for practical design, to balance the energy absorbed by the top and bottom pieces, Koch fractal specimens with neighboring RVEs having opposite directions (as shown in [Figure 4.7\)](#page-84-0) are expected to have better mechanical performance. According to these reasons, in this Section and the next, we will focus on the Koch fractal interlocking with soft adhesive layers and also with neighboring RVEs having opposite directions.

Figure 4.7. 3D-printed Koch interlocking specimens with two flipped RVEs and a soft adhesive layer tested under uni-axial tension for *N*=0,1 2 and 3.

For all specimens, the total width of the two RVEs is 54 mm, the in-plane thickness of the soft adhesive layer is 0.4 mm (*g*=0.4 mm) and the out-of-plane thickness is 2 mm. To reduce potential stress concentration at sharp corners, the Koch interface were rounded at the tips with radius $r =$ 0.15 a_N . Thus the designs for different *N*s are self-similar.

The new designs for $N= 0, 1, 2$ and 3 were fabricated via the multi-material 3D printer. As shown in [Figure 4.7,](#page-84-0) each specimen include two RVEs with opposite directions. Again, the layer was printed as TangoBlack+, and the top and bottom pieces were printed as VeroWhite. To better transform load from the grips, shoulders were added to the specimens, as shown in [Figure 4.7.](#page-84-0)

Quasi-static uni-axial tension experiments with displacement rate of 0.024 mm/min were conducted on a material testing machine (ZWICK/Roell Z5.0).

To guarantee repeatability, three identical specimens were printed and tested for each *N* (total of 12 tests).

The overall force-displacement curves are plotted and compared in [Figure 4.8.](#page-86-0) For the cases of *N*=0 and 1, the curves only contain one peak. While for the cases of *N*=2 and 3 all curves show two peaks. This is because the cases of *N*=0 and 1 do not have interlocking, while the cases of *N*=2 and 3 have interlocking. The first peak of the four geometries are similar to each other, while the residual strength (σ_r) of the second peak increases dramatically when *N* increases. Also, [Figure 4.8](#page-86-0) shows that as the number of hierarchy *N* increases, fracture toughness, Г (area under F-δ curve) increases.

Figure 4.8. Experimental load-displacement curves of the 3D-printed specimens tested under uniaxial tension, with two flipped RVEs and a soft adhesive layer for *N*=0, 1, 2, and 3.

[Figure 4.8](#page-86-0) shows that for the cases of *N*=2 and 3, the second peak starts from the overall displacement equals to the thickness of the layer, i.e. 0.4mm. This further confirms that the

second peak is due to the interlocking after the material in the adhesive layer fails. As a result, even though the adhesive layer fails, contact and interlocking keeps the parts together.

The fracture toughness Γ_N of each geometry was nondimensionalized by the toughness of the flat specimen Γ_0 and plotted as a function of *N*, shown in Eq. (4.1) in [Figure 4.9.](#page-87-0)a. It can be seen that the toughness of $N=2$ is about three times higher than the flat layer, and that of $N=3$, is about six times higher than the flat layer. More accurately, it was found that the non-dimensionalized toughness exponentially related to *N* and well above the rule of mixture, as indicates that the significantly improved energy dissipation ability with higher *N*s is mainly due to the Koch geometry.

$$
\frac{\Gamma_N}{\Gamma_0} = e^{(cN)}\tag{4.1}
$$

Figure 4.9. (a) Nondimensionalized toughness vs. *N* (b) the finite element stress contours of the Koch layer with different *Ns.*

To further understand the toughening mechanism, finite element models of the four specimens were developed in ABAQUS V 6.13 (Simulia, USA). Linear, quadrilateral, plane stress elements (CPS4R) were used in the model. Hyperplastic Mooney-Rivlin model were used for the soft Koch layer. For the hard phase, linear elastic, isotropic material model was used, with Young's modulus $E=1700$ MPa and the Poisson's ratio $v=0.4$. These parameters were obtained from best fitting the experiment data of *N*=0. The FE results of the stress contours of the Koch layer with different *N*s are shown in [Figure 4.9.](#page-87-0)b, which that the highest stress is always located in the flat segments and the tips of the Koch layer. Also, when *N* increases, damage were distributed in a fractal manner. In this way, energy can be dissipated more efficiently in the hard phase to avoid the catastrophic failure.

4.6 Compact tension experiments of Koch fractal interlocking with asymmetric geometry

To investigate damage evolution in Koch fractal layer, similar specimens for compact tension experiments were designed. The dimensions of the specimens were designed according to modified ASTM standard size as shown in [Figure 4.10.](#page-89-0) The designed specimens include Koch interfacial layers with four different geometries, $N=0, 1, 2$ and 3. The thickness of soft interface is 0.4 mm (*g*=0.4 mm) and out of plane thickness of samples is 2 mm. The designs were then fabricated via the multi-material 3D printer. Quasi-static compact tension experiments with displacement rate of 0.024 mm/min were conducted on a material testing machine (ZWICK/Roell Z5.0). To ensure repeatability, three identical specimens were printed and tested for each geometry.

Figure 4.10. 3D-printed specimens fabricated for compact tension test with *N*=0,1 2 and 3.

The load-displacement curves of the compact tension experiments are shown in [Figure 4.11.](#page-90-0)a. For higher *N*s (*N*=2, and 3), a significant plateau was observed, indicating a period of stable crack propagation. While for lower *N*s (*N*=0 and 1), an unstable crack propagation happened right after the damage initiation. The non-dimensionalized toughness is plotted as a function of *N* in [Figure 4.11.](#page-90-0)b, which shows a hyperbolic relation between the toughness and *N* through equation bellow;

$$
\Gamma_N = \left(\frac{\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_0}{2}\right) \left[\tanh(2N + a)\right] \tag{4.2}
$$

Figure 4.11. Experimental results of compact tension test. (a) Force-displacement curves of four geometries (b) Normalized toughness vs *N*. The dash line displays the toughness deriving from the rule of mixture.

The toughness of the uni-axial tension specimens and compact tension specimens are compared with the rule of mixture prediction (dash line) in [Figure 4.12.](#page-91-0) It can be seen that the toughness of both types of specimens are with toughness beyond the rule of mixture. However, different from the exponential increases for uni-axial tension, for the compact tension, the increase slows down when *N* increases beyond 2, indicating a limit of increasing toughness via Koch fractal design.

Figure 4.12. Comparison between toughness of 3D-printed specimens designed for uniaxial tension and compact tension. Black dash-line displays predication from rule of mixture.

4.7 Summary

In this chapter, Koch interlocking with gaps and with soft adhesive layers with the same geometries were designed and fabricated via multi-material 3D printing. Quasi-static uni-axial tension experiments on the Koch interlocking with gaps further proved the concept and the scaling law developed in Chapters 2 and 3. Also, by comparing the experimental results of the two sets of specimens, it is demonstrated that the soft adhesive layer plays a very important role in further improve the mechanical performance of the Koch fractal interlocking. Comparing with the designs with gaps, those with the soft adhesive layer can significantly improve the strength and toughness, and also have more stable behavior and less sensitive to the imperfection from loading.

In addition, new designs with flipped RVEs and adhesive layers were developed. Both quasistatic uni-axial tension and compact tension experiments were performed. Interestingly, it is shown that when N increases, the toughness of the Koch fractal layer can have increased toughness beyond the rule of mixtures. Under uni-axial tension, the toughness of the Koch fractal interlocking with soft adhesive layers increases exponentially with *N*. While under compact tension, the toughness increases hyperbolically, and slows down when *N* increases beyond 2 and 3.

Chapter 5. Conclusions

In this study, inspired by the topological interlocking and fractal geometry in nature, Koch fractal interlocking was designed, fabricated and investigated through analytical, numerical and experimental approaches.

5.1 Specific conclusions for each chapter

In Chapter 2, the theoretical model was introduced to predict the overall load-displacement relation of both perfect and imperfect Koch fractal contact and interlocking with different geometries. The Koch fractal interlocking shows a typical three-stage deformation mechanism, when the gap *g* is larger than zero. The influences of the geometric imperfection including the gap *g* and the tip radius *r*, and the friction coefficient were quantified. It was found that the mechanical performance of the design is much more sensitive to geometric imperfection *g* and *r* rather than friction.

 The theoretical scaling law indicates that for the perfect system, the stiffness will increase exponentially with *N*. However, the system is imperfection sensitive so that an optimal *N* value N_{opt} exists to achieve the maximum stiffness. Also, the Koch fractal contact area is sensitive to imperfections, so that a critical value N_{cr} exists, beyond which no contact occurs and therefore the system shows zero overall stiffness. The stiffness was shown to decrease exponentially with the gap *g* compared to *r* and friction.

In Chapter 3, FE models with two cases of elastic and elastic-perfectly plastic mechanical models were developed. The influences of the geometric imperfection including the gap *g* and the tip radius *r*, and the friction coefficient *μ* were quantified. It was found that the initial stiffness of the designs is only sensitive to *N* and the geometric imperfection *g*. It was shown that when *g*

increases, the stiffness in both stages decrease, also the initiation of contact delays; tooth radius *r* shows to have a slight influence on both contact area and the contact force; friction does not influence the contact area and the stiffness in Stage II, but in Stage III, when *μ* increases, the overall stiffness slightly increases. Through this numerical investigation, it was also found that the strength of Koch fractals increases when *N* increases and the imperfections *g* and *r* decrease.

In Chapter 3, the influences of the geometric imperfection and *N* were also investigated on energy density of top and bottom pieces of Koch interlock models. It was shown that when *N* increases, the energy density of whole model increases, indicating the more efficient usage of material.

In Chapter 4, Koch interlocking with gaps and with soft adhesive layers were designed and fabricated via a multi-material 3D printer (Objet Connex 260). Quasi-static uni-axial tension experiments on the Koch interlocking with gaps further proved the concept. Also, by comparing the experimental results of the two sets of specimens, it is demonstrated that the soft adhesive layer plays a very important role in further improving the mechanical performance of the Koch fractal interlocking. Comparing with the designs with gaps, those with the soft adhesive layer can significantly improve the strength and toughness, and also have more stable behavior and are less sensitive to the loading and alignment imperfections.

In addition, to ensure same energy absorption of the top and bottom pieces, new designs with flipped RVEs and adhesive layers were developed. Both quasi-static uni-axial tension and compact tension experiments were performed. Interestingly, it was shown that when *N* increases, the toughness of the Koch fractal layer can have increased toughness beyond the rule of mixtures. Under uni-axial tension, the toughness of the Koch fractal interlocking with soft adhesive layers

increases exponentially with *N*. While under compact tension, the toughness increases hyperbolically, and slows down when *N* increases beyond 2 and 3.

5.2 Summary of design guidelines developed

For the Koch fractal interlocking and contact, geometric parameters (N, g, r, a_0) , especially *N* and *g* should be wisely chosen to reach optimal mechanical properties under desired design objectives. In general, stiffness and strength increases, when the geometric imperfection decreases. For certain geometric imperfection, there is an optimal *N* for the maximum stiffness.

 A softer adhesive layer is beneficial in enhancing the strength and toughness and reduce the sensitivity to imperfections. The material properties can be optimized under certain design requirements.

 When applying the Koch fractal design for joining dissimilar materials, based on the results in Section 3.6, in which showed that if the materials of the top and bottom pieces are the same, the bottom piece absorbs more energy than the top piece. So for Koch fractal dissimilar joints, a stiffer and stronger material in bottom can provide a better usage of material and a better balance in energy absorption from top and bottom pieces.

 The Koch fractal interlocking's response to uni-axial tension and compact tension were explored. For this two type of loadings, to maximum the load-bearing capacity, the geometry and material combinations can be optimized. The Koch fractal interlocking under shear should have similar benefits, although it was not explored in this thesis.

5.3 Discussion

Advantages of fractal design. Mechanical interlocking, as a joining mechanism, has been studied for a long time. For example, the interlocking mechanism of nacre-inspired dove-tail shaped interlocking building blocks was investigated through experimental, numerical and theoretical models in previous studies [110-112]. Ammonite-inspired hierarchical deterministic fractal suture interfaces were also explored analytically and numerically [113-114]. Bio-inspired jigsaw-like interlocking sutures with snapping feature were investigated [31]. Previous research mainly focused on either composite modeling of fractal joints [113-114] and surface contact with fractal roughness [115]. In this work, we focused on Koch fractal contact and interlocking. Using three geometry factors g , N and a_0 various geometries with different mechanical behavior can be designed and fabricated. Fractals, are good options in generating complex geometries driven by simplicity due to the self-similarity, and therefore, superior mechanical properties. Due to the imperfection sensitivity of fractal design, the challenges of applying fractal design in engineering system are the complexity and accuracy in manufacturing. Additive manufacturing provides an opportunity to overcome these challenges and enable potential wide application of the concept of fractal design.

Imperfection sensitivity. In summary, being consistent with the observation of fractal interlocks in nature, we demonstrated that in general the stiffness of the interlocking can be effectively increased via fractal design. In general, when the fractal complexity (it is specifically represented as number of hierarchy *N* in the present Koch fractal design) increases, the stiffness of the fractal interlocking will increase significantly [113]. This is mainly attribute to the increase in contact area when fractal complexity increases. However, the mechanical responses of fractal interlocks are also sensitive to imperfections, such as the gap between the interlocked pieces and

the rounded tips. When fractal complexity increases, the mechanical properties will become more and more sensitive to the imperfection and eventually, the negative influences from imperfection can even become dominant. Therefore, it is expected that considering the imperfection, there is an optimal level of fractal complexity to reach the maximum mechanical performance [116]. This is again in consistent with fractal interlocks in all different biological systems.

References

[1] Wegst, Ulrike GK, et al. "Bioinspired structural materials." *Nature materials*14.1 (2015): 23-36.

[2] Li, Yaning, Christine Ortiz, and Mary C. Boyce. "Bioinspired, mechanical, deterministic fractal model for hierarchical suture joints." *Physical Review E*85.3 (2012): 031901.

[3] Mandelbrot, Benoit B., and Roberto Pignoni. The fractal geometry of nature. Vol. 173. New York: WH freeman, 1983.

[4] Barthelat, Francois. "Biomimetics for next generation materials." *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 365.1861 (2007): 2907-2919.

[5] Barthelat, F., et al. "On the mechanics of mother-of-pearl: a key feature in the material hierarchical structure." *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* 55.2 (2007): 306-337.

[6] Barthelat, Francois. "Nacre from mollusk shells: a model for high-performance structural materials." Bioinspiration & biomimetics 5.3 (2010): 035001.

[7] W.B. Saunders: Evolution of complexity in paleozoic ammonoidsutures. Science 286(5440), 760 (1999).

[8] Allen, Emily G. "Understanding Ammonoid Sutures: New Insight into the Dynamic Evolution of Paleozoic Suture Morpholog." Cephalopods Present and Past: New Insights and Fresh Perspectives. Springer Netherlands, 2007. 159-180.

[9] HEWITT, ROGER A., and GERD EG WESTERMANN. "Mechanical significance of ammonoid septa with complex sutures." Lethaia 30.3 (1997): 205-212.

[10] Kwak, Jae-Seob, and Tae-Wan Kim. "A review of adhesion and friction models for gecko feet." International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing 11.1 (2010): 171-186.

[11] Lutz, T. M., and Boyajian., G.E., 1995, "Fractal geometry of ammonoid sutures", *Paleobiology* Vol. 21, No. 3 (Summer, 1995), pp. 329-342.

[12] Li, Y., Oritz, C., and Boyce., 2011, "A generalized mechanical model for suture interfaces of arbitrary geometry", *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* 61 (2013) 1144–1167.

[13] Chen, P-Y., et al. "Structure and mechanical properties of selected biological materials." Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials 1.3 (2008): 208-226.

[14] Miura, Takashi, et al. "Mechanism of skull suture maintenance and interdigitation." *Journal of anatomy* 215.6 (2009): 642-655.

[15] Herring, Susan W. "Mechanical influences on suture development and patency." Craniofacial Sutures. Vol. 12. Karger Publishers, 2008. 41-56.

[16] Jaslow, C. R., and A. A. Biewener. "Strain patterns in the horncores, cranial bones and sutures of goats (Capra hircus) during impact loading." Journal of Zoology 235.2 (1995): 193-210.

[17] Maloul, Asmaa, et al. "Characterization of craniofacial sutures using the finite element method." *Journal of biomechanics* 47.1 (2014): 245-252.

[18] Villier, Loïc, and Dieter Korn. "Morphological disparity of ammonoids and the mark of Permian mass extinctions." Science 306.5694 (2004): 264-266.

[19]<http://www.andastrongcupofcoffee.com/>

[20] Fratzl, Peter, et al. "The mechanics of tessellations–bioinspired strategies for fracture resistance (2016)

[21]<http://jsdammonites.fr/177.html>

[22]<http://www.fossilidentification.org/goniatites.html> PICS

[23] Li, Y., Ortiz, C., Boyce, M.C., 2012. Bioinspired, mechanical, deterministic fractal model for hierarchical suture joints. Phys. Rev. E 85 (3).

[24] Li, Y. , Ortiz, C. , Boyce, M.C. , 2013. A generalized mechanical model for suture interfaces of arbitrary geometry. J. Mech. Phys. Solids 61 (4), 1144–1167 .

[25] Lin, Erica, et al. "Tunability and enhancement of mechanical behavior with additively manufactured bio-inspired hierarchical suture interfaces." Journal of Materials Research 29.17 (2014): 1867-1875.

[26] Lin, Erica, et al. "3D printed, bio-inspired prototypes and analytical models for structured suture interfaces with geometrically-tuned deformation and failure behavior." Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 73 (2014): 166-182.

[27] Moazen, Mehran, et al. "Assessment of the role of sutures in a lizard skull: a computer modelling study." Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 276.1654 (2009): 39-46.

[28] Lin, Erica Erica SC. Bio-inspired design of geometrically-structured suture interfaces and composites. Diss. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2015.

[29] Barthelat, Francois, Zhen Yin, and Markus J. Buehler. "Structure and mechanics of interfaces in biological materials." Nature Reviews Materials 1 (2016): 16007.

[30] Barthelat, Francois, Ahmad Khayer Dastjerdi, and Reza Rabiei. "An improved failure criterion for biological and engineered staggered composites." *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* 10.79 (2013): 20120849.

[31] Malik, I. A., M. Mirkhalaf, and F. Barthelat. "Bio-inspired "jigsaw"-like interlocking sutures: Modeling, optimization, 3D printing and testing." Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 102 (2017): 224-238.

[32] Li, Bing-Wei, et al. "Numerical study on the effects of hierarchical wavy interface morphology on fracture toughness." *Computational Materials Science* 57 (2012): 14-22.

[33] Liu, L., Jiang, Y., Boyce, M., Ortiz, C., Baur, J., Song, J. and Li, Y. (2017). The Effects of Morphological Irregularity on the Mechanical Behavior of Interdigitated Biological Sutures under Tension. Journal of Biomechanics.

[34] Hasseldine, Benjamin PJ, et al. "Mechanical response of common millet (Panicum miliaceum) seeds under quasi-static compression: Experiments and modeling." Journal of the mechanical behavior of biomedical materials (2017).

[35] Euclidean and non-euclidean geometr, http://www.math.cornell.edu

[36] Stoyan, D. and Stoyan, H., Fractals, Random Shapes and Point Fields-Methods of Geometrical Statistics, Wiley series in probability and mathematical statistics, 1994.

[37] Li, Yaning, Christine Ortiz, and Mary C. Boyce. "Stiffness and strength of suture joints in nature." Physical Review E 84.6 (2011): 062904.

[38] Gibert, Josep, and Paul Palmqvist. "Fractal analysis of the Orce skull sutures." Journal of Human Evolution 28.6 (1995): 561-575.

[39] Khoshhesab, Mona Monsef, and Yaning Li. "The Strength of Dissimilar Fractal Joints." ASME 2016 International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition. American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 2016.

[40] Rian, Iasef Md, and Shuichi Asayama. "Computational Design of a nature-inspired architectural structure using the concepts of self-similar and random fractals." Automation in Construction 66 (2016): 43-58.

[41] Wang, Lifeng, et al. "Co-continuous composite materials for stiffness, strength, and energy dissipation." Advanced Materials 23.13 (2011): 1524-1529.

[42]<http://www.math.union.edu/research/fractaltrees/FractalTreesDefs.html>

[43] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sierpinski_triangle

[44] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Koch_snowflake

[45]<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fractal>

[46] Jacquin, Arnaud. "An introduction to fractals and their applications in electrical engineering." Journal of the Franklin Institute 331.6 (1994): 659-680.

[47] Baish, James W., and Rakesh K. Jain. "Fractals and cancer." *Cancer research* 60.14 (2000): 3683- 3688.

[48] Rian, Iasef Md, and Shuichi Asayama. "Computational Design of a nature-inspired architectural structure using the concepts of self-similar and random fractals." *Automation in Construction* 66 (2016): 43-

[49] Chung, Hsiao-Wen, and Yih-Hwen Huang. "Fractal analysis of nuclear medicine images for the diagnosis of pulmonary emphysema: interpretations, implications, and limitations." *American Journal of Roentgenology* 174.4 (2000): 1055-1059.

[50]Spehar, Branka, et al. "Universal aesthetic of fractals." Computers & Graphics 27.5 (2003): 813-820.

[51] Brown, James H., et al. "The fractal nature of nature: power laws, ecological complexity and biodiversity." Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 357.1421 (2002): 619-626.

[52] Mirkhalaf, Mohammad, and Francois Barthelat. "Design, 3D printing and testing of architectured materials with bistable interlocks." *Extreme Mechanics Letters* 11 (2017): 1-7.

[53] Djumas, Lee, et al. "Enhanced mechanical performance of bio-inspired hybrid structures utilising topological interlocking geometry." *Scientific reports* 6 (2016): 26706.

[54] Dyskin, Arcady V., et al. "Toughening by FragmentationÐ How Topology Helps." Advanced Engineering Materials 3.11 (2001): 885.

[55] Matsuzaki, Ryosuke, Naoya Tsukamoto, and Jun Taniguchi. "Mechanical interlocking by imprinting of undercut micropatterns for improving adhesive strength of polypropylene." International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives 68 (2016): 124-132.

[56] Dyskin, A. V., et al. "Topological interlocking of platonic solids: a way to new materials and structures." Philosophical Magazine Letters 83.3 (2003): 197-203.

[57] Estrin, Y., et al. "Topological interlocking of protective tiles for the space shuttle." Philosophical magazine letters 83.6 (2003): 351-355.

[58] Molotnikov, A., et al. "Percolation mechanism of failure of a planar assembly of interlocked osteomorphic elements." Engineering fracture mechanics 74.8 (2007): 1222-1232.

[59] Schaare, S. et al. Point loading of assemblies of interlocked cube-shaped elements. Int. J. Eng. Sci. 46, 1228–1238 (2008).

[60] Estrin, Y., Dyskin, A. V. & Pasternak, E. Topological interlocking as a material design concept. Mat. Sci. Eng. C 31, 1189–1194 (2011).

[61] Dyskin, A., Pasternak, E. & Estrin, Y. Mortarless structures based on topological interlocking. Front. Struct. Civ. Eng. 6, 188–197 (2012).

[62] Krause, T. et al. Mechanical Properties of Topologically Interlocked Structures with Elements Produced by Freeze Gelation of Ceramic Slurries. Adv. Eng. Mater. 14, 335–341 (2012).

[63] Carlesso, M. et al. Enhancement of sound absorption properties using topologically interlocked elements. Scripta Mater. 66,483–486 (2012).

[64] Molotnikov, A., Gerbrand, R., Bouaziz, O. & Estrin, Y. Sandwich Panels with a Core Segmented into Topologically Interlocked Elements. Adv. Eng. Mater. 15, 728–731 (2013).

[65] Molotnikov, A., Gerbrand, R., Qi, Y., Simon, G. P. & Estrin, Y. Design of responsive materials using topologically interlocked elements. Smart. Mater. Struct. 24, 025034 (2015).

[66] Kanel-Belov, A., Dyskin, A., Estrin, Y., Pasternak, E. & Ivanov-Pogodaev, I. Interlocking of convex polyhedra: towards a geometric theory of fragmented solids. Mosc. Math. J. 10, 337–342 (2010).

[67] Mather, A., Cipra, R. & Siegmund, T. Structural integrity during remanufacture of a topologically interlocked material. Int. J. Struct.Integrity 3, 61–78 (2012).

[68] Feng, Y., Siegmund, T., Habtour, E. & Riddick, J. Impact mechanics of topologically interlocked material assemblies. Int. J. Impact Eng. 75, 140–149 (2015).

[69] Khandelwal, S., Siegmund, T., Cipra, R. J. & Bolton, J. S. Scaling of the Elastic Behavior of Two-Dimensional Topologically Interlocked Materials Under Transverse Loading. J. Appl. Mech. 81, 031011 (2013).

[70] Khandelwal, S., Siegmund, T., Cipra, R. J. & Bolton, J. S. Transverse loading of cellular topologically interlocked materials. Int. J. Solids Struct. 49, 2394–2403 (2012).

[71] Estrin, Y. et al. Negative stiffness of a layer with topologically interlocked elements. Scripta Mater. 50, 291–294 (2004).

[72] Schaare, Stephan, Werner Riehemann, and Yuri Estrin. "Damping properties of an assembly of topologically interlocked cubes." Materials Science and Engineering: A 521 (2009): 380-383.

[73] K. Mittal, The role of the interface in adhesion phenomena, Polym. Eng. Sci. 17 (7) (1977) 467–473.

[74] J.M. Challen, P.L.B. Oxley, Explanation of the different regimes of friction and wear using asperity deformation models, Wear 53 (2) (1979) 229–243.

[75] R. Raj, M.F. Ashby, On grain boundary sliding and diffusional creep, Metall.

Trans. 2 (4) (1971) 1113–1127.

[76] A.G. Evans, F.W. Zok, The physics and mechanics of fibre-reinforced brittlematrix composites, J. Mater. Sci. 29 (15) (1994) 3857–3896.

[77] Dyskin, A.V., Estrin,Y., Kanel-Belov,A.J., Pasternak, E., 2001 "A New Concept in Design of Materials And Structures: Assemblies Of Interlocked Tetrahedron-Shaped Elements", Scripta mater, 44 2689–2694.

[78] Dyskin, A.V., Estrin,Y., Kanel-Belov,A.J., Pasternak, E., 2003 "A New Principle In Design of Composite Materials: Reinforcement by Interlocked Elements", Composites Science and Technology 63, 483–491.

[79] Dyskin, A.V., Estrin,Y., Kanel-Belov,A.J., Pasternak, E., 2003 "Interlocking properties of buckyballs", Physics Letters A 319, 373–378

[80] Lakes, R., 1993 " Materials with structural hierarchy", Nature, VOL 361, 511-513.

[81] Aksay, I., Weiner, S., 1998 " Biomaterials Is this really a field of research", Editorial overview, Solid State & Materials Science, 3:219-220.

[82] Gibert, J., & Palmqvist, P., 1995 "Fractal analysis of the Orce skull sutures", Journal of Human Evolution, 28(6), 561-575.

[83] Dunlop, J. W., & Fratzl, P. 2010, "Biological composites", Annual Review of Materials Research, 40, 1-24.

[84] Fratzl, P., 2013, "Biological Materials with Hierarchical Structure and Mechanical Function",Handbook of Biomineralization Vol.1, Chapter 25.

[85] Rabiei, R., Dastjerdi, A., & Mirkhalaf, M.,2013, "Hierarchical structure, mechanical properties and fabrication of biomimetic biomaterials", Biomimetic Biomaterials: Structure and Applications, 67.

[86] Potapova, M., and English, J. (2010). Aulacoseira ambigua . In Diatoms of the United States. Retrieved July 14, 2017, from http://westerndiatoms.colorado.edu/taxa/species/aulacoseira_ambigu

[87]http://nordicmicroalgae.org/taxon/Aulacoseira%20alpigena?media_id=Aulacoseira%20alpigena_3.

[88] Buczkó, Krisztina, et al. "Diatom-inferred lateglacial and Holocene climatic variability in the South Carpathian Mountains (Romania)." Quaternary International 293 (2013): 123-135.

[89] Burrows, Malcolm, and Gregory Sutton. "Interacting gears synchronize propulsive leg movements in a jumping insect." science 341.6151 (2013): 1254-1256.

[90] Dimas, Leon S., et al. "Tough composites inspired by mineralized natural materials: computation, 3D printing, and testing." Advanced Functional Materials 23.36 (2013): 4629-4638.

[91] O. Bouaziz, Geometrically induced strain hardening, Scr. Mater. 68 (1) (2013) 28–30.

[92] M. Mirkhalaf, J. Tanguay, F. Barthelat, Carving 3D architectures within glass: Exploring new strategies to transform the mechanics and performance of materials, Extreme Mech Lett (2016).

[93] M. Mirkhalaf, F. Barthelat, A laser-engraved glass duplicating the structure, mechanics and performance of natural nacre, Bioinspiration Biomimetics 10 (2) (2015) 026005.

[94] A.V. Dyskin, et al., Fracture resistant structures based on topological interlocking with non-planar contacts, Adv. Energy Mater. 5 (3) (2003) 116–119.

[95] S. Khandelwal, et al., Adaptive mechanical properties of topologically interlocking material systems, Smart Mater. Struct. 24 (4) (2015) 045037.

[96] Derjaguin, BV and Muller, VM and Toporov, Y.P., 1975, Effect of contact deformations on the adhesion of particles, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 53(2), pp. 314-326

[97] Shigley, J.E., Mischke, C.R., 1989, Mechanical Engineering Design, Fifth Edition, Chapter 2, McGraw-Hill, Inc, 1989, ISBN 0-07-056899-5.

[98] Mirkhalaf, M., and F. Barthelat. "Nacre-like materials using a simple doctor blading technique: Fabrication, testing and modeling." Journal of the mechanical behavior of biomedical materials 56 (2016): 23-33.

[99] Valashani, Seyed Mohammad Mirkhalaf, and Francois Barthelat. "A laser-engraved glass duplicating the structure, mechanics and performance of natural nacre." Bioinspiration & biomimetics 10.2 (2015): 026005.

[100] Barthelat, Francois. "Designing nacre-like materials for simultaneous stiffness, strength and toughness: Optimum materials, composition, microstructure and size." Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 73 (2014): 22-37.

[101] Lee, Haeshin, Bruce P. Lee, and Phillip B. Messersmith. "A reversible wet/dry adhesive inspired by mussels and geckos." Nature 448.7151 (2007): 338.

[102] Sitti, Metin, and Ronald S. Fearing. "Synthetic gecko foot-hair micro/nano-structures as dry adhesives." Journal of adhesion science and technology17.8 (2003): 1055-1073.

[103] Mahdavi, Alborz, et al. "A biodegradable and biocompatible gecko-inspired tissue adhesive." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 105.7 (2008): 2307-2312.]

[104] Cheng, Yang-Tse, and Daniel E. Rodak. "Is the lotus leaf superhydrophobic?." Applied physics letters 86.14 (2005): 144101.

[105] Jiang, Lei, Yong Zhao, and Jin Zhai. "A lotus‐leaf‐like superhydrophobic surface: a porous microsphere/nanofiber composite film prepared by electrohydrodynamics." Angewandte Chemie 116.33 (2004): 4438-4441.

[106] Koch, Kerstin, et al. "Fabrication of artificial Lotus leaves and significance of hierarchical structure for superhydrophobicity and low adhesion." Soft Matter 5.7 (2009): 1386-1393.

[107] Liu, Bin, et al. "Fabricating Super-Hydrophobic Lotus-Leaf-Like Surfaces through Soft-Lithographic Imprinting." Macromolecular rapid communications 27.21 (2006): 1859-1864.

[108] Johnson, Kenneth Langstreth. "Contact mechanics." (1985).

[109] [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Contact_mechanics.](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Contact_mechanics)

[110] Zhang, Yuming, et al. "Bio-inspired interfacial strengthening strategy through geometrically interlocking designs." journal of the mechanical behavior of biomedical materials 15 (2012): 70-77.

[111] Barthelat, F., et al. "On the mechanics of mother-of-pearl: a key feature in the material hierarchical structure." Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 55.2 (2007): 306-337.

[112] Tang, H., F. Barthelat, and H. D. Espinosa. "An elasto-viscoplastic interface model for investigating the constitutive behavior of nacre." Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 55.7 (2007): 1410- 1438.

[113] Li, Yaning, Christine Ortiz, and Mary C. Boyce. "Bioinspired, mechanical, deterministic fractal model for hierarchical suture joints." Physical Review E 85.3 (2012): 031901.

[114] Li, Yaning, Christine Ortiz, and Mary C. Boyce. "A generalized mechanical model for suture interfaces of arbitrary geometry." Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 61.4 (2013): 1144-1167.

[115] Majumdar, Arunava, and Bharat Bhushan. "Role of fractal geometry in roughness characterization and contact mechanics of surfaces." ASME J. Tribol 112.2 (1990): 205-216.

[116] Dauskardt, R. H., F. Haubensak, and R. O. Ritchie. "On the interpretation of the fractal character of fracture surfaces." Acta Metallurgica et Materialia 38.2 (1990): 143-159.

Appendix A. FE Simulations of Flat and Slant Contact

In Chapter 2, the theoretical model developed was based on the following assumptions:

- 1- Deformation is small
- 2- Material is linear elastic
- 3- Bending deformation of the teeth during the contact was neglected
- 4- The compressive load-displacement behavior of the contact between the flat surfaces of two separate parts is equivalent to that of a one-piece model (Eq. (2.9) $k_n = E\frac{t}{l}$ $\frac{l}{L}$, was derived based on this assumption)
- 5- Interaction between the contact-induced deformation of the neighboring flat and slant surfaces in the fractal design is neglected
- 6- There is friction between two contacting surfaces. (Therefore the load-displacement relation of flat and slant surfaces are related via Eq.(2.7).)
- 7- No relative sliding between the slant surfaces in contact.

 To justify the assumptions 4 and 6, three mechanical FE models (as shown in Figure A1) were developed in ABAQUS **: Model 1)** Bulk material (one-piece) under compression; **Model 2)** Two-piece model with flat surfaces in contact, with the same total dimension and the same material as **Model (1); Model 3)** Two-piece model with slant surfaces in contact with the same total dimension and the same material as **Models (1)** and **(2)**. For all models, plane stress elements (CPS4R) were used. Linear elastic material model with Young's modulus of *E*=1.7 GPa and Poisson's ratio of *ν*=0.33 were used. In all three FE models, *l* = 26 mm (excluding the gap for models 2 and 3), *w*= 6 mm, and the out-of-plane depth *t* is 4mm. The friction coefficient is 0.1.

Figure A.1 (a) Bulk martial (b) contact of two flat surfaces (c) contact of two slant surfaces.

 The vertical displacement of the bottom edges of all three models were constrained, the nodes on the top edge of the three models were subjected to a prescribed vertical displacement downward. Thus all three models were under uniaxial compressive loads. For model 3, to avoid relative sliding, the left and right edges of the model was under the vertical free rolling condition. The applied loading and boundary condition were schematically shown in Figure A.2. For models 2 and 3, δ is set to be zero when contact initiates.

Figure A.2 (a) Applied loading and boundary condition on **Models (1)** and **Model (2)**. (b) Applied loading and boundary condition on **Model (3).**

 The overall load-normalized displacement curves for all three models are shown in Figure A.3. The FE curves then compared to the analytical models of Eqs. (2.9) and (2.10). Figure A.3 shows that the mechanical response of **Model (1)** and **Model (2)** are almost identical which justifies the assumption 4. Under small deformation, the theoretical model presented in Eq. (2.9) shows good agreement with the FE results of both **Model 1** and **Model 2**. This proves the accuracy of Eq. (2.9).

Figure A.3 Force-normalized displacement of **Model (1)**, **Model (2)** and **Model (3)** are compared to theoretical predictions from Eqs. (2.9) and (2.10).

Figure A.3 also shows that the FE results of **Model (3)** are very close to the prediction from Eq. (2.10). This verifies the accuracy of Eq. 2.10.

In Figure A.4, the contours of vertical stress, and von-Mises stress for all three FE models are shown and compared at the same overall displacement of $\delta = 1.9$ mm. It can be seen that for models 1 and 2, the stress distribution is uniform and identical, which again justify the assumption 4.

Figure A.4 Von Misses stress distribution at displacement of *δ*=1.9 mm for (a) **Model (1)**, (b) **Model (2)**, and (c) **Model (3).** Vertical stress distribution S22 (d) **Model (1)**, (e) **Model (2)**, and (f) **Model (3).**

 To evaluate the influence of model length *l* on the conclusions, FE **Model (1)** and **Model (2)** were developed with $l = 1.5$ mm, $w = 6$, out-of-plane depth $t = 4$ mm. Figure A.5 compares the force-normalized displacement curves of **Model (1)**, **Model (2)** and the theoretical prediction from Eq. (2.9). It can be seen that for models with relatively small length to width ratio of $\frac{l}{w} = \frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$, the difference among the three curves are slightly larger than the case with larger length to width ratio (shown in Figure A.3).

Figure A.5 Force-normalized displacement of **Model (1)** and **Model (2)** are compared to theoretical prediction from Eq.(2.9).

Vertical stress contours for two FE models of **Model 1** and **Model 2** are shown and compared at the same displacement of δ =1.9 mm in Figure A.6. It can be seen that the stress distribution is uniform for both models, and the stress level is close.

Figure A.6 Vertical stress distribution at displacement of δ=0.2 mm for (a) **Model (1)** (b) **Model (2).**

Appendix B. Correction on Energy Absorption from FE Simulations

 In this appendix, we will evaluate the influences of shoulder on the energy absorption of the top and bottom pieces in the Koch fractal design. The shoulder parts of the top and bottom pieces are shown in Fig. B1a. In Section 3.6, we quantified energy absorption of the top and bottom pieces with different geometries. In that study, the shoulder was also included in the top and bottom pieces, as shown in Figure B.1b.

Figure B. 1 Geometrical definition of top shoulder, bottom shoulder and fractal zone: (b) top and bottom pieces including shoulders, and (c) top and bottom pieces excluding shoulders.

To more accurately evaluate the energy absorption in the fractal zone, different from the results in Section 3.6, the energy absorbed by the shoulders were excluded from the top and bottom pieces (shown in Figure B.1c), i.e.

$$
U_{top} = U_{total_t} - U_{t_sh}
$$
, and (B.1)

$$
U_{Bottom} = U_{total_b} - U_{b_sh},
$$
 (B.2)

where, U_{top} and U_{Bottom} are the strain energy of top and bottom pieces in the fractal zone only, respectively (Figure B.1.c). U_{t_sh} and U_{b_sh} are strain energy absorbed by top shoulder and bottom shoulder, respectively. U_{total_t} and U_{total_b} represent the total strain energy absorbed by top and bottom pieces including the shoulder area (shown in Figure B.1b.)

 2D FE models were developed in ABAQUS with different geometries. For all models, plane stress elements (CPS4R) and elasto perfectly plastic material model were used with Young's modulus of 1.7 GPa, Poisson's ratio of 0.33 and the initial yielding strength of 54 MPa. In all FE models, the out-of-plane thickness is $t = 4$ mm.

 U_{total_t} and U_{total_b} were directly output from FE models. To calculate U_{total_t} and U_{total_b} in linear elastic regime, it was assumed the stress in the shoulder parts are uniform and equals to the surface traction applied at the top edge of the model. Thus,

$$
U_{t_sh} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{V_{t_sh}}{E} \sigma^2, \qquad (B.3)
$$

where, *E*, σ , V_{tsh} and V_{bsh} are Young's modulus, surface traction, volume fraction of top shoulder and volume fraction of bottom shoulder, respectively.

 The energy density of the top and bottom parts of the interlock with *N*=2, 3, and 4 are plotted and compared in Figure B.2. Generally, when *N* increases, the total energy density increases, indicating the more efficient usage of material. For all three models, the bottom piece absorbs more energy than the top piece. When *N* increases, the energy absorbed by top piece and that by bottom piece both increase.

Figure B. 2 Distribution of strain energy per volume fraction between top and bottom pieces for different cases of (a) *N*=2, (a) *N*=3 and (a) *N*=4.

Figure B.3 shows the influence of *r* on the energy density of the top and bottom pieces. In general, when *r* decreases, the difference between the amount of strain energy absorbed by the top and bottom pieces increases, indicating more bending deformation in the teeth.

Figure B. 3 Distribution of strain energy per volume fraction between top and bottom pieces for $N=3$ with different values of (a) $r=0.05$ mm, (b) $r=0.15$ mm and (c) $r=0.3$ mm.

 Figure B.4 shows the influence of *g* on the energy density of the top and bottom pieces of the Koch fractal interlocking. In can be seen that with the same value of r and μ , the geometry with a larger *g* absorbs much less energy than that with a smaller gap. Also, when *g* increases, some of the teeth on the top piece become slender and therefore experience larger bending deformation.

Figure B. 4 FE curves of energy density vs displacement for *N*=3 with *r*=0.05 mm, (a) *g*=0.6 mm and (b) *g*=0.1mm.