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ABSTRACT

EXTRAPOLATING HYPERSPECTRAL ANTHOCYANIN INDICES TO MULTISPECTRAL SATELLITE SENSORS—APPLICATIONS TO FALL FOLIAGE IN NEW ENGLAND

by

Erica Lindgren

University of New Hampshire, September, 2009

Anthocyanin, thought to be a universal indicator of plant stress, is a red pigment found in many plant species and can be seen in New England autumns. Detecting its presence is useful for ecosystem analysis and monitoring changes during autumn senescence. Currently fall foliage is subjectively measured; creation of a satellite-based anthocyanin index will provide an objective measurement and enhance understanding of the distribution of plant stress and senescence over large areas. Anthocyanin indices were tested hyperspectrally in a laboratory setting, then indices were simulated for Hyperion, MERIS, MODIS, and Landsat TM/ETM+ to see which most accurately represents changes in anthocyanin concentration, and finally indices were applied to actual imagery. Results of this study found that \( \frac{1}{R564} - \frac{1}{R697} \) was the best approximation for anthocyanin; the red:green ratio was the best overall estimator of anthocyanin using simulated satellite bands; and real imagery from MODIS and MERIS satellite sensors can detect a fall foliage signal.
Climate change is occurring due to rising greenhouse gases (ghg) (IPCC 2007). These increasing ghg concentrations can change temperatures—which affect precipitation, winds, and many other climatic variables (IPCC 2007). Changes in climate are important because ecosystems are often defined by their relationship to temperature and precipitation (Aber and Melillo 2001). Foliar color expression in the fall is thought to be best when a tree is healthy, experiences reduced day length accompanied by a large daily temperature gradient, is not subject to high winds, and when the weather is sunny with few cloudy days (Clatterbuck 1999, Chaney 2005, Bardon 2007, Coder 2007). Changes in temperature will affect the suitable habitat for trees which contribute to fall foliage, the length of seasons, the severity of winds, the distribution of rainfall, and the population distribution of pests and diseases—all of which will affect fall color expression (Menzel and Fabian 1999, Inouye 2000, Dale et al. 2001, Rosenzweig et al. 2001, Walther et al. 2002). Data from the International Phenological Gardens have already shown in a 30-year study, based on leaf color change, that foliage change is now delayed 4.8 days on average in the northern hemisphere (Menzel and Fabian 1999).
Changes in the character of fall foliage are important as New England’s fall foliage attracts millions of visitors each year, contributing to local tourism as well as the cultural identity of the region. The New Hampshire Division of Travel and Tourism Development (Tourism 2007) calls the fall “our busiest time of the year.” State offices claim that foliage visitors comprise 20-25% of annual tourists in Vermont and Maine (New England Regional Assessment Group 2001). For example, in 2006, New Hampshire hosted about 7.75 million fall tourists who supported over a billion dollars in direct spending (Development 2007). The reaction of the northern hardwoods to the current climate supports a large tourism industry. If the climate were to change and affect fall foliage, it is likely that the tourism would respond correspondingly. Most methods for determining fall color intensity and timing changes are based on personal observations or previous perceived trends (Vermont Vacation 2009). While this information is useful it is extremely subjective. A more objective measurement method based on satellite data would be beneficial, both from the standpoint of predicting specific fall color displays and for comparing long-term trends in foliar color displays over the past several decades.

Plant pigments react to environmental stresses, such as those induced by climate change. Detecting and quantifying pigment content can greatly increase our knowledge about a plant’s physiology and health as it reacts to these stresses. Although chlorophyll is the main photosynthetic pigment in plants, other pigments can absorb light, such as carotenoids, which absorb strongly in the blue region of the electromagnetic spectrum and have antioxidant properties. Anthocyanin is a pigment that is not involved in
photosynthesis but absorbs in the green region of the visible spectrum. Its synthesis has been implicated in everything from photoinhibition, UV protection, drought resistance, cold hardiness, antioxidation, herbivory defense, to certain types of nutrient deficiency (Chalker-Scott 1999, Close and Beadle 2003).

While the most accurate way to measure pigment concentrations is through destructive analysis, a large body of research has explored the development of non-destructive assessment methods through the use of remote sensing tools. Reflectance spectroscopy has been used for estimation of chlorophyll and accessory pigment concentrations (Rock et al. 1988, Blackburn 2007). Absorption features have been characterized for several pigments and derived spectral indices have been created to eliminate overlapping absorptions between varying pigments (Merzlyak et al. 1999, Gitelson and Merzlyak 2004). Researchers have developed hyperspectral indices for anthocyanin using a two or three band ratio. A band within 550-570nm accounts for anthocyanin absorbance in the green while a band within 700-710nm estimates chlorophyll’s reflectance and is used to subtract it’s effects in the green region; some indices also include a band in the near IR (750-800nm) to account for leaf structure (Merzlyak et al. 1999, Gitelson et al. 2001a, Sims and Gamon 2002, Gitelson et al. 2006).

Extrapolation of ground-based anthocyanin indices to satellite systems has been very limited. One example of connecting this gap was completed in the Amazon with an orbital hyperspectral sensor called Hyperion where an anthocyanin index was indicative of drought stress (Asner et al. 2004). To date, no one has developed a form of
anthocyanin detection using multispectral satellites which are more widely available. To be able to understand the challenges and implications of detecting anthocyanin by satellite, one must first understand how it is formed and why.

**Anthocyanin**

Anthocyanin is a member of a group of chemicals called flavonoids. Cyanidin-3-glucoside is the most common form of anthocyanin in leaves and accounts for more than 80% of all anthocyanins found in *Acer* leaves (Harborne 1967, Ribereau-Gayon 1972, Ji et al. 1992). These compounds are commonly found in cell vacuoles of the palisade and spongy mesophyll, but can be also found in the epidermis and hypodermis in some species (Gould and Quinn 1999, Burger and Edwards 1996) (Figure 1-1). Anthocyanin concentrations in sugar maple leaves can range from 1.5 to 48.2 nmol/cm² (Gitelson et al. 2001a); in this study, leaves with concentrations up to 28.8 nmol/cm² were found.

Figure 1-1. This is a cross section of a maple sugar leaf showing the location of anthocyanin—mainly in the palisade mesophyll (Photo credit: Harvard Forest 2009).
Anthocyanin Induction

In general, anthocyanin is present in areas where photosynthetic activity has decreased (Wheldale 1916). Yet it is not clear why anthocyanin is created. Is it a response to photoinhibition, carbohydrate overload, nutrient deficiencies, wounding, pathogen attack, herbivory, or osmotic stress? Or is it a response to symptoms caused by these situations? Below is a brief synopsis of different variables thought to induce anthocyanin synthesis.

Photoinhibition and antioxidative properties.

Early investigations suggested that light is a stimulus for anthocyanin production, dependent on both intensity and duration (Wheldale 1916, Mancinelli 1983, Bowler et al. 1994, Krol et al. 1995). Many scientists believed that anthocyanin might protect against UV radiation through absorption, yet a universal theory of UV absorption is often discredited due to anthocyanin’s high metabolic cost and relative inefficiency at UV absorption when compared to other flavonoids and phenolics (Caldwell et al. 1983, Teramura 1983, Beggs and Wellmann 1985, Takahashi et al. 1991, Stapleton and Walbot 1994, Brandt et al. 1995, Landry et al. 1995, Burger and Edwards 1996). A study by Feild et. al. (2001) showed that senescing red leaves were less photoinhibited and were able to function better than senescing green leaves. Some believe that photoprotection is the main role of anthocyanin, as it is the only member of flavonoid family to significantly absorb in the visible range (Shirley 1996).

Studies have investigated possible antioxidative properties of anthocyanin. Tsuda et al. (1996) found that introduced anthocyanins scavenged oxygen radicals. While
antioxidative activity is correlated with anthocyanin content in young leaves, it was weakly correlated in senescing leaves during the autumn. (van den Berg and Perkins 2007). There are many studies documenting the antioxidative properties of anthocyanin (Wang et al. 1997, Neill et al. 2002, Stintzing et al. 2002, Andersen and Markham 2006), but its importance in this role remains unclear.

**Nutrient deficiency and carbohydrates.**

During the fall, leaves start to remobilize many nutrients and elaborated compounds for export into storage, including nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and carbohydrates. There are several correlations between nutrient deficiencies and anthocyanin accumulation. Studies have found that low nitrogen concentrations are a stimulus for anthocyanin production (Hodges and Nozzolillo 1996, Kumar and Sharma 1999, Lee et al. 2003, Schaberg et al. 2003). While this can occur throughout the growing season, it is most readily seen in the fall as senescing leaves remobilize their nitrogen for storage (Himelblau and Amasino 2001, Hörtensteiner and Feller 2002). Phosphorus deficiencies have also been correlated with anthocyanin synthesis (Atkinson 1973, Trull et al. 1997, Zakhleniuk et al. 2001). In *Zea mays*, anthocyanin accumulation occurred in response to potassium deficiencies (Bhandal and Malik 1988).

Carbohydrates are also mobilized in the fall. Anthocyanin accumulation has been correlated with increased sugar concentrations in lab experiments (Overton 1899, Murray et al. 1994, Decendit and Mérollon 1996, Larronde et al. 1998), and in sugar maple leaves (Schaberg et al. 2003). In places that pool sugar naturally, such as girdling sites or after a
carbon sink is added, anthocyanin content increases (Hussey 1963, Jeannette et al. 2000) and when a carbon source is removed, anthocyanin concentrations decrease (Hussey 1963). It has been theorized that anthocyanin is produced in the autumn as a result of excess carbohydrates accumulating in leaves once the abscission layer has formed (Ishikura 1973, Kramer 1979).

Osmotic Stress.

During the fall, leaves are susceptible to frosts. Freezing creates cell damage through cellular rupture caused by ice crystals, and through dehydration as the availability of liquid water decreases (Chalker-Scott 1999). Anthocyanin production is induced by sudden cold temperatures (Nozzolillo et al. 1990, Christie et al. 1994, Leng et al. 2000). While many plants have frost hardiness mechanisms, anthocyanin has been implicated in providing immediate, temporary freezing tolerance rather than as an acquisition of hardiness (Steponkus and Lanphear 1969).

Osmotic stress as a result of drought also induces anthocyanin synthesis (see Chalker-Scott 1999 for review). Anthocyanin is found in plants that are more resistant to water stress (Knox 1989, Paine et al. 1992). Additionally, highly drought resistant resurrection plants experiencing dehydration accumulate three to four times more anthocyanin than is present in their hydrated state (Sherwin and Farrant 1998). An increase in solutes plays an active role in osmotic stress, giving this possibility credence.
Anthocyanin production has been hypothesized as a response to herbivory, wounding, and pathogen attacks. It is speculated that anthocyanin biosynthesis is a herbivory resistant modification, which Coley and Aide (1989) showed by finding that leaf cutter ants were deterred by higher anthocyanin contents. Yet, others have found that anthocyanin does not inhibit feeding (Quiros et al. 1977, Isman and Duffey 1982). Anthocyanin also does not have any toxic or deterrent properties (McClure 1975, Lee 1987), although some have postulated these properties exist (Janzen 1979, Hamilton and Brown 2001). It seems that animals may respond to anthocyanin as an indicator of other leaf characteristics, versus reacting directly to anthocyanin (Close and Beadle 2003).

Autumnal Response.

Autumn pigments can be seen as a result of preferential degradation of chlorophyll (Goodwin 1958, Lichtenthaler 1987). Carotenoids degrade more slowly than chlorophyll, therefore leaves with high carotenoid content look orange or yellow in the fall (Keskitalo et al. 2005). Chlorophyll degradation contributes to the visibility of these other pigments, including anthocyanin, which can be synthesized in the fall. Within a tree, anthocyanin development varies. In maples, parts of the tree that are most exposed to sunlight tend to turn color first and therefore color progresses from the upper crown downward and inward (Chang et al. 1989). Anthocyanin does not typically accumulate in shaded leaves; this is most easily seen in the contrast between overlapping leaves (Kozlowski and Pallardy 1997). In aspens, once anthocyanin synthesis had begun it was correlated with excess light conditions, frost, and cold, clear days but was negatively correlated to
rainy days (Keskitalo et al. 2005). Others suggest that synthesis is associated with warm autumn days, cool nights without killing frosts and several years of relatively high precipitation (Cottam 1966). Kozlowski and Pallardy (1997) suggest that clear skies, cool temperatures and mild drought conditions would induce the most anthocyanin. Overall, many environmental factors have been implicated in anthocyanin synthesis. There are many anecdotal conditions that people associate with the most vibrant autumn colors. Further investigation is needed to quantify these variables and their role in autumn foliage. Although the exact causes of anthocyanin production remain to be determined, their occurrence during autumnal senescence in maples is well documented and diagnostic of the senescence process.

Climate change will affect fall foliage by changing the conditions which contribute to anthocyanin synthesis. Increased temperatures in the fall are associated with muted foliage colors (NECIA 2006, NERA 2007). The predicted increase of drought in the summer and fall will possibly decrease leaf color expression (NECIA 2006). An extension of the growing season may also affect foliar displays by changing the timing and duration of a favorable temperature regime. In one experiment an increase of 4°C caused an extension of the growing season by 17-24 days (Norby et al. 2003). Rising temperatures will also delay leaf abscission. When sugar maple and red maple were examined on the same day, there was a 21%-74% difference in percent leaf abscission between the increased temperature treatment and the control (Norby et al. 2003). The sugar maples and red maples are also in danger of disappearing as dominant species types as their habitat shifts—as shown by both the low emissions and high emissions
scenarios examined by the US Global Change Research Program (Barron 2001, Iverson and Prasad 2001).

**Spectral Characteristics**

All flavonoids absorb radiation. The absorption shifts from UV toward visible light with increasing modification within the flavonoid pathway (Swain 1965). Anthocyanin is the most complex flavonoid and the only one to absorb significantly in the visible spectrum (Harborne 1967, Shirley 1996). Figure 1-2 illustrates anthocyanin’s reflectance spectrum. *In vivo* absorption is centered at 550nm and is strongly related to anthocyanin content (Harborne 1967, Nakayama and Powers 1972). Broadband absorption occurs to some degree due to internal reflection, scattering, and unhomogenous distribution of the anthocyanin pigment within a leaf (Rabinowitch 1945, Fukshansky *et al.* 1993). Anthocyanin can affect the red edge at high concentrations and cause it to be independent of chlorophyll concentration, although at low concentrations the relationship between chlorophyll and red edge is linear (Curran *et al.* 1991). Others have found that anthocyanin does not affect the red edge properties at all and when comparing anthocyanic and non-anthocyanic leaves both absorb similarly in the blue, red, and near-infrared regions (Gitelson *et al.* 2001a). While Figure 1-3 shows some shift in the red edge inflection point (REIP), further investigation is needed to determine if this response is due to anthocyanin.

To create a spectral index, anthocyanin’s highest absorption at 540-550nm is the best place to start (Figure 1-2) (Gamon and Surfus 1999, Merzlyak and Chivkunova 2000,
Gitelson et al. 2001a). Unfortunately, the 550nm range is also strongly affected by chlorophyll. Many studies have identified the 700nm band as having approximately equal reflectance to the 550nm range for chlorophyll and since anthocyanin does not affect this band it has been proposed for use as an approximation for green reflectance due to chlorophyll (Gitelson et al. 2001a, Gitelson et al. 2001b, Sims and Gamon 2002, Merzlyak et al. 2003).

Figure 1-2. Reflectance measurements from wine grapes (Vitis vinifera L.) with different anthocyanin concentrations. As anthocyanin concentrations increase there is increased absorption in the green wavelengths. At higher concentrations, anthocyanin influence can be seen in the red wavelengths (640nm)—although this is not where the greatest change in reflectance occurs. Lines indicate 550, 640, and 700nm. Adapted from Agati et al. (2007).

Thus, the difference between reflectance at 550nm and 700 nm would calculate the absorption solely due to anthocyanin. In fact, this index has been used and found to be sensitive even at minute anthocyanin concentrations—as low as 1-2 nmol/cm$^2$ (Merzlyak et al. 2003). The differences in the leaf spectra from green versus red leaves can be seen
in Figure 1-3. This graph shows how these different band locations change with changing anthocyanin and chlorophyll concentrations.

While studies have shown a correlation between the red:green ratio and anthocyanin (Gamon and Surfus 1999), this relationship is weak when applied to multiple species (Gitelson et al. 2001a, Sims and Gamon 2002). While this may be useful in certain circumstances, more broadly applicable equations can be used, as seen below. Anthocyanin estimation can be made using bands in the 550nm, 640nm, 700nm, and a near infrared (NIR) component (750nm and above) to normalize for leaf structure.

Figure 1-3. Example of VIRIS results. A= anthocyanin concentration (mg/cm$^2$), C= chlorophyll concentration (mg/cm$^2$). Curves are from varying dates and cover a range of anthocyanin and chlorophyll concentrations. Shaded sections show areas of interest for anthocyanin indices.
Below are several versions of the Anthocyanin Reflectance Index (ARI) (Gitelson et al. 2001a). In the equations below “R” is reflectance and $R_{nir}$ is reflectance from 750-800nm:

Equation 1. $ARI = (1/R_{550} - 1/R_{700}) \times R_{nir}$ STD 3.9 nmol/cm$^2$ (Gitelson et al. 2001a)

Equation 2. $ARI = (1/R(530-570) - 1/R(690-710)) \times R_{nir}$ STD unknown, where each reflectance component has a range of possible wavelengths that can be used for estimation (Gitelson et al. 2006).

This index changes as anthocyanin concentrations increase. Merzlak et. al. (1997) found that the index saturates at 40-50nmol/cm$^2$. After 25nmol/cm$^2$ switching to a single band, $1/R_{550}$ (or $R_{nir}/R_{550}$), proves to be more accurate (Gitelson et al. 2001a).

Another anthocyanin index is created with a ratio between red and green wavelengths (Equation 3). This index has been found to detect anthocyanin in Coast live oak ($Quercus agrifolia$), yet in other tests with a multitude of species it was found to be not well correlated (Gamon and Surfus 1999, Sims and Gamon 2002).

$$\sum_{i=500}^{699} R_i$$

Equation 3. Red:Green = $\frac{\sum_{i=500}^{699} R_i}{\sum_{i=500}^{699} R_i}$ where R is reflectance (Sims and Gamon 2002).

**Remote Sensing Systems**

Remote sensing allows for rapid, non-destructive analysis of pigments in plants distributed over a large area. Satellite systems acquire whole-canopy views that are otherwise very difficult to obtain. Not only does this process often reduce costs, it also
allows for large area analyses and repetitive visits to the same site that have not been altered by field tests. Remote sensing also allows for larger scale hypothesis testing and incorporates a whole-ecosystem approach (Ustin et al. 1993). Development of indices and determination of spectral features has allowed scientists to determine more than just the reflectance of light—such as water stress and species type (Ustin et al. 2004). In fact, scientists can now determine specific concentrations of leaf components, such as nitrogen (Smith et al. 2003). Being able to deduce concentration levels of pigments from remote sensing allows insight into the physiological characteristics of a plant community.

Several different orbital platforms for remote sensing are available. In this study laboratory VIRIS measurements as well as space-borne Hyperion, MERIS, Landsat TM/ETM+, and MODIS data will be evaluated.

**VIRIS**

The GER 2600¹, a VIRIS (Visible IR Intelligent Spectrometer), is a remote sensing field instrument that measures 512 bands within the electromagnetic spectrum. In this study, it was used in a laboratory setting where the field of view is approximately 4cm² and allows for individual leaf determination. The VIRIS covers a spectral range of 400-2800nm with a spectral resolution of 2nm for the part of the spectrum used in this study (400-1000nm); a Spectralon-coated hemispherical illumination systems was used (Vogelmann et al. 1993). It has been found that approximately 80% of the light reflected can be attributed to the top leaf when leaves are in stacks of seven (Vogelmann et al. 1993, ¹ The use of specific brand names is for clarity only and does not imply endorsement.
Rock et al. 1994). Reflectance measurements from this instrument can be used to characterize differences through fall senescence as seen in Figure 1-3.

**Satellite Systems**

**Hyperion.**

Launched in November of 2000, Hyperion is a satellite sensor on board NASA's EO-1 which acquires hyperspectral images of the Earth from orbit. Hyperion has 220 spectral bands ranging from 350nm to 2500nm with a resolution of 10nm. One image is 7.6km wide with a length of 42 or 185 km, and a spatial resolution of 30 meters. Hyperion images must be ordered before image acquisition occurs.

**MODIS.**

MODIS (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) is a multispectral imaging sensor on NASA’s Terra (it is also on Aqua) platform launched in December of 1999. Its images have a swath width of 2,330km. Its nadir spatial resolution varies from 250m to 1000m. This system has 36 spectral bands. It can revisit a site every one to two days. Bands with a spatial resolution of 1000m are MODIS ocean bands. Bands useful for this study include (* denotes most useful bands):
Table 1-1. Spectral bands for MODIS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Bandwidth Wavelengths (nm)</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Spatial Resolution (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*Band 1</td>
<td>620-670</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Band 2</td>
<td>841-876</td>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 3</td>
<td>459-479</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Band 4</td>
<td>545-565</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 8</td>
<td>405-420</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 9</td>
<td>438-448</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 10</td>
<td>483-493</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 11</td>
<td>526-536</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Band 12</td>
<td>546-556</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 13</td>
<td>662-672</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 14</td>
<td>673-683</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Band 15</td>
<td>743-753</td>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 16</td>
<td>862-877</td>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 17</td>
<td>890-920</td>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MERIS.

MERIS (Medium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer) is operated by the European Space Agency (ESA) and was launched in March of 2002. It has 15 bands with narrow spectral resolution between 2.5nm and 10nm. Its images have a swath width of 1150km. It can obtain global coverage every three days. Bands include (* denotes most useful bands):

Table 1-2. MERIS spectral bands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Bandwidth</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Spatial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Band 1</td>
<td>407.5-417.5</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 2</td>
<td>437.5-447.5</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 3</td>
<td>485-495</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 4</td>
<td>505-515</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Band 5</td>
<td>555-565</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 6</td>
<td>615-625</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 7</td>
<td>660-670</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 8</td>
<td>677.5-685</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Band 9</td>
<td>700-710</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 10</td>
<td>750-757.5</td>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Band 11</td>
<td>758.75-761.25</td>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 12</td>
<td>767.5-782.5</td>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 13</td>
<td>855-875</td>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 14</td>
<td>885-895</td>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 15</td>
<td>895-905</td>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note that Band 5, Band 9, and Band 11 align with parameters set forward by Gitelson et al. (2006) for anthocyanin estimation.

**Landsat TM/ETM+**

Various forms of the Landsat sensor have been used since the first went up in July of 1972. The bands found on Landsat TM/ETM+ (Enhanced Thematic Mapper), are used in this study. Landsat TM/ETM+ pixels have a spatial resolution of 30m² and are acquired every 16 days for the same point on the ground. The spectral resolution changes from 60nm to 210nm depending on which of the seven bands is considered. Bands used include (* denotes most useful bands):

Table 1-3. Landsat TM/ETM+ spectral bands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Bandwidth Wavelengths (nm)</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Spatial Resolution (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Band 1</td>
<td>420-520</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Band 2</td>
<td>520-600</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Band 3</td>
<td>630-690</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Band 4</td>
<td>760-900</td>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hypotheses**

H1- A laboratory-derived spectral index will detect and characterize the amount of anthocyanin within sugar maple leaves during fall senescence.

H2- Based on laboratory studies, an anthocyanin index can be extrapolated into multispectral form for use with multispectral satellites.

H3- Satellite-based ARI data will provide an accurate portrayal of anthocyanin development during senescence.
Objectives

1. Determine how anthocyanin concentration affects the spectral characteristics of sugar maple leaves. Use this information to compare extracted anthocyanin concentrations with published hyperspectral indices and create new indices if necessary.

2. Simulate satellite bands from hyperspectral data and create simulated satellite anthocyanin indices for Hyperion, MODIS, Landsat TM/ETM+ and MERIS. Compare these results to hyperspectral indices and determine the ability of these satellites to detect anthocyanin.

3. Using the satellite indices developed from objective 2, apply the indices to acquired satellite imagery to see if an autumnal signal can be detected from space-borne imagery.
CHAPTER 2

DEVELOPING A HYPERSPECTRAL ANTHOCYANIN INDEX FOR SUGAR MAPLE LEAVES

Abstract

Development of hyperspectral indices for providing quantitative analysis of anthocyanin is an alternative to destructive laboratory measurements. Anthocyanin, a red pigment produced by many plant species, has been recognized as an indicator of plant stress. Remote detection and quantification of this pigment could be used to assess the health and status of plants efficiently and over large areas. Reflectance measurements were compared to test pigment extractions to develop hyperspectral anthocyanin indices for sugar maple trees. Red reflectance was not well correlated to anthocyanin concentration so absorption at R550nm was targeted, yet this wavelength is complicated by reflectance of chlorophyll; the best estimation to remove this effect was using the reciprocal of reflectance in the red edge region. Laboratory results indicated that absorption at 564nm and reflectance at 697nm estimate anthocyanin concentrations best, which are within the wavelength range of published indices.
Introduction

Anthocyanin is formed in reaction to many different types of stresses including photoinhibition, oxidative and osmotic stress, nutrient deficiency, and wounding (Chalker-Scott 1999, Close and Beadle 2003, Gould 2004). Due to anthocyanin’s response to a wide range of plant conditions, it can be used as a general indicator of plant stress (Andersen and Markham 2006). Anthocyanin development in the fall, a well-known phenomenon in New England, is due to photoinhibition as chlorophyll is actively degraded during senescence. The senescence process produces the brilliant fall colors and is responsible for over a billion dollars in annual direct spending in New Hampshire alone (Development 2007). Tracking fall foliage change through laboratory analysis of pigments is time consuming and its scope is limited. Developing a hyperspectral index to broaden the ability to measure anthocyanin using remote sensing methods is necessary in order to measure foliar color changes and intensities at a greater scale.

While anthocyanin is seen visibly as red, reflectance at this wavelength is not correlated to concentration (Curran et al. 1991, Neill and Gould 1999). Therefore, scientists have developed spectral indices based on anthocyanin’s absorption characteristics (Gamon and Surfus 1999, Merzlyak and Chivkunova 2000, Gitelson et al. 2001a). As the most modified flavonoid, anthocyanin absorbs significantly in the green (Harborne 1967, Shirley 1996). Yet chlorophyll reflects in the green and therefore its signal needed to be subtracted in order to detect absorption due to anthocyanin. Chlorophyll’s reflectance at 550nm (R550) is typically estimated by the reciprocal of reflectance values from the red and near infrared wavelengths (Sims and Gamon 2002, Gitelson et al. 2001a, Merzlak et
There are a number of chlorophyll indices that are based on red-edge reflectance which may estimate R550 as well (Chappelle et al. 1992, Vogelmann et al. 1993, Gitelson and Merzlyak 2004). Putting these terms together to form an anthocyanin reflectance index (ARI) yields:

Equation 1. \[ \text{ARI} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{green}}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{red edge}}} \] (Gitelson et al. 2001a)

Most anthocyanin indices fall into two or three band spectral models. The three components in the equation include reflectance in the green which is a dual signal containing both anthocyanin absorption and chlorophyll reflectance, the red edge component which subtracts the contribution of chlorophyll reflectance at 550nm, and the near infrared component which is added to account for differences in leaf structure (Equation 2) (Myers 1970). An additional term, the log of R550, was tested to account for the non-linear relationship between concentration and reflectance (Equation 3) (Buschmann and Nagel 1993, Blackburn 1999).

Equation 2. \[ \text{ARI}_{\text{nir}} = \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{green}}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{red edge}}} \right) \times \lambda_{\text{near infrared}} \] (Gitelson et al. 2001a)

Equation 3. Concentration based ARI = \( \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{green}}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{red edge}}} \right) \times \log(\lambda_{\text{green}}) \)

Where \( \lambda_{\text{green}} \) is 530-570nm, \( \lambda_{\text{red edge}} \) is 690-710nm, and \( \lambda_{\text{near infrared}} \) is 750-800nm (Gitelson et al. 2006, Merzlyak et al. 2003).

Another anthocyanin index which employs the red region by creating a ratio (Equation 4) will also be tested in this study. This index has been found to detect anthocyanin in Coast...
live oak (*Quercus agrifolia*), yet in other tests with a multitude of species it was found to be not well correlated (Gamon and Surfus 1999, Sims and Gamon 2002).

\[
\sum_{i=600}^{699} Ri
\]

\[
\text{Equation 4. Red:Green } = \frac{\sum_{i=500}^{599} Ri}{\sum_{i=500}^{599} Ri} \text{ where R is reflectance (Sims and Gamon 2002).}
\]

The objective of this study is to take a new approach in developing a spectral index to determine which anthocyanin index best applies to sugar maples as they are a dominant tree type and one of the consistent anthocyanin producers in the Northeast. Anthocyanin is actively produced in sugar maples during the fall, therefore providing a unique opportunity for tracking senescence and for testing various published and unpublished anthocyanin indices. As sugar maple trees turn red in the fall, the remote sensing of this pigment could be a powerful objective indicator of fall foliar color development. Such remote sensing methods could then be used in assessing changes in the character of fall color displays across the northeast which may be related to altered climatic conditions over time.

**Methods**

Ten sugar maples tree located near Durham, NH were sampled weekly (9/2, 9/9, 9/16, 9/23, 9/30, 10/7, 10/14, 10/21, 10/28) over the fall of 2008, resulting in over 700 leaf samples—of which 25 leaves representative of a range of anthocyanin concentrations were selected for pigment extractions. The trees were sampled in the morning, from the southern aspect, in the lower two thirds of the outer canopy (van den Berg and Perkins
Leaves from each tree were placed in a Ziplock bag with a wet paper towel and stored in a cooler supplied with frozen blue ice until measured. Each leaf was measured three times using a Visible/Infrared Imaging Spectrometer (VIRIS) with a field of view of 4.0cm² (GER 2600¹, Geophysical and Environmental Research Corporation, Millbrook, NY), in progressive 90 degree rotations, for an average reflectance value (Rock et al. 1986, Vogelmann et al. 1993, Soukupová et al. 2002). Leaves were then frozen at -80°C until used for pigment extractions (Schmitzer et al. 2009, Ehlenfeldt and Prior 2001).

Ten leaf punches (1.58 cm² each) were taken from the area measured by the VIRIS and ground with a mortar and pestle in 100% methanol until white. Samples were then centrifuged for 5 minutes. Part of this supernatant was used for chlorophyll extractions and concentrations were calculated from equations created by Lichtenthaler (1987). The remaining solution was then used for anthocyanin extraction by adding 0.1% (v/v) of 12.1M HCl (Murray et al. 2003). All samples were measured for absorbance using a Cary 50 spectrophotometer¹. Anthocyanin concentrations were calculated using standard methods and an extinction coefficient of 30,000 mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (Steele et al. 2008, Murray et al. 2003).

The July collections used for the chlorophyll index test were sampled on 7/11/2008 and 7/29/2008 at Foss Farm in Durham, NH. They were measured with the VIRIS as noted above; chlorophyll extractions occurred the same day using 10 leaf punches, 95%...
ethanol, and an incubation time of 16 hours following the methods of Minoscha et al. 2009. Chlorophyll values were calculated using Lichtenthaler 1987.

Food coloring was used to test the relationship between concentration and reflectance. Reflectance measurements were taken using the VIRIS after each addition of one drop of green food coloring (manufactured by McCormick) to 10mL of water in a petri dish. A petri dish with 10mL of water was used as a reference.

**Results and Discussion**

Anthocyanin values between 0 and 28.8 nmol/cm$^2$ were found in sugar maples leaves which is consistent with published values (Steele et al. 2008, Gitelson et al. 2001a). Most anthocyanin develops after chlorophyll levels have dropped below 10 mg/cm$^2$.

Some pigment concentrations, such as chlorophyll, can be estimated by the amount of light they reflect (Gitelson and Merzylak 2004, Datt 1998). To estimate anthocyanin this way would require measuring the reflectance of red light. When anthocyanin concentrations are compared to reflectance in the red and red edge wavelengths there is moderate correlation (Figure 2-1), yet this relationship is dominated by several low anthocyanin leaves (highlighted) (Figure 2-2). There is not much of a correlation between red light and anthocyanin concentrations when you focus on those leaves with more than 6nmol/cm$^2$ (unhighlighted points in Figure 2-2).

The anthocyanin index based on reflectance in the red and green (Equation 4), was correlated with anthocyanin concentrations ($r^2 = 0.83$) when considering all leaves (Table
While this relationship provides a good fit, better estimation of anthocyanin using the absorption characteristics of this pigment may be possible.

Figure 2-1. Correlation of red and red edge wavelengths (600-720 nm) with varying amounts of anthocyanin concentrations (0-28.8 nmol/cm²) using the Pairwise method.

Figure 2-2. Anthocyanin concentrations versus red light. Highlighted points represent 7 observations with low amounts of anthocyanin. These points dominate the relationship plotted in Figure 2-1.
Anthocyanin absorbs in the green region of the EM spectrum with a peak at 550nm in vivo. Yet, this is also where chlorophyll reflects. Figure 2-3 shows these relationships visually by comparing two leaves with similar chlorophyll concentrations but different anthocyanin concentrations; the bold line represents a leaf with less anthocyanin (3 nmol/cm²) than the dotted line (13 nmol/cm²) (concentrations estimated by anthocyanin index (Gitelson et al. 2001a)), both have approximately 11 nmol/cm² of chlorophyll (chlorophyll index (Gitelson and Merzlyak 2004)). Notice the difference between the spectral curves is most noticeable in the green.

The overlapping signals of chlorophyll reflectance and anthocyanin absorption must be separated. To attempt this, several relationships were examined to estimate chlorophyll's contributions to the reflectance at 550nm including published chlorophyll indices such as the red edge inflection point (REIP), and reciprocal reflectance in the red and red edge wavelengths (Gitelson and Merzlyak 2004, Vogelmann et al. 1993, Sims and Gamon 2002).

Several published chlorophyll indices can predict the amount of chlorophyll present in the fall leaves well (Table 2-1), yet correlating concentration to the amount of reflectance at 550nm is more difficult due to the dual signal present in the fall leaves. A separate database of leaves collected in July was used to correlate R550 to chlorophyll indices. These leaves have little to no anthocyanin and therefore reflectance at 550nm is assumed to be solely attributed to chlorophyll. The best chlorophyll index for use in the
ARI was determined by comparing how well it correlates to chlorophyll concentrations in the fall leaves and how well it correlates to R550 in green summer leaves.

Figure 2-3. This example shows two leaves with similar chlorophyll concentrations but differing anthocyanin concentrations. The dotted line represents a leaf with more anthocyanin. Note the difference in green reflectance and lack of difference in the red wavelengths (600-699 nm).

Most chlorophyll indices correlated well with extracted chlorophyll concentrations, most notably R695/R760 (Carter 1994), R710/R760 (Carter 1994), R750/R700 (Gitelson and Merzylak 2004), and RE3/RE2 (Vogelmann et al. 1993) (Table 2-1). The indices which correlated best with R550 summer leaves were TCARI—transformed chlorophyll absorption reflectance index (Kim 1994), R710 (Gitelson et al. 2006), R700 (Gitelson et al. 2006), first derivative of R705 (Vogelmann et al. 1993), and Datt (1998) (Table 2-1). None of these match the best indices for detecting chlorophyll concentrations. Most indices which performed well in matching R550 in the green summer leaves, do not perform as well with the extracted chlorophyll values in the fall leaves. The REIP correlates well with extracted values, but is not correlated with R550 and therefore would
not be a very good estimator of chlorophyll’s reflectance at R550 (Table 2-1). The best correlations when considering both relationships occur with reciprocal reflectance of the red edge wavelengths (Table 2-1); R700 had the highest correlations for both relationships and therefore will be used as the best estimation of chlorophyll’s reflectance at R550. This relationship and use of R700 has been recommended and used in several anthocyanin indices (Gitelson et al. 2001a, Merzlyak et al. 2003).

The correlation between anthocyanin concentration and Gitelson’s ARI (Equation 1) for sugar maple was significant ($r^2=0.91$) (Table 2-2 and Figure 2-4).

There are many wavelengths that can be used for the different components of the ARI; R550 can be substituted with 540-570nm and R700 with 690-710nm (Gitelson and Merzlyak 2004, Gitelson et al. 2006). Other ARI combinations were tested using these various wavelengths, and the best correlation occurred when using R564 and R697 (Table 2-2). These values were not the most correlated for either individual component, yet combined as an index it yields a slightly higher correlation than the ARI ($r^2 = 0.916$).

Modifications of the ARI (Equation 1) have also been studied by using a near infrared term to account for differences in leaf structure (Equation 2). In comparison to the extracted values, this addition provided similar results to the ARI but decreased slightly in correlation (Table 2-2). A more dramatic increase in fit may occur in experiments dealing with multiple species, but this study used leaves from a single species which may have led to the apparent reduced influence of structure on anthocyanin determination (Gitelson et al. 2006).
Table 2-1. Correlation of chlorophyll indices to chlorophyll concentrations in fall leaves and to R550 in green summer leaves. Indices are identified by author and reflectance values used. Root mean square error (RMSE) for chlorophyll concentrations is in mg/cm$^2$; RMSE for R550 is in % reflectance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Type of Fit</th>
<th>r$^2$</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>r$^2$ to R550</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carter 695,760</td>
<td>reciprocal</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>17.63</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>0.895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carter 710,760</td>
<td>reciprocal</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>20.67</td>
<td>0.703</td>
<td>0.765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carter 605,760</td>
<td>reciprocal</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>42.26</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>0.646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gitelson 750,700</td>
<td>reciprocal</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>17.33</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chappelle 675,650,700</td>
<td>reciprocal</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>100.89</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>1.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chappelle 675,700</td>
<td>reciprocal</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>46.79</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>0.881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vogelmann RE3/RE2</td>
<td>linear</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>22.85</td>
<td>0.670</td>
<td>0.806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First derivative 715</td>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>0.885</td>
<td>47.36</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>0.969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First derivative 705</td>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>0.481</td>
<td>100.66</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>0.543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vogelmann Fd715,fd705</td>
<td>linear</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>117.20</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim TCARI 550,670,700</td>
<td>linear</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>121.04</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>0.362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huete OSAVI 670,800</td>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>47.06</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>1.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gitelson 705-750</td>
<td>linear</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>32.74</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gitelson 694-740, 750-800</td>
<td>linear</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>30.59</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datt  550,680,708</td>
<td>reciprocal</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>95.52</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>0.562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gitelson 690-720,760-800</td>
<td>linear</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>21.91</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>0.825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vogelmann REIP</td>
<td>linear</td>
<td>0.912</td>
<td>41.36</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>1.278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asrar NDVI 630-690,760-900</td>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>37.07</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>1.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Edge 3/1 695-705,734-746</td>
<td>linear</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>18.60</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>0.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R691</td>
<td>reciprocal</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>30.65</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>1.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R693</td>
<td>reciprocal</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>30.45</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R695</td>
<td>reciprocal</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>30.96</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>0.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R696</td>
<td>reciprocal</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>32.16</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R697</td>
<td>reciprocal</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td>33.78</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>0.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R699</td>
<td>reciprocal</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td>35.63</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>0.845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R700</td>
<td>reciprocal</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>38.41</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>0.523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R710</td>
<td>reciprocal</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>75.47</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>0.491</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is interesting to note that as chlorophyll concentrations get higher (above 10mg/cm$^2$), the ARI underestimates the amount of anthocyanin slightly more than overestimates it, both in the number of observations and in value (Figure 2-5).
Figure 2-4. Correlation between extracted anthocyanin concentrations and the hyperspectral index ARI (Equation 1).

![Graph showing the correlation between Anthocyanin (nmol/cm²) and ARI.]

Table 2-2. Correlation and root mean square error (RMSE) of several hyperspectral indices to estimate anthocyanin concentration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>$r^2$</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARI (1/R550-1/R700)</td>
<td>0.910</td>
<td>7.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARI$_{nir}$ ($(1/R550-1/R700)\cdot R750$)</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>7.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARI with log ($(1/R550-1/R700)\cdot \log R550$)</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>7.612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red:green ((\sum_{i=600}^{599} \frac{R_i}{\sum_{i=500}^{600} R_i}))</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td>10.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/R564- 1/R697</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>7.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2-5. Residual plot of the fit line in Figure 2-4. Bold points indicate chlorophyll concentrations over 10 mg/cm².
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This may be due to the ratio of the pigments. It is difficult to detect small amounts of anthocyanin when chlorophyll concentrations are high—as this small addition is masked by the chlorophyll which affects the reflectance strongly. The ARI is based on linear relationships, but concentration and reflectance follow a logarithmic relationship at high values (Figure 2-6). As concentrations get higher, the amount of pigment that is added affects the overall reflectance less. To account for the fact that overall concentration of the combined pigments will reduce reflectance in the green peak, both by a reduction in reflectance by chlorophyll as the leaves become a darker green and by an increase in the absorption of anthocyanin, one can multiply the ARI by the log of R550 (Equation 3). This equation increased the correlation with anthocyanin concentrations slightly (Table 2-2).

Figure 2-6. Correlation between reflectance and concentration. Results based on food coloring test. A log fit is shown, $r^2=0.99$.

When the concentration-based ARI index was tested on a separate database based on grapevine leaves it improved the correlation, but it was not a significant increase (Steele 2009). This factor may be useful for other datasets, particularly ones with higher total pigment concentrations of both anthocyanin and chlorophyll.
Conclusions

The anthocyanin hyperspectral index which performed best overall was (1/R564-1/R697). The use of a near infrared component to estimate for structure did not increase the correlation with anthocyanin concentrations; the use of the log to account for the relationship between concentration and reflectance increased correlation slightly. The lack of a unique reflectance signature at any single point in the visible wavelengths constrains the ability to remotely sense anthocyanin, and also to detect beginning development of the pigment if it occurs in leaves with high chlorophyll concentrations. Yet, for fall foliage where chlorophyll levels are naturally lowered, the current study has shown that this hyperspectral index performs well and could be used as a means of measuring anthocyanin concentrations non-destructively.
CHAPTER 3

SIMULATING SATELLITE ANTHOCYANIN INDICES AND COMPARING THEIR CORRELATION TO HYPERSPECTRAL ANTHOCYANIN INDICES

Abstract

Anthocyanin is a red pigment that is indicative of many types of plant stress. By developing effective spectral indices for detecting changes in anthocyanin concentrations, such indices can quantify pigments non-destructively and across a multitude of spatial and temporal scales. Plant pigment indices have been derived using hyperspectral and multispectral data which are useful for applications with satellite sensors. Yet, to date, there is not a multispectral anthocyanin index. This paper compares hyperspectral indices to simulated satellite indices for use with Hyperion, MERIS, MODIS, and Landsat TM/ETM+ using laboratory-based VIRIS data. Results show that all satellites have some predictive power for detecting anthocyanin with the most consistent performance associated with a red:green spectral ratio.

Introduction

Anthocyanin is a red pigment found in many plant species and can be seen throughout New England during the autumn. Anthocyanin has been shown to be a universal indicator of plant stress formed in response to a number of conditions including
photoinhibition, oxidative and osmotic stress, nutrient deficiency, and wounding.
Detecting its presence would therefore be useful for ecosystem analysis and characterization as well as for monitoring changes during autumn senescence over the past several decades.

Climate change will affect fall foliage by changing the conditions which contribute to anthocyanin synthesis. Increased temperatures in the fall are associated with muted foliage colors (NECIA 2006, NERA 2007). The predicted increase of drought in the summer and fall will possibly decrease leaf color expression (NECIA 2006). Sugar maples are also in danger of disappearing as a dominant species type as their habitat shifts—as shown by both the low emissions and high emissions scenarios examined by the US Global Change Research Program (Barron 2001, Iverson and Prasad 2001). Plant pigments react to environmental stresses, such as those induced by climate change. It may be possible to track stress to sugar maples, and other species, if a satellite-based anthocyanin index is developed.

The most accurate way to measure pigment concentrations is through destructive analysis, yet development of non-destructive assessment methods through the use of remote sensing have been used as a proxy for estimating concentration. Absorption and reflectance features have been characterized for many pigments and spectral indices have been created to eliminate overlap between different pigment features (Merzylak et al. 1999, Gitelson and Merzylak 2004). Hyperspectral indices for anthocyanin have been developed by previous research using a two or three band ratio. A band, $\lambda_{\text{green}}$, accounts
for anthocyanin absorbance and chlorophyll reflectance, while the band $\lambda_{\text{red edge}}$ estimates chlorophyll’s reflectance in the $\lambda_{\text{green}}$ region. By subtracting the $\lambda_{\text{red edge}}$ from $\lambda_{\text{green}}$ the result is an anthocyanin signature; some indices also include a band in the near infrared to account for leaf structure (Merzylak 1999, Gitelson et al. 2001a, Sims and Gamon 2002, Gitelson et al. 2006). The resulting equations are:

Equation 1. $\text{ARI} = 1/\lambda_{\text{green}} - 1/\lambda_{\text{red edge}}$ (Gitelson et al. 2001a)

Equation 2. $\text{ARI}_{\text{infrared}} = (1/\lambda_{\text{green}} - 1/\lambda_{\text{red edge}}) \times \lambda_{\text{near infrared}}$ (Gitelson et al. 2001a)

Where $\lambda_{\text{green}}$ is 530-570nm, $\lambda_{\text{red edge}}$ is 690-710nm, and $\lambda_{\text{near infrared}}$ is 750-800nm (Gitelson et al. 2006, Merzlyak et al. 2003).

Extrapolation of ground-based anthocyanin indices to satellite systems has been very limited. One example was completed in the Amazon using a hyperspectral sensor, NASA’s Hyperion, where an anthocyanin index was indicative of drought stress (Asner et al. 2004). To date, no one has developed a form of anthocyanin detection using more widely available multispectral satellites such as MERIS, MODIS or Landsat TM/ETM+.

This study aims to test the ability of Hyperion, MERIS, MODIS, and Landsat TM/ETM+ to accurately detect and quantify anthocyanin through simulating satellite bands from laboratory-based hyperspectral data.

**Methods**

**Medium:** Ten sugar maple trees located near Durham, NH were sampled weekly (9/2, 9/9, 9/16, 9/23, 9/30, 10/7, 10/14, 10/21, 10/28) over the fall of 2008, resulting in over
seven hundred leaf samples. The trees were sampled in the morning, from the southern aspect, from the lower two thirds of the outer canopy (van den Berg and Perkins 2007). Samples were collected and stored in a Ziploc bag with a wet paper towel and kept in a cooler with frozen blue ice until measured. Each leaf was measured using a Visible/Infrared Intelligent Spectrometer (VIRIS) (GER 2600\(^1\), Geophysical and Environmental Research Corporation, Millbrook, NY) three times, in progressive 90 degree rotations, for an average reflectance value from each leaf.

**Representation of anthocyanin concentrations:**

While a combination of wavelengths (1/R564 - 1/R697) was found to have a higher correlation with extracted anthocyanin concentrations in sugar maple leaves than other anthocyanin indices (see Chapter 2), this relationship has not been tested on different species. Several studies have found the anthocyanin index created by Gitelson *et al.* (2001a) is able to determine anthocyanin concentrations in a variety of vegetative types (Hoch *et al.* 2003, Merzlyak *et al.* 2003, Steele *et al.* 2008). Due to pixel size and a variable forest composition, a variety of tree species will be viewed by the satellite sensors when actual imagery is used. Therefore, the previously published hyperspectral anthocyanin reflectance indices (hARI) represented in Equations 1 and 2, will be used as a best representation of anthocyanin concentration throughout the present study as it has been tested on a variety of vegetation types (Gitelson *et al.* 2001a). When comparing simulated satellite results based on Equation 2, which has a near infrared component, the hARI will be multiplied by \(\lambda_{\text{near infrared}}\) (Equation 2).

\(^{1}\) The use of specific brand names is for clarity only and does not imply endorsement.
Equations: The different equations used are based on the fact that reflectance at λ_{green} is a combination of chlorophyll reflectance and anthocyanin absorption, whereas reflectance at λ_{red edge} is only affected by chlorophyll concentration and has been found to be correlated to the reflectance at λ_{green} due to chlorophyll; by subtracting λ_{red edge} from λ_{green} the result is anthocyanin signature (Equation 1). Two other terms can be introduced, one is a near infrared component which considers the difference in leaf structure (Equation 2), and the other is a log term which is introduced to account for the non-linear relationship between concentration and reflectance (Equation 3) (Buschmann and Nagel 1993, Blackburn 1999). Satellite bands used for these terms can be seen in Table 1.

Equation 3. Concentration based ARI = (1/λ_{green} - 1/λ_{red edge}) \ast \log(λ_{green})

Where λ_{green} is 530-570nm and λ_{red edge} is 690-710nm (Gitelson et al. 2006, Merzlyak et al. 2003).

Another anthocyanin index has been developed by taking a ratio of the red and green wavelengths (Equation 4). This relationship will also be simulated. Instead of using the summation of several bands for each component, only one red band and one green band were used for the ratio for consistency in comparing different satellites.

Equation 4. Red:Green = \frac{\sum_{i=600}^{699} R_i}{\sum_{i=500}^{599} R_i} \text{ where } R \text{ is reflectance (Sims and Gamon 2002).}
Satellites:

**Hyperion:** Hyperion is a hyperspectral sensor which has narrow spectral bands approximately 10nm wide. It has a spatial resolution of 30m$^2$. Hyperion imagery must be ordered for acquisition. As a hyperspectral sensor, the bands that match the hARIs (Equations 1 and 2) best are band 20 (543-554nm), 35 (696-707nm), and 40 (747-758nm).

**MERIS:** MERIS is ESA’s MEdium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer but its spectral bands are still narrow, varying between 2.5nm to 10nm. For this study, the reduced resolution product was used which has a spatial resolution of approximately 1200m$^2$. Repeat coverage occurs every 3 days. To best match the hyperspectral ARIs (Equations 1 and 2) bands 5 (550-570nm), 9 (700-710nm), and 10 (750-758nm) are used.

**MODIS:** MODIS is NASA’s MOderate Resolution Imaging Spectrometer with spectral bands that range from 20nm to 50nm wide. Its spatial resolution depends on the band and viewing angle, varying from 250m to 1km$^2$. Repeat coverage occurs every 1-2 days, but is typically complied into an eight day composite. To best match hyperspectral anthocyanin indices (Equations 1 and 2), bands 1 (620-670nm), 2 (841-876nm), and 4 (545-565nm) were used.

**Landsat:** Landsat TM/ETM+ is a medium resolution radiometer which has larger spectral bands, averaging together 70nm to 270nm. It has a spatial resolution of 30m$^2$. Repeat coverage occurs every 16 days. Landsat’s bands are very broad to match the wavelengths in hyperspectral anthocyanin indices (Equations 1 and 2). The best match occurs with bands 2 (520-600nm), 3 (630-690nm), and 4 (760-900nm).
Bands: Satellite bands which were the closest match to the bands used in the hARI were targeted to create simulated satellite anthocyanin indices (Figure 3-1). Simulated bands were created by weighting each hyperspectral band within the range of the satellite band by the relative spectral response of that satellite band. These values were then averaged to produce a value for each simulated band. Table 3-1 shows which satellite bands were used to calculate each satellite ARI.

Table 3-1. Satellite bands which correspond best with the components of the hyperspectral anthocyanin index (hARI).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satellite</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{green}}$</th>
<th>Band (nm)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{red edge}}$</th>
<th>Band (nm)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{near infrared}}$</th>
<th>Band (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyperion</td>
<td>Band 20</td>
<td>548.9 ±11</td>
<td>Band 35</td>
<td>701.5 ±10.5</td>
<td>Band 40</td>
<td>752.4 ±10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS</td>
<td>Band 4</td>
<td>555 ±10</td>
<td>Band 1</td>
<td>645 ±25</td>
<td>Band 2</td>
<td>876 ±35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS</td>
<td>Band 5</td>
<td>560 ±5</td>
<td>Band 9</td>
<td>705 ±5</td>
<td>Band 10</td>
<td>753.75 ±3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landsat TM/ETM+</td>
<td>Band 2</td>
<td>560 ±40</td>
<td>Band 3</td>
<td>660 ±30</td>
<td>Band 4</td>
<td>830 ±70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3-1. Satellite band locations in reference to a typical leaf reflectance curve. See Table 3-2 for band information.
Table 3-2. Satellite band colors and numbers for Figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satellite</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Bands from left to right</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landsat TM/ETM+</td>
<td>yellow</td>
<td>2, 3, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>4, 1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperion</td>
<td>blue</td>
<td>20, 35, 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS</td>
<td>green</td>
<td>5, 9, 10, 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Results**

Some simulated satellite ARIs (MERIS, MODIS and Landsat TM/ETM+) had difficulty detecting low levels of anthocyanin when coupled with higher chlorophyll levels-- a trait characteristic of leaves sampled in September to early October (Figure 3-2). Due to the number of samples, these early fall leaves dominate the analysis for correlation, but do not show how accurate the indices are after each index’s sensitivity increases. Therefore each satellite was analyzed with all points, and secondarily with these early fall leaves excluded.
Figure 3-2. Early fall leaves, indicated by the oval, tend to have higher chlorophyll concentrations and lower anthocyanin concentrations. Concentrations were based on reflectance indices: anthocyanin (1/R550-1/R700) and chlorophyll (RARS) (Chappelle 1992, Gitelson et al. 2001a).

Hyperion: Hyperion’s simulated anthocyanin indices performed well in correlation to the hARI (Figure 3). The relationship between the Hyperion ARI and the hARI has a very close fit \( r^2 = 0.996 \); Figure 3-3A), showing that the simulated Hyperion satellite should easily detect variations in anthocyanin concentration. Addition of the \( \lambda_{\text{near infrared}} \) term does not result any change, and the fit is still highly correlated \( r^2 = 0.996 \); Figure 3-3B). The log decreased fit slightly \( r^2 = 0.944 \); Figure 3-3C). Comparing the hARI with the red:green ratio (Equation 3) shows that this index is not the best fit \( r^2 = 0.932 \); Figure 3-3D), but it is still an accurate representation of concentration values.
Figure 3-3. Correlation between Hyperion anthocyanin indices and hyperspectral anthocyanin indices. \( \text{ARI}_{\text{ln}} \) = concentration based ARI (Equation 3). Correlations to relationships shown can be found in Table 3-3.

**MERIS:** MERIS deviates from a linear relationship with h\( \text{ARI} \) \( (r^2 = 0.73; \) Figure 3-4A). While most late fall leaves follow a linear relationship, the early fall leaves clump together. Detection of anthocyanin in these leaves is being overestimated; for example a leaf with a h\( \text{ARI} \) value of 0.005 has a MERIS ARI value of 0.02-0.07.

Addition of the \( \lambda_{\text{near infrared}} \) term reduces the correlation \( (r^2 = 0.691; \) Figure 3-4B). The log term increases correlation \( (r^2 = 0.83; \) Figure 3-4C). The red:green ratio has the best fit out
of the possible indices ($r^2=0.85$; Figure 3-4D) and does not experience the problem of early fall leaves separating and causing a non-linear fit.

Table 3-3. Correlation of satellite anthocyanin indices with hyperspectral indices. Landsat ARI's were based on bands available on TM and ETM+. *denotes that value was added to bring index values above 0. Threshold= value below which samples were excluded from analysis. Obs= number of observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALL POINTS</th>
<th>linear $r^2$</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>non-linear fit</th>
<th>non-linear $r^2$</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARI versus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperion ARI</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS ARI B9</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS ARI B8</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS ARI*</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>0.796</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landsat ARI*</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARI nir versus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperion ARInir</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS ARInir B9</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>1.094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS ARInir B8</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS ARInir*</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>1.257</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>0.818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landsat ARInir*</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>0.661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARI versus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperion ARImm</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS ARImm B9</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS ARImm B8</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS ARImm</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landsat ARImm</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARI versus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperion ARI</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS ARI B7: B5</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS ARI B9: B5</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS ARI B8: B5</td>
<td>0.832</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>*not graphed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS ARI red: green</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landsat ARI red: green</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the early fall leaves can be eliminated from analysis through the use of a threshold value, a better relationship may be possible. It is difficult to apply a threshold as the points are not easily separated based on their MERIS ARI value. If only values above 0.08 of the MERIS ARI are considered the linear fit increases in correlation (Table 3-4). Yet this reduces the number of observations considered to 115 of the possible 890.
Table 3-4. Correlation of satellite anthocyanin indices with hyperspectral indices after threshold values have been used. Landsat ARI’s were based on bands available on TM and ETM+. *denotes that value was added to bring index values above 0. Threshold= value below which samples were excluded from analysis. Obs= number of observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THRESHOLD</th>
<th>linear $r^2$</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>non-linear fit</th>
<th>non-linear $r^2$</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARI versus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperion ARI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS B9 Obs: Threshold: 0.08</td>
<td>0.791</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS B8 Obs: Threshold: 0</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS ARI Obs: Threshold: -0.01</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landsat ARI Obs: Threshold: 0</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARI nir versus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperion ARInir</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS ARInir B9</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS ARInir B8</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.921</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS ARInir</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>1.201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landsat ARInir</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>0.839</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARI versus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS ARIln B9</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS ARIln B8</td>
<td>0.739</td>
<td>0.0197</td>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td>0.0142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS ARIln</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landsat ARIln</td>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Square root</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The simulated MERIS data takes a similar form to MODIS and Landsat TM/ETM+ when Band 8 (677.5-685nm) is used as it is closer to the red wavelengths (Figure 3-5). While Band 9 allows for the closest linear fit, there are complications with anthocyanin being over estimated. Band 8 separates the data more, as the early fall leaves clump together in the negative values of the index and are underestimated versus overestimated. If zero were to be used as a threshold the number of observations considered increases to 262 and the linear prediction is more accurate ($r^2 = 0.833$). While Band 8 performs better in the ARI’s based on subtraction, Band 9 performs better in the red:green ratio (Table 3-
3). Using the near infrared Band 9 in the red:green ratio performs better than using Band 7 (660-670nm) which is a closer representation of reflectance in the red (Table 3-3).

Figure 3-4. Correlation between MERIS anthocyanin indices using band 9 and hyperspectral anthocyanin indices. ARIln= concentration based ARI (Equation 3). Correlations to relationships shown can be found in Table 3-3.
Figure 3-5. Correlation between MERIS anthocyanin indices using band 8 and hyperspectral anthocyanin indices. ARIIn= concentration based ARI (Equation 3). Correlations to relationships shown can be found in Table 3-3.

MODIS: The MODIS ARI is not highly linearly correlated with the hARI ($r^2 = 0.566$; Figure 3-5A). Using a cubic function increases the correlation to hARI ($r^2 = 0.796$). The cubic function fits better because the index values of MODIS are split into two sets (Figure 3-5A). The lower values of the MODIS ARI match early fall leaves. The addition of the $\lambda$ near infrared component increases the fit slightly when compared to a linear relationship ($r^2 = 0.59$; Figure 3-5B). The $\lambda$ near infrared component also increases the correlation when using a cubic fit ($r^2 = 0.828$; Figure 3-5B) compared to the non-linear hARI fit. Using the log term may be useful here due to the broader spectral bands and
increases the linear fit ($r^2 = 0.60$; Figure 3-5C). It also slightly increases the fit using a cubic relationship ($r^2 = 0.80$; Figure 3-5C). Since the early fall leaves deviate from a linear relationship, a threshold value may be useful to increase the correlation, albeit while reducing the power of the index. By excluding MODIS ARI values lower than -0.01, the analysis is based on 305 observations. The correlation to hARI increases slightly ($r^2 = 0.60$). The addition of the $\lambda_{near\,infrared}$ term increases the correlation ($r^2 = 0.71$), and the addition of the log term decreases fit ($r^2 = 0.60$).

The best fit out of all these relationships when considering all observations occurs with the red:green ratio ($r^2 = 0.85$).

Figure 3-6. Correlation between MODIS anthocyanin indices and hyperspectral anthocyanin indices. ARIln= concentration based ARI (Equation 3). Correlations to relationships shown can be found in Table 3-3.
Landsat: The simulated Landsat ARI TM/ETM+ is modestly correlated to a linear fit of the hARI ($r^2 = 0.76$). This performance of this relationship increases greatly when considering a non-linear fit (quadratic, $r^2 = 0.91$). The addition of the $\lambda_{\text{near infrared}}$ term or the log term decreases fit in both the linear and non-linear relationships (Table 3-3). The Landsat TM/ETM+ ARI shows two groups of observations which accounts for why a linear relationship is not the best fit (Figure 3-6). These two groups are either early or late fall observations. Since detection of the early fall leaves proves problematic, a threshold can be applied. If values below 0 are excluded, the fit of the Landsat TM/ETM+ ARI to the hARI increases ($r^2 = 0.93$) although the power of the index has decreased. If the log or $\lambda_{\text{near infrared}}$ terms are added the fit decreases slightly (Table 3-4).

The red:green ratio performs well when considering all samples ($r^2 = 0.85$). Although there is some scatter with this index, the early fall leaves don’t assume a non-linear relationship.
Figure 3-6. Correlation between Landsat TM/ETM+ anthocyanin indices and hyperspectral anthocyanin indices. ARIln= concentration based ARI (Equation 3). Correlations to relationships shown can be found in Table 3-3.

Discussion

For all multispectral satellites the best approximation came from using the red:green ratio with the exception of Landsat TM/ETM+. The red:green ratio works well for Landsat TM/ETM+ ($r^2 = 0.854$), but a better fit can be obtained using a non-linear fit to any of the ARI approximations—including those with log and $\lambda_{\text{near infrared}}$ terms.

There are two modifications that can be made to the basic ARI. One is the addition of the near infrared band to account for difference in structure in leaves. For all modeled
satellites, this did not improve the fit except for the linear fit of MODIS; the linear fit of MODIS is not a very good approximation of the relationship between the hARI and satellite ARI and therefore should not be considered. The insignificance of the near infrared component in this study may be due to the use of a homogeneous single species of maple; this may change when real imagery is considered and a more heterogeneous scene with mixed forest stands within a single pixel is considered.

The second modification that can be made is to add the log of reflectance at 550nm. This accounts for the non-linear relationship between pigment reflectance and concentration. The addition of this term did not increase correlation between the hARI and the simulated satellite ARI’s for Hyperion or Landsat TM/ETM+ (Figures 3-3C and 3-6C). The correlation increased for MODIS and MERIS slightly when compared to linear fits (Figures 3-4C and 3-5C). When a non-linear fit for the MODIS log ARI is used, the correlation increases ($r^2 = 0.89$). If a non-linear relationship is used for the MODIS log ARI, the correlation increases slightly over the non-linear fit to the MODIS ARI (Table 3-3). Overall, addition of the log term does not seem to improve fit significantly.

Threshold values were used to increase the correlation between satellite ARI’s and the hARI, but make the indices less powerful as values below the threshold can not be interpreted. It is difficult to determine a threshold value for MERIS as green leaves are consistently over estimated using Band 9. Unlike MODIS and Landsat TM/ETM+ where high chlorophyll/low anthocyanin leaves are underestimated and there is a value difference between the early fall leaves and late fall leaves, the MERIS data are more
clumped causing the threshold to exclude more data. After low anthocyanin/high chlorophyll leaves are excluded, the MERIS ARI follows a linear relationship. Leaves detected after this exclusion may still have a green appearance, but in general correspond to leaves that are further senesced and chlorophyll degradation is apparent. When using Band 8 for MERIS, the relationship to the hARI looks similar to Landsat TM/ETM+ and MODIS. Here, a threshold value excludes less data than the MERIS Band 9 threshold and predicts the hARI with more certainty. It may be that Band 9 falls too far up the red edge, causing less reciprocal reflectance, representing chlorophyll, to be subtracted.

While early anthocyanin detection might be difficult, it may be that MERIS will perform well because the tops of canopies change first during fall senescence; this might send a falsely high signal back to the satellite and anthocyanin may be detected before “peak color” occurs throughout the canopy. Yet in terms of tourism, many people view foliage at overlooks where only the tops of the trees can be seen and therefore MERIS could provide an adequate representation of the landscape.

Landsat TM/ETM+ also performed well after an obvious threshold was used and its application may be easier than MERIS. The Landsat TM/ETM+ threshold excluded fewer samples indicating that although the index may not be as precise as MERIS, it may be able to detect anthocyanin development earlier. It is also important to note that although Landsat TM/ETM+ data have some advantages (30m pixels, long historic record), the 16 day repeat cycle limits its usefulness in comparing annual peak color characteristics.
Even after high chlorophyll leaves were excluded, MODIS correlation to the hARI did not improve as significantly as MERIS or Landsat TM/ETM+. This may be due to the higher amount of scatter MODIS experienced versus the other satellites which comes from a wider spread of values for the early fall leaves in the negative values of the MODIS index. The fact that the MODIS band 15 falls short of the wavelengths used in the hARI for the near infrared region may explain its lack of fit. The MODIS λ_{red edge} is not the best proxy to equal the amount of reflectance due to chlorophyll at 550nm as the reflectance values in the sensor’s range are typically lower than those at the more ideal 700nm, and therefore the index will subtract more reflectance from the 550nm component (as the relationship is inversed).

Conclusions
Overall, the red:green ratio correlated best with multispectral satellite ARI’s and the hARI. Non-linear relationships with some satellites also performed well, but indicate a change in the relationship between satellite bands and the hyperspectral bands as ideally results would show a linear relationship. Hyperion gave the best fit when compared with the other satellite systems (MERIS, MODIS and Landsat TM/ETM+) included in this study. This was a theoretical exercise to test which satellites should be focused on for further study of remote sensing to detect fall foliage.
CHAPTER 4

APPLYING ANTHOCYANIN INDICES TO HYPERSPECTRAL AND MULTISPECTRAL IMAGING

Abstract

Remote detection of plant pigments has given great insight into ecosystem function. While some pigment indices have been developed for satellite systems, there is not yet one for anthocyanin. Anthocyanin, a red pigment, is a general indicator of plant stress; satellite detection of this pigment could enhance understanding of different plant processes and how plants respond to a changing environment. Laboratory-based hyperspectral anthocyanin indices were extrapolated to several multispectral satellite platforms. Detection of a seasonal signal known to develop in New England in the fall was targeted to see if satellites could acquire reliable data for detecting changes in anthocyanin concentrations. Hyperion, MERIS, and MODIS were able to detect a seasonal signal using two different indices to represent anthocyanin concentrations. Further study is needed to find the accuracy and sensitivity of these indices in practice.
Introduction

Anthocyanin is a red pigment found in many plant species and can be seen throughout New England during the autumn. Anthocyanin is thought to be a universal indicator of plant stress formed in response to a number of conditions including photoinhibition, oxidative and osmotic stress, nutrient deficiency, and wounding (Chalker-Scott 1999, Close and Beadle 2003, Gould 2004). Detecting its presence would therefore be useful for ecosystem analysis and for monitoring changes during autumn senescence.

Climate change will affect fall foliage by changing the conditions which contribute to anthocyanin synthesis. Increased temperatures in the fall are associated with muted foliage colors (NECIA 2006, NERA 2007). The predicted increase of drought in the summer and fall will possibly decrease leaf color expression (NECIA 2006). Sugar maples are also in danger of disappearing as a dominant species type as their habitat shifts—as shown by both the low emissions and high emissions scenarios examined by the US Global Change Research Program (Barron 2001, Iverson and Prasad 2001). Plant pigments react to environmental stresses, such as those induced by climate change. It may be possible to track stress to sugar maples, and other species, if a satellite-based anthocyanin index is developed.

Satellite detection of anthocyanin could provide useful insight into a number of different ecosystems. For instance, anthocyanin is associated with gradual and delayed chlorophyll accumulation during leaf expansion of many rainforest plants (Close and Beadle 2003). Nutrient deficiency, which affects photosynthetic productivity, is also
associated with anthocyanin production and therefore could be a useful diagnostic tool (Atkinson 1973, Bhandal and Malik 1988, Hodges and Nozzolillo 1996, Kumar and Sharma 1999, Trull et al. 1997). Anthocyanin develops in many crop plants; for instance in cotton, increasing anthocyanin concentrations are correlated with crop maturity and could be used as a management tool (Phillips 2006).

As sugar maple trees senesce throughout the fall, anthocyanin develops. This color change attracts millions of visitors every year (Development 2007). Anthocyanin development comes to a height, called peak color, then leaves continue to degrade and eventually fall off. Detection of this color wave could be useful to the tourism industry as well as increase our understanding on why this phenomenon occurs.

The most accurate way to measure pigment concentrations is through destructive analysis. Research has explored the development of non-destructive assessment methods through the use of remote sensing. Absorption and reflectance features have been characterized for anthocyanin and hyperspectral indices have been created to eliminate influence of other pigments (Merzylak et al. 1999, Gitelson and Merzylak 2004). These hyperspectral indices used for anthocyanin quantification typically use a two or three band ratio. A band, $\lambda_{\text{green}}$, accounts for anthocyanin absorbance and chlorophyll reflectance, while the band $\lambda_{\text{red edge}}$ estimates chlorophyll’s reflectance in the $\lambda_{\text{green}}$ region. By subtracting the $\lambda_{\text{red edge}}$ from $\lambda_{\text{green}}$ the result is an anthocyanin signature; some indices also include a band in the near infrared to account for leaf structure (Merzylak et al. 1999, Gitelson et al. 2001a, Sims and Gamon 2002, Gitelson et al. 2006).
Extrapolation of ground-based anthocyanin indices to satellite systems has been very limited. One example was conducted in the Amazon with a hyperspectral sensor, Hyperion, where an anthocyanin index was thought to be indicative of drought stress (Asner et al. 2004). To date, no one has developed a form of anthocyanin detection using more widely available multispectral satellites such as MERIS, MODIS and Landsat TM/ETM+. Extrapolation of hyperspectral indices to multispectral satellites was simulated and a correlation was found between these simulated satellite responses and anthocyanin concentration (Chapter 3).

This paper aims to apply anthocyanin indices to data acquired by Hyperion, MERIS, and MODIS sensor systems to see if a fall seasonal signal can be detected. This is a theoretical study to see which satellites should be considered for future study. No ground truthing occurred for any satellite and minimal satellite processing was employed since this part of the study was for developing a “proof-of-concept” example.

**Methods**

**Equations:** The different equations used are based on the fact that reflectance at \( \lambda_{\text{green}} \) is a combination of chlorophyll reflectance and anthocyanin absorption, whereas reflectance at \( \lambda_{\text{red edge}} \) is only affected by chlorophyll concentration and has been found to be correlated to the reflectance at \( \lambda_{\text{green}} \) due to chlorophyll; by subtracting \( \lambda_{\text{red edge}} \) from \( \lambda_{\text{green}} \) the result is an anthocyanin signature (Equation 1). Another term which can be introduced is a near infrared component which considers the difference in leaf structure (Equation 2).
Equation 1. \( h_{\text{ARI}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{green}}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{red edge}}} \) (Gitelson et al. 2001a)

Equation 2. \( h_{\text{ARI}_{\text{np}}} = \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{green}}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{red edge}}} \right) \times \lambda_{\text{near infrared}} \) (Gitelson et al. 2001a)

Where \( \lambda_{\text{green}} \) is 530-570nm and \( \lambda_{\text{red edge}} \) is 690-710nm and “h” denotes that it is a hyperspectral index (Gitelson et al. 2006, Merzlyak et al. 2003).

Another anthocyanin index was developed by Sims and Gamon (2002) by taking a ratio of the red and green wavelengths (Equation 3). This hyperspectral index has been found to work in a single species study of coastal live oak, but was not found to be correlated to anthocyanin concentrations in a study with mixed species. This relationship will also be tested in this study. Instead of using the summation of several bands for each component as done for hyperspectral sensors, only one red band and one green band were used for the ratio of each satellite, including Hyperion. This was done for consistency in order to compare satellites with different spectral resolutions.

\[
\sum_{i=600}^{699} R_i
\]

Equation 3. Red:Green = \( \frac{\sum_{i=500}^{599} R_i}{\sum_{i=500}^{699} R_i} \) (Sims and Gamon 2002).

**Bands:** Satellite bands which were the closest match to the bands used in the hARI were used to create the satellite anthocyanin indices (Equation 1). Figure 4-1 shows the placement of all satellite bands used for these indices. Table 4-1 shows which satellite bands were used to calculate each satellite ARI.
Table 4-1. Satellite bands used to create each satellite anthocyanin index.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satellite</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{green}}$</th>
<th>Band (nm)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{red edge}}$</th>
<th>Band (nm)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{near infrared}}$</th>
<th>Band (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyperion</td>
<td>Band 20</td>
<td>548.9 ±11</td>
<td>Band 35</td>
<td>701.5 ±10.5</td>
<td>Band 40</td>
<td>752.4 ±10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS</td>
<td>Band 4</td>
<td>555 ±10</td>
<td>Band 1</td>
<td>645 ±25</td>
<td>Band 2</td>
<td>876 ±35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS</td>
<td>Band 5</td>
<td>560 ±5</td>
<td>Band 9</td>
<td>705 ±5</td>
<td>Band 10</td>
<td>753.75 ±3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landsat TM/ETM+</td>
<td>Band 2</td>
<td>560 ±40</td>
<td>Band 3</td>
<td>660 ±30</td>
<td>Band 4</td>
<td>830 ±70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4-1. Satellite band locations in reference to a typical leaf reflectance curve. See Table 2 for band information.

Table 4-2. Satellite band colors and numbers for Figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satellite</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Bands from left to right</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landsat TM/ETM+</td>
<td>yellow</td>
<td>2, 3, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>4, 1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperion</td>
<td>blue</td>
<td>20, 35, 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS</td>
<td>green</td>
<td>5, 8, 9, 10, 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Satellites:

Hyperion: Hyperion is a hyperspectral sensor which has small spectral bands approximately 10nm wide. It has a spatial resolution of 30m². Two Hyperion images—September 17, 2008 and October 10, 2008—were obtained for analysis (EO-1 Hyperion satellite images provided by NASA-National Aeronautics and Space Agency). The level one product was converted to surface reflectance using ACORN v.5 (Imspec LLC). No geo-rectification was made and target areas were matched between the two scenes by eye using prominent geographic features. As a hyperspectral sensor, the best bands which match the ARI are band 20 (543-554nm), 35 (696-707nm), and 40 (747-758nm).

MERIS: MERIS is a MEedium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer but its spectral bands are still narrow, ranging between 2.5nm to 10nm. For this study, the reduced resolution product was used which has a spatial resolution of approximately 1200m². Repeat coverage occurs every 3 days. MERIS imagery from 9/19, 10/11, 10/17, 10/20, and 10/23/2008 were obtained (Envisat MERIS satellite images provided by ESA-European Space Agency). The preprocessed surface reflectance product was used. No geo-rectification occurred and target areas between scenes were matched by eye using prominent geographic features. To best match the hyperspectral ARI bands 5 (550-570nm), 9 (700-710nm), and 10 (750-758nm) are used. Band 8 (677.5-685nm) was also used as an approximation of λred edge.

MODIS: MODIS is a MOderate Resolution Imaging Spectrometer with spectral bands that range from 20nm to 50nm wide. Its spatial resolution is approximately 1km². Repeat coverage occurs every 1-2 day, but data is typically complied into an 8-day composite. Surface reflectance products for MODIS were downloaded from Oak Ridge
National Lab (ORNL) for 9/13, 9/21, 9/29, 10/7, 10/15, and 10/23/2008 (ORNL 2009). These images were already geo-corrected and latitude/longitude coordinates were used to match locations between scenes. After investigation of the data, 9/29/2008, was flagged as suspicious and excluded from analysis. For emulating the hyperspectral anthocyanin index, bands 1 (620-670nm), 2 (841-876nm), and 4 (545-565nm) we used.

**Seasonal analysis:** Fall foliage changes in the higher elevations and higher latitudes first, and then progresses south and to lower elevations (Vermont Vacation 2009). Over the course of the fall anthocyanin development increases, reaches a peak concentration (hereafter referred to as peak color), and then declines (Figure 4-2). The seasonal progression of anthocyanin development, peak, and decline should be evident in the plot of satellite ARI’s over time.

Figure 4-2. An example of anthocyanin development throughout the fall. Data obtained from field samples taken in Durham, NH.
Locations: Two locations were targeted for analysis (Figure 4-3). The Mount Washington valley was targeted as the northern location which experiences color change starting in late September to early October. Loudon, New Hampshire was targeted as a southern location which experiences color change in mid-October. Loudon was the closest location seen by all satellites to the field site used for determining anthocyanin indices (see Chapter 2 and 3).

Figure 4-3. Location of sites targeted for imagery analysis, the Mt. Washington valley and Loudon, as well as the field site location (Durham, NH).
Results and Discussion

Hyperion:

Hyperion was used as a gauge to determine if anthocyanin detection by satellites is possible since its bands most closely match that of the hyperspectral anthocyanin indices. Two images, one early foliage season and another mid-season were compared. A visual difference in the amount of red can be seen with the eye (Figure 4-4, panels A and C). ARI images were made for both dates (Figure 4-4, panels B and D) where black indicates less anthocyanin and white indicates more anthocyanin. Both ARI images have the same data scaling so the overall lightening between the two images represents an overall increase in anthocyanin between these two dates. There are a few geographic features in these images which are inconsistent in the amount of anthocyanin detected; this may be due to the effect of shadowing or due to the elevation profile. Overall, areas that look more red in the 10/10 image than the 9/17 image are a lighter color in the 10/10 ARI image than the 9/17 ARI image.

Another anthocyanin index, the red:green ratio (Equation 3), is shown in panel E for 10/10/2008 (Figure 4-4). The red:green image is very similar to the ARI image for this date, but may not be as sensitive to small changes as the image shows less value differences than the ARI image. Although a sensitivity analysis can not be performed, Hyperion seems capable of detecting changes in anthocyanin concentrations.
Figure 4-4. These are true color Hyperion images (bands 30, 20, 10) from the same geographic location for 9/17/08 (A) and 10/10/09 (C). B is the ARI for 9/17/08 and D is the ARI for 10/10/09. E is a red:green ratio for 10/10/09. Darker color indicates little to no anthocyanin, while lighter colors correspond to anthocyanin development.

MODIS:

While exclusion of the 9/19 date due to quality issues leaves a gap, neither location should experience a peak in color by this date. If this date was available it would have allowed for better consideration of the rate of anthocyanin development. As it is shown, the timing of anthocyanin development at the Loudon site seems early, but no conclusions can be drawn from this due to the lack of data from 9/19. Using Equation 2, a MODIS composite was constructed over the course of the fall (Figure 4-5). Initial development is evident in both locations. It is also evident that the northern location, Mount Washington, starts accumulating anthocyanin sooner than Loudon. The signal for Loudon seems to follow the correct seasonal trend. Due to its southern location, Loudon should experience anthocyanin decay although this may be more evident after another week. The Mount Washington signal does not have a clear peak. One would expect
more decay on the 10/23 date versus an increase, but overall the seasonal signal is present. Leaving out the $\lambda_{\text{near infrared}}$ component (Equation 1) did not improve the seasonal signal and showed similar results to the MODIS ARI$_{\text{mir}}$.

Using the red:green ratio to represent anthocyanin concentrations results in a more realistic seasonal signal for Mount Washington. This representation also preserved the correct relationship between the north and southern sites, with initial development and an earlier peak of anthocyanin occurring in the north. While the Loudon signal shows continuing anthocyanin development instead of a peak, as one would expect, the rate of development has slowed.

Figure 4-5. MODIS ARI$_{\text{mir}}$ plotted over time for both Mount Washington and Loudon locations.
MERIS:
The MERIS ARI\textsubscript{mir} which most closely matches the wavelengths specified in the hyperspectral ARI does not perform well (Figure 4-7). It shows a continuous decline throughout the fall. The lack of detection using Band 9 is surprising as MERIS has the closest match of $\lambda_{\text{red edge}}$ to the hARI out of all the multispectral satellites. It may be that band 9 is too far up the red edge where reflectances are higher, therefore subtracting less due to the use of reciprocals in the ARI equation (Equation 1). If this is true, $\lambda_{\text{red edge}}$ would be small, leaving the MERIS’s satellite ARI using band 9 based mainly on $1/\lambda_{\text{green}}$.
It may be that using band 9 results in a signal more dominated by chlorophyll than anthocyanin.

Using band 8 (677.5-685nm) results in a better representation of the seasonal foliage signal (Figure x). This ARI index shows initial development and peak color earlier in the north than the south, which follows general foliage trends. Band 8 would therefore be more useful in anthocyanin estimation than band 9 when using Equations 1 and 2.

Using the red:green ratio for MERIS (bands 5 and 6) shows a similar signal as the MERIS ARI\textsubscript{inr} using band 8. The initial changes in the north are seen before the south, which follows the known progression of fall foliage. The red:green ratio shows a more exaggerated change after the peak. Without ground validation it is not possible to know which seasonal signal is more accurate.

Overall either MERIS index, ARI\textsubscript{inr} based on band 8 or the red:green ratio can detect changes in anthocyanin concentrations.
Figure 4-7. MERIS ARI_{nir} plotted over time for both the Mount Washington and Loudon locations. Panel A shows the MERIS ARI_{nir} based on Band 9 for $\lambda_{red\,edge}$ whereas panel B shows the MERIS ARI_{nir} based on Band 8 for $\lambda_{red\,edge}$.
Landsat TM/ETM+:

While simulated Landsat TM/ETM+ based ARI’s performed well in predicting anthocyanin concentrations (Chapter 3), its lengthy 16-day repeat coverage makes it difficult to use. Anthocyanin concentrations change quickly and getting imagery every two weeks, possibly with cloud cover, is not a fine enough temporal resolution for this application.
Conclusions

Hyperion, MERIS, and MODIS all show some capacity to detect anthocyanin changes over the course of the fall. Overall, using red:green ratios for any satellite seems to produce a reliable seasonal signal. Using anthocyanin indices based on Equations 1 and 2 also produce reasonable seasonal signals (when using Band 8 for MERIS). Further investigation is needed to compare these signals to ground data in order to determine which most closely represent anthocyanin concentrations and to determine the sensitivities of these indices.

Developing these satellite indices would be helpful in order to understand the phenomenon of fall foliage. Currently most quantification of color change, both timing and intensity, is subjective and based on personal experiences. Objective quantification of anthocyanin through satellites would allow scientists to use archival data and assess changes in the character of fall foliage over the past few decades. While Landsat was not useful in the present study, developing an anthocyanin index to be used in its long term archives could apply to other research. Beyond fall foliage, anthocyanin development may be useful for characterizing stress in different ecosystems or be applied to climate change studies, particularly in phenology.


