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According to the 2009 Report Card for America’s Infrastructure, one in four of the nation’s bridges are listed as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete, establishing a dire need for new and innovative repair and replacement techniques to improve the efficiency and state of the nation’s bridges.

Full-depth pre-cast bridge deck panels have proven to be a rapid, efficient and cost effective solution to cast-in-place bridge deck replacement techniques. However, the panel-to-panel connection for these segmental deck replacement systems requires further development and testing to improve their structural redundancy, and to streamline their installation procedure.

Multiple transverse joint configurations have been developed, fabricated, and tested in order to evaluate their shear transfer capabilities and ease of use. It was determined that a round corrugated transverse joint configuration provided the greatest shear transfer capacity and an efficient installation procedure.
CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1– Social Need

President Dwight D. Eisenhower signed the Federal Highway Act into law in June of 1956. This law apportioned $25 billion to construct 41,000 interstate highway miles over a 20 year period (FHWA, 2008). Among the 41,000 miles constructed, thousands of bridges were needed to complete the interstate highway system. Now, more than fifty years later, the United States bridge inventory exceeds 600,000 (ASCE, 2009). Of the 600,905 bridges listed, more than 26% are classified as structurally deficient (72,868 bridges, 12.1%) or functionally obsolete (89,024 bridges, 14.8%) (ASCE, 2009). The term “structurally deficient” applies to bridges with significant load-carrying elements that are found to be in poor condition (AASHTO, 2008). However, if a bridge is classified as structurally deficient, it does not necessarily mean that it is unsafe for use by motorists. A structurally deficient bridge will typically have load limitations and possibly lane closures imposed upon it to ensure that no further damage is done to the bridge and that motorist safety is maintained. The term “functionally obsolete” refers to bridges that were built to standards that are no
longer used today (AASHTO, 2008). A functionally obsolete bridge typically does not have adequate lane and shoulder widths or sufficient vertical clearances to meet today’s design standards. These structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges are common chokepoints in the nation’s infrastructure.

The American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) recently published the 2009 report card critiquing the overall status of America’s infrastructure. The report gave the US infrastructure an overall grade of D, poor. In particular, the report gave the nation’s bridges a grade of C, mediocre (ASCE, 2009). The report card states that funds exceeding $140 billion are required to repair every deficient bridge in the United States (ASCE, 2009). The New Hampshire Section of the American Society of Civil Engineers (NHASCE) released a similar report in 2006 for the state of New Hampshire’s infrastructure. Overall, the state of New Hampshire received a whole letter grade higher than the national average for the general state of its infrastructure, a C. New Hampshire’s bridges earned a grade of C+, also fairing slightly better than the national score. According to the report, of the 3734 total bridges in the state, 508, or 13.6%, have been placed on the State’s Red List (NHASCE, 2006). The State’s Red List tracks bridges that due to known deficiencies, load restrictions or bridge type, require inspection more frequently than the typical 2-year inspection cycle (NHASCE, 2006). Though a bridge may be placed on the Red List, it is still structurally safe for use.

Typically designed to last 50 years, the average age of bridges in the United States is 43 years old (AASHTO, 2008). Bridging the Gap, a report published by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) in July of
2008 in response to the I-35W bridge collapse, listed the top five problems facing the nation’s bridges as age and deterioration, congestion, soaring construction costs, maintaining bridge safety and the need for new bridges. Conversely, it listed the top five solutions to these problems as investment, research and innovation, systemic maintenance, public awareness and financial options (AASHTO, 2008). Figure 1 below describes the age of the U.S. bridge inventory by the percentage of bridges and the year they were built.

Figure 1: Age of U.S. bridge inventory (AASHTO 2008)

With the need for repairs on one in four bridges in the US, the increased presence of construction crews on these bridges has become obvious to motorists. These construction crews have resulted in increased traffic delays and congestion, adding to the functional obsolescence of America’s bridges. With increased exposure of construction crews to traffic, the safety of construction workers has become paramount. In order to increase worker safety and reduce the obsolescence from
bridge repairs, the need for new, innovative and rapid construction repair and replacement techniques has become increasingly obvious and necessary.

The state of the nation's bridges is declining concurrently with the funding required to improve the status of these bridges increases. The policies implemented by state officials to maintain motorist safety while utilizing the nation's deteriorating bridges include costly emergency repairs, load limitations and lane closures. These practices adversely affect the personal and economic health of our nation as emergency and commercial vehicles are re-routed around deficient bridges, resulting in increased response times and a decrease in transportation efficiency. Fifty years ago, the nation faced an historic period of bridge construction. Today it faces an historic period of bridge repair and construction (AASHTO, 2008).

1.2 - New and Innovative Construction and Repair Techniques

The need for new and innovative construction and repair techniques is ever present as the state of the nation's bridges continues to deteriorate. In 2003, the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) conducted an investigation into the use of new and innovative bridge construction and repair techniques to reduce traffic disruptions during construction. The study looked at the design effort, on-site construction time and cost, minimum closure time and minimal environmental impacts as well as identified the most suitable prefabricated systems for bridge construction, rehabilitation and replacement (NCHRP, 2003). The study determined that the use of prefabricated bridge elements whenever possible is the most suitable
solution. The use of these prefabricated bridge elements has been utilized in the United States since the 1960's.

The use of prefabricated elements in the superstructure of a bridge is common for rehabilitation projects. The use of prefabricated substructure and superstructure elements has become more popular as well in many new construction bridge projects. Examples of prefabricated superstructure elements include concrete decking, fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) decking and various pre-stressed girder configurations. The use of total prefabricated superstructure systems can be utilized when on site space and environmental constraints make it difficult for contractors to carry out on site work in a timely manner. In one example of a total superstructure system replacement technique, the entire span is constructed off site. Once the new span is completed, the existing structure in need of replacement is then cut away and removed and the newly constructed span is then moved into place. Other superstructure system replacement techniques deploy the use of individual bridge elements transported to the site and segmentally installed until the entire superstructure is completed.

Prefabricated substructure elements have also changed the foundation construction process for newly constructed bridges. Pre-cast concrete piles, footings, abutments, wing walls, bent caps and columns have dramatically decreased the required on-site construction time. The use of prefabricated bridge elements have combined high-performance construction materials with a reduction of onsite
construction time resulting in a durable, quality finished product in a safer, more rapid construction technique (NCHRP, 2003).

1.3 - Case Studies

As suggested in the *2006 Report Card for New Hampshire’s Infrastructure*, the pursuit of innovative bridge designs and materials that are less susceptible to deterioration due to environmental conditions and the use of deicing chemicals is strongly encouraged for implementation in New Hampshire (NHASCE, 2006). Therefore, the use of high-performance construction materials is seemingly justified even with increased costs. The performance of these materials is maintained throughout their life cycle due to the decreased susceptibility to deterioration, resulting in an increased overall performance over the lifetime of the structure.

The following section features bridges that have recently been renovated using new and innovative construction techniques and materials.
1.3.1 - Mill St. Bridge, Epping, NH

Figure 2: Mill Street Bridge, Epping, NH (PCI, 2005)

The Mill Street Bridge, located in Epping, NH, spans the Lamprey River. The previous structure spanning the river was comprised of two 30 foot simple spans separated by a 60 foot long center pier/causeway (PCI, 2005). The new bridge, pictured above in Figure 2, is a 115 foot long, 3 foot deep, pre-cast, pre-stressed box beam superstructure placed on a prefabricated substructure (PCI, 2005).

The bridge utilized a fully pre-cast substructure system to accelerate the construction timeline of the project. The substructure system featured full-height cantilevered abutments on spread footings. A grout bed was installed prior to placing the pre-cast footings to provide a sound, unified bearing surface that acted as a “glue” between the bearing materials and the roughened bottom surface of the pre-cast footing (PCI, 2005). Once the grout bed was installed, the pre-cast footings could then be installed. The footings were divided into individual elements to help facilitate shipping and handling. Leveling screws were utilized in the footings to allow for fine adjustments in setting the footings to final grade. Individual pre-cast abutment elements were then connected by grouting splice sleeves. The abutment stems and
wing walls were then set into place and grouted together. The cast-in-place (CIP) version of this abutment requires six separate concrete placements and approximately one month to construct. The pre-cast alternative described can be completed in less than two days and is seen below in Figure 3. (PCI, 2005).

Figure 3: Mill Street Bridge substructure (PCI, 2005)

The use of prefabricated elements in superstructures has become more common than their use in bridge substructures. The superstructure for the Mill Street Bridge was comprised of seven, 3 foot x 4 foot, pre-stressed box beam girders. The girders utilized 8,000 psi high performance concrete (HPC) and 0.60 inch diameter, high-strength pre-stressing strand to achieve an HS 25 loading on the 115 foot span. Full depth shear keys and two rows of ½ inch diameter strand were used to transversely post-tension the superstructure at six locations along the span (PCI, 2005). All seven box beam sections were placed on the bridge abutments and post-tensioned together within 48 hours of setting the first box beam section. It took only a
total of eight days from the time the substructure foundation construction began until the wearing course was placed on the new bridge deck and the bridge was open for traffic. A cross-section of the superstructure is depicted in Figure 4 below.

![Figure 4: Mill Street Bridge superstructure (PCI, 2005)](image)

The Mill Street Bridge project was the first totally prefabricated bridge system undertaken by the NHDOT. The NHDOT found that the use of accelerated construction techniques, in conjunction with HPC, is a viable option for reducing construction related traffic delays, improving work zone safety, and extending the long-term durability of bridges (PCI, 2005). However, it was also noted that the use of accelerated construction techniques tends to increase the initial cost and that the use of grouted joints between pre-cast elements should not be a weak link (PCI, 2005). Deterioration of these joints can expose the structural components to the elements and reduce the lifespan of the entire system. Therefore, attention must be focused on these details during construction (PCI, 2005).
1.3.2 – Rollins Road Bridge, Rollinsford, NH

Figure 5: New Rollins Road Bridge, opened in 2000

The Rollins Road Bridge, located in Rollinsford, NH, carries Rollins Road over Main Street and an active B&M Railroad line (NHDOT Burea of Bridge Design, 1999). The original bridge was constructed in the 1930’s, and carried two lanes of traffic and was constructed from steel stringers and a cast-in-place concrete deck. Four simple spans in series provided a total span of 172 feet. After approximately 70 years of service, the old bridge had suffered severe corrosion of the steel reinforcement in the concrete deck and steel girders and was in need of immediate repair or replacement (Bowman, Yost, Steffen, & Goodspeed, 2003).

Table 1: Excerpt of the 2000 Rollins Road Bridge Inspection Report (NHDOT Bureau of Bridge Design, 2007)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>26 October 2000 Bridge Inspection Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deck</td>
<td>3 Serious</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superstructure</td>
<td>4 Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substructure</td>
<td>6 Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The NHDOT constructed a new bridge to replace the old span in 2000. It was designed and constructed with funding from the Innovative Bridge Research and Construction (IBRC) program administered by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). For a bridge to qualify for IBRC funds it must be constructed with innovative, high performance materials. The new bridge is a simply supported single span of 110 feet with pre-cast concrete beams and cast-in-place (CIP) concrete deck superstructure. The new and innovative materials utilized in the new bridge design were carbon reinforced polymers (CFRP) in the deck and high performance concrete in the girders.

The decking on the Rollins Road Bridge is an 8 inch thick CIP concrete deck. Three CIP diaphragms were cast in the deck, one at each end and at the midspan. The geographic location of the bridge and the high volume of de-icing agents used during winter highway maintenance operations were deemed significant factors in the degradation of the previous bridge deck. The use of CFRP as the major reinforcement in the bridge decking, as opposed to steel rebar that is typically utilized, eliminated the possibility of natural steel corrosion. The CFRP used in the decking is commercially known as NEFMAC. The NEFMAC has a tensile strength, \( f_{tu} \) of 190 ksi and an elastic modulus, \( E_t \) of 10,400 ksi (Schmeckpeper, 1992). Some advantages of the NEFMAC grid are a high tensile strength, reduced unit weight, non-corrosiveness in a salt environment, ease of installation and a highly acclaimed life cycle performance. The higher initial cost and lack of contractor familiarity were major concerns for the
NHDOT, but the long term performance of the material has offset any of its uncertainties (Sipple, 2008).

The concrete girders of the bridge supporting the CFRP reinforced concrete deck are New England bulb tees. The bulb tees are pre-stressed, pre-cast, steel reinforced girders cast using high performance concrete. The use of these pre-cast girders led to an increase in product quality since the girders were pre-fabricated in a controlled environment, as well as a decrease in the required construction time for the superstructure of the bridge.

After nearly a decade of service, the bridge is still considered to be in excellent condition. Table 2 below summarizes the bridge inspection report released by the NHDOT in July of 2007.

Table 2: Excerpt from the 2007 Rollins Road Bridge Inspection Report (NHDOT Bureau of Bridge Design, 2007)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>09 July 2007 Bridge Inspection Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superstructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substructure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Haverhill-Newbury Bridge, constructed in 1970, carries Newbury Road from Haverhill, New Hampshire over the Connecticut River and into Newbury, Vermont. The bridge is comprised of three spans totaling 493 feet in length, with a maximum span length of 194 feet. It is comprised of an 8 inch cast-in-place concrete deck, a bituminous wearing surface, and steel stringers. The latest inspection report released by the NHDOT in March of 2008 places the bridge on the State Redlist. According to the inspection report, 85% of the bridge deck contained chloride levels in the “active” range and required partial-depth repair (NHDOT Bureau of Bridge Design, 2008). The “active” range is defined in the bridge inspection report as having a chloride content of 2.0 lbs/yd$^3$ or greater. A life cycle analysis was conducted and after much debate a full-depth deck replacement was deemed most cost effective. The deck was scheduled to be replaced during the summer of 2008.
With a 30 mile detour length a total closure was not a viable option for the deck replacement work. The NHDOT decided that a sequential replacement technique would be required to accommodate vehicular traffic on the bridge while construction was ongoing.

Half of the bridge was closed to traffic and portions of the old cast-in-place deck were removed and replaced with full depth pre-cast concrete bridge deck panels. The pre-cast deck panels utilized a female-female panel connection. When the closed lane replacement deck panels were all in place, their keyways were grouted and the panels were longitudinally post-tensioned together with steel cables.

Traffic was re-routed over the newly installed deck panels and work shifted to the removal of the remaining portion of the old deck. Pre-cast deck panels were again installed in place of the old deck, their keyways grouted and then all the panels were longitudinally post-tensioned together with steel cables, as before. The sequential deck replacement technique utilized by the NHDOT was successful in maintaining vehicular traffic open to the bridge while safely carrying out the deck replacement work.

The post-tensioning contractors experienced difficulty in pushing multiple steel cables hundreds of feet through the post-tensioning ducts cast within the deck panels.
Panel-to-panel post-tensioning duct misalignment was a major contributor to the difficulty in carrying out the post-tensioning operation in a timely manner.

1.3.4 - Woodrow Wilson Bridge, Washington D.C.

The original Woodrow Wilson Bridge (WWB) was constructed between 1958 and 1961 and was the first link between Washington D.C. and the suburbs of Virginia and Maryland on the Capital Beltway. It was designed to carry 75,000 vehicles per day, and after only 8 years this design volume was exceeded. Today, the bridge sees approximately 200,000 vehicles each day and was listed as the fifteenth worst bottleneck in the country (American Highway Users Alliance, 2004). Adding to the congestion, the drawbridge portion of the WWB is raised 260 times a year for vessels traveling the Potomac River (Woodrow Wilson Bridge Project, 2001).

To help alleviate the traffic congestion at this major bottleneck, a new bridge design was proposed which consisted of twin 6-lane bridges, high enough to reduce the number of draw span openings to 60 times a year. The twin 6 lane bridges provide more lanes for commuters, as well as optional lanes for busses, trains, high occupancy vehicles, or express toll lanes.
The bridge utilized prefabricated, pre-stressed concrete box girder segments. To aid in the placement and alignment of the large pre-cast segments, a segmental bridge adhesive (SBA) was utilized. This SBA, known as Sikadur® 31, was provided by the Sika Corporation and was applied to the abutting faces of the pre-cast segments prior to post-tensioning them together. The SBA was selected to "butter" the segments, allowing for easier alignment, waterproofing of the joints to protect the steel tendons, and to transfer the compressive and shear forces across the joint (Sika Corporation, 2006).

1.4 - Previous Research at UNH

During the summer of 2006, the University of New Hampshire (UNH) conducted a feasibility study to evaluate the performance of a full depth (8.50 inch) bridge deck replacement system. The study evaluated the system component performances individually as well as their contribution to the replacement system as a whole.

Figure 8: Typical full depth bridge deck panel
The bridge deck replacement system utilized pre-cast, pre-stressed, post-tensioned deck panels with a tongue and groove transverse joint configuration as shown in Figure 8. The panels were 8.50 inches deep, 96 inches long with widths varying from 39 inches for a tongue panel and 36-¼ inches for a groove panel. The panels were pre-stressed in the transverse direction to traffic. Pre-stressing of the panels was achieved through the use of 5/8\textsuperscript{th} inch diameter post tensioning bars in 2 layers of 3 bars each (6 bars/panel total). Leveling screws were cast into the panels to aid in placement and leveling.

The system utilized a thixotropic epoxy sealant within the transverse joint to facilitate a more rapid construction sequence than that of the typical shear key utilized in current bridge deck replacement systems. The thixotropic epoxy was evaluated for its ability to be placed to the required thickness without sagging or sloughing off of the vertical surfaces of the transverse joint.

The deck panels were post-tensioned together with 1 inch diameter threaded steel bars parallel to the direction of traffic. The post tensioning system utilized was Dywidag System International’s (DSI) THREADBAR\textsuperscript{®} system. The system was evaluated for its ability to work in concert with the other deck replacement system components as well as its ability to achieve the required compressive forces within the decking.

A closed cellular adhesive backed foam was utilized to fabricate the grout dam to form the haunch. The closed cellular foam’s ability to adhere to the steel girders of a bridge, its rapid manner of installation, and its ability to survive the haunch casting was evaluated.
The replacement system components were then installed on two steel girders, and their performance was noted. With the deck replacement system now fully constructed, a simple bending test was performed to evaluate the overall system performance when subjected to service loads. A static load was applied to the midspan of one deck panel approximately 6 inches away from the transverse joint. As static loading was carried out, the deflections of the individual panels were recorded using analog dial gauges placed at pre-determined locations along the transverse joint of the panels (Briggs, 2007). The panels were cyclically loaded three times with the deflection readings recorded at the end of each cycle. Following the third cycle, the panels were then loaded to the ultimate capacity of the system, defined as the ultimate loading capacity of the loading apparatus or significant failure occurs in the panels (Salzer, 2008).

After testing of the first set of tongue and groove panels was completed, the second set of panels were rotated 180° and tested as a butt joint for the transverse joint configuration. The same installation procedures were followed for the second test, and the panels were then subjected to the cyclic and ultimate loadings that the first set of panels were subjected to.

The feasibility study determined that the THREADBAR® post-tensioning system was able to achieve the required compressive forces, and the system components provide by DSI were easily installed (Salzer, 2008). However, cracking and spalling was observed during the post-tensioning operation. This was a result of misalignment and insufficient tolerance in the tongue and groove joint. The cracking was a result of
excessive stress in the top and bottom (butt areas) of the tongue and groove joint, inducing tension in the groove panel, cracking the concrete (Salzer, 2008). The spalling indicated a lack of sufficient tolerances in the fabrication and alignment of the panels. The incorrect fabrication and alignment tolerances were compounded during post-tensioning, creating a location of extreme stress, resulting in the observed spalling (Salzer, 2008).

The thyxotropic epoxy utilized in the transverse joint was applied successfully and performed well. The epoxy was a special mix for this application, with a high compressive strength, low modulus and six hour pot life. Placement proved to be quicker, easier, and more successful with a gloved hand as opposed to trowels and hand tools (Salzer, 2008).

The feasibility study determined that the differential deflection between adjacent panels for the tongue and groove transverse joint configuration was much less than that of the butt joint configuration. This was a result of the concrete-on-concrete shear transfer mechanism in the tongue and groove and the low modulus of the epoxy in the butt joint (Salzer, 2008). However, the tongue and groove joint was very difficult to fabricate, form, and construct due to the tight tolerances required (Salzer, 2008).

1.5 - Research Goals and Activities

This research project stems from the recommendations determined in the feasibility study done previously at UNH. As stated above, the bridge deck
replacement system was found to be a viable solution to the need for new and innovative construction techniques. However, before the system is utilized in actual replacement projects, study and development of particular components of the overall system needs further evaluation.

This research focuses on the development and testing of the transverse joint for the bridge deck replacement system. It was shown during the feasibility testing that a transverse joint configuration that provides an increased shear transfer mechanism through concrete-on-concrete bearing provides less differential displacement between adjoining panels than a configuration that is lacking this shear transfer mechanism (Salzer, 2008). However, the transverse joint configuration must also provide the appropriate design and construction tolerances to prevent excessive stresses resulting in damage to the deck panels during the post tensioning operation as was observed in the tongue and groove test panels. The joint configuration must also consider the time and effort required to fabricate and install the panels.

This research develops and suggests four varying transverse joint configurations to be fabricated and tested. The fabrication efforts were critiqued for each configuration. Test specimens for each configuration were cast and assembled according to the procedures laid out in Chapter 4. The materials utilized within the transverse joint of each configuration will be revisited and critiqued according to their ease of installation and overall performance. The post-tensioning operation was also reevaluated to develop post-tensioning protocols that should be followed to ensure
that the required compressive forces can be achieved within the panels and will not damage the panels during assembly.

A direct shear test was developed to induce shear forces through the transverse joint of the assembled test specimens. Each test specimen was subjected to static load tests and its performance was quantified based upon ultimate capacity and failure modes.

Upon completion of the testing, the joint configuration that demonstrated the best overall performance, based upon shear testing results as well as ease of fabrication and installation, was suggested for use in further testing, fatigue loadings, of the bridge deck replacement systems.
RAPID BRIDGE DECK REPLACEMENT TECHNIQUE

UNH has been developing rapid construction techniques and procedures over the past decade. The rapid construction technique developments rely heavily on the use of pre-cast concrete structural elements, greatly improving the quality of the finished product and significantly reducing the time required to replace a bridge deck utilizing cast-in-place methods.

2.1 - Replacement Technique

The following section describes the deck replacement procedure used for the removal of an existing bridge deck. Figure 9 depicts the model bridge that will be used in the description of the replacement procedure. For simplicity, the model bridge is comprised of three steel stringers, a mildly reinforced cast-in-place deck, and an asphalt wearing surface. The proceeding figures depict the replacement deck panels with a tongue and groove transverse joint configuration.
2.1.1 - Remove Existing Deck

The existing deck must be removed prior to the placement of any new deck panels. The deck may be removed by jack hammering away sections of the deck above the girders and burning off the existing shear studs welded to the girders. An alternative method includes cutting longitudinally along the top sides of the flange of the steel stringers with a band saw freeing the shear studs connecting the stringers to the deck. At selected transverse locations, cross cutting the deck allows for large sections of the decking to be removed at one time with the aid of a crane. The selected transverse location varies from bridge to bridge, with girder spacing and span lengths both affecting the composite action between the bridge deck and stringers. Great care must be taken in determining the capacity of the bridge with large sections of decking removed and the presence of construction loads not typically experienced by the non-composite superstructure.

Ideally, replacement of the decking begins at one end of the bridge and progress to the opposite end. Sections of removed decking are withdrawn to the opposite end of construction as the replacement deck panels arrive from the end of progression. This linear system of deck removal and replacement prevents either
phase of construction from impeding the progress of the other. Figure 10 shows the model bridge with a section of decking removed.

![Figure 10: Model bridge with section of deck removed](image)

2.1.2 – Place Grout Dam

Once a section of decking has been removed, the top flange of the girders should be cleared of any debris or corrosion exposed after the deck removal. The haunch is then formed with the placement of the grout dam. The haunch is a cementitious grout and fills the void space between the top flange of the steel girders and the bottom of the pre-cast replacement panels. To facilitate the rapid construction of the deck replacement system, an adhesive-backed, closed cellular foam material is used for the grout dam. The foam is cut into 1.5 inch wide strips and adhered to the perimeter of the top flange of the steel girders. Since the haunch must be placed prior to the bridge being re-opened to traffic, the grout dam should only be placed in the areas that will be covered by the newly installed panels. Figure 11 shows the model bridge with the grout dam in place.
2.1.3 - Install First Panel

Due to their location, the end deck panels vary slightly from the other panels in the replacement system. Since the end panels will only abut one other deck panel, one face of the panel will be a butt end and the other will have a corrugated face to interlock with the proceeding panel of the system. Figure 12 depicts an end panel.

Once the grout dam has been installed, placement of the deck panels can now begin. An end panel is brought in and set in place. Each panel is fabricated with leveling screws that rest on the top flange of the steel beams and are turned accordingly to level the panel. At this point in time, the panels can not support traffic loads. Figure 13 shows the model bridge with two end panels (1-A, 1-B) set and leveled in place. Panels 1-A and 1-B are cast with shear stud pockets. These pockets
provide access to the tops of the steel beams. Through these pockets, shear studs are welded to the top flanges of the steel stringers which aids in the composite action between the stringers and the bridge deck.

![Figure 13: Model bridge with end panels set and leveled](image)

2.1.4 - Insert Post-Tensioning Equipment

The post-tensioning bars and bearing plates are now ready to be installed. The THREADBAR® post-tensioning system being utilized in this model is a proprietary product of Dywidag Systems International, Inc. (DSI). THREADBAR® utilizes 150 ksi ultimate strength, hot-rolled threaded steel bars with various mechanical components. The threaded bars have deformations acting as threads that allow for nuts and couplers to be added to them to allow for multiple bars to be attached and stressed together.

With the post-tensioning bars cut to the required length and installed, the bearing plates and nuts are placed. The end panels of the deck system are cast with recesses in the faces to allow for bearing plates to be placed within them. Figure 14
shows an end view of the model bridge with the post-tensioning equipment installed in the end panels.

![End view of model bridge with installed post-tensioning equipment](image)

**Figure 14: End view of model bridge with installed post-tensioning equipment**

### 2.1.5 - Stress First Panel

For simplicity, assume that only the end panels can be installed during this first night’s work shift. The panels are now ready to be post-tensioned to induce stress in them in the longitudinal direction of the bridge. If multiple panels are able to be placed in future nights, the panels must be post-tensioned after all panels have been placed for the night.

### 2.1.6 - Install Shear Studs, Cast Haunch

The shear studs are welded to the top flanges of the bridge girders through the openings provided by the shear stud pockets. The installation procedure for the shear studs is typically left to be determined by the contractor, but is most likely installed utilizing stud guns or field welds.

With the shear studs installed, the haunch is now ready to be cast. The grout used for the haunch is placed through the shear stud pockets cast in the panels. The
grouted haunch and shear studs provide the panel-to-girder connection required to
achieve composite action by the segmental deck panels. The grout dam and deck
panels provide the formwork for the haunch. Once the haunch has cured, the panels
are now able to fully support traffic loads. The grout dams can either be removed or
left in place as desired.

2.1.7 – Grout Post-Tensioning Bars and Ducts

With the end panels now fully installed, and the haunch and shear studs
grouted, the post-tensioning bars are grouted solid to protect them from corrosion
and provide dowel-bar action within the deck to increase the overall stiffness of the
replacement system. Bar grouting can be done with a high-flow, cementitious
grouting material and pumping system. Alternative to the cementitious based grout
material, a methyl methacrylate (MMA) compound may be injected into the post-
tensioning ductwork.

Post-tensioning bar grouting is best accomplished with a vacuum, pressure
injection system. A vacuum is applied to the ductwork in order to evacuate air within
the ducts. With the air vacant from the ductwork, the grout material is then pressure
injected into the post-tensioning ductwork via the grout access ports. The vacuum,
pressure injection method insures that there are no gaps or cavities in the grouted
ductwork that would allow for bar corrosion to take place.
2.1.8 - Place Temporary Decking

While the placement of deck panels is occurring, the next section of existing decking can be removed concurrently. The use of temporary decking may be installed to span gaps between the newly installed panels and the decking being removed in order to re-open the bridge to traffic during the day. However, caution must be used and an extensive investigation must be done prior to allowing such temporary decking to be implemented. As discussed earlier, the possibility of a reduction in the bridge’s load carrying capacity may occur with the loss of composite action between the beams and decking during deck replacement. Figure 15 shows the model bridge with temporary steel decking spanning a construction gap.

![Figure 15: Temporary steel decking spanning construction gap](image)

2.1.9 - Remove Temporary Decking, Place Grout Dam

As the second night of work begins, the temporary steel decking may be removed to re-expose the construction gap between the previously placed replacement deck panels and the decking in the process of being removed. Work
should continue on the demolition side of decking and replacement will resume with the placement of the next section of grout dam. Again, the grout dam is applied to the perimeter of the top flange of the beams where new panels are placed during this work shift. Figure 16 shows the previously installed panels and the next section of newly installed grout dam.

Figure 16: Newly installed grout dam

2.1.10 – Place Second Panel

Once the grout dam has been installed, the second section of deck paneling is ready to be placed. The new sections of panels are brought in and placed approximately one foot from the previously installed panels.

2.1.11 – Install Post-Tensioning Equipment

The post-tensioning bars, couplers, and bearing plates are inserted into the second set of deck panels. The couplers splice the bar ends of the installed panels to the post-tensioning bars of the panels currently being installed. Post-tensioning bar lengths will vary dependent on the number of panels being installed. According to DSI,
bar lengths of up to 60 feet are available upon request. Whenever possible, single bar
lengths should be used when passing through multiple panels.

2.1.12 – Apply Panel Adhesive

A structural adhesive is applied to each face of the transverse joint to ensure
that it is adequately sealed and any deviations in the joint configuration are corrected.
The structural adhesive, Sikadur® 31, Segmental Bridge Adhesive (SBA), that was used
is a proprietary product developed by the Sika Corporation. The SBA is specifically
developed for pre-cast, segmental bridge construction techniques. The SBA aids in the
alignment of pre-cast elements as well as creates a durable, water-tight seal between
elements.

Application of the SBA is typically done with a gloved hand. It is applied to each
face of abutting panels so that there is an approximately 3/16 inch thick layer of
material on each face. The SBA is thixotropic in nature which aids in the application of
the material to the vertical faces of the panels. The SBA has a pot life of approximately
two hours, making it ideal to be mixed in small batches and applied to the faces of the
deck panels as they are placed on the bridge girders. Figure 17 shows a close-up of
two opposing panel faces with the SBA applied. Also visible in this figure are the post
tensioning bars and mechanical couplers used to splice bar ends together. The SBA is
depicted as yellow in the figure but has a gray color to match the pre-cast elements.
2.1.13 - Snug Panels, Cure SBA

After placing the SBA, the panels are now ready to be brought together. Bring adjoining panels together so that they are snug tight. This must be done within the pot life of the SBA. During the snug tightening operation, the SBA is allowed to flow within the transverse joint and fill any gaps or deviations within the joint that is present due to the fabrication and construction tolerances. Any excess SBA is squeezed out the top, bottom, and sides of the panels and is easily removed prior to setting. The SBA is allowed to cure prior to fully stressing the panels. Figure 18 shows a close-up of the transverse joint of adjoining snug tight panels.
2.1.14 - Stress Second Panel

Once the SBA has cured, the second panel is post-tensioned. Post-tensioning should start from the center of the deck panels and progress outward toward the panel ends to reduce the possibility for eccentricities during the post tensioning operations. For efficiency, multiple stressing jacks may be utilized during this procedure.

2.1.15 - Install Shear Studs, Cast Haunch

Once all panels have been post-tensioned, the shear studs are installed and the haunch is cast. The shear stud installation and haunch casting procedures were highlighted earlier in section 2.1.6. Figure 19 shows the model bridge with two fully installed sections of replacement deck panels.

![Figure 19: Two installed sections of decking](image)

2.1.16 - Grout Post-Tensioning Bars and Ducts

With the shear studs and haunch cast, the post-tensioning bars and ducts are ready to be grouted. Section 2.1.7 describes the details and procedures pertinent to this step.
2.1.17 - Replacement Summary

This construction sequence is followed methodically until all sections of the bridge deck have been replaced. The process is a very organized, timely, stepwise procedure that ensures a successful deck replacement. Figure 20 below depicts the model bridge with the deck replacement completed.
CHAPTER 3

TRANSVERSE JOINT CONFIGURATION AND PANEL DEVELOPMENT

3.1 – Transverse Joint Configuration Development

As a result of the feasibility study conducted previously at UNH, it was determined that a more shear redundant transverse joint configuration was necessary to increase and make more durable the shear transfer mechanism between adjacent panels. The ideal joint configuration would have the constructability of a butt joint with the increased shear transfer mechanism provided in the tongue and groove joint tested previously (Salzer, 2008). As a result, the following joint configurations described below were developed. Preliminary evaluation determined the configurations worthy of further investigation, development and testing. They have been developed to maintain ease and speed of construction to provide sufficient shear transfer between adjacent panels, over a range of fabrication costs.
3.1.1 - Butt Joint

![Diagram](image)

Figure 21: Butt joint configuration

The butt joint configuration, depicted above in Figure 21, is the simplest and easiest configuration for fabricators to manufacture and construction crews to install. It is comprised of the abutting vertical faces of adjacent pre-cast deck panels. However, the butt joint does not provide any shear transfer redundancy, concrete-on-concrete bearing, due to the lack of protrusions and undulations within the configuration.

The butt joint configuration utilizes a structural adhesive between abutting panels. The configuration does require dowel bars or post-tensioning for the shear transfer mechanism between panels. It is the least redundant of all transverse joint configurations under investigation in this research.

The butt joint configuration provides baseline performance values for shear capacity and differential displacement between panels. These values are then used in comparison with the other joint configurations to aid in the selection of feasible transverse joint configurations.
3.1.2 - Shear Key

The shear key joint configuration, depicted above in Figure 22, is the only female-female transverse joint configuration investigated in this research project. The shear key configuration is the current standard transverse joint configuration used by the NHDOT for bridge deck replacement panels. The shear key is more difficult to fabricate than the butt joint configuration. However, due to the symmetric nature of the joint configuration, only one transverse joint form is required to fabricate adjacent deck panel faces.

Due to the female-female nature of this configuration, there is an obvious lack of concrete-on-concrete bearing for the shear transfer mechanism. The shear transfer for a shear key lies within the post tensioning and the keyway filler material. The filler material is high performance grout placed in the void formed in adjacent panels. The combination of the joint configuration and grout filler material facilitates the concrete-on-concrete bearing action for shear transfer to occur between adjacent panels. The requirement of grouting the shear key is time consuming. The time to perform the extra steps required in preparing the key grout, placing the grout and waiting for the
grout to cure sufficiently is a major drawback to this configuration when it is compared to the installation procedures of the other joint configurations.

3.1.3 - Angular Corrugated

Figure 23: Angular corrugated joint configuration

The angular corrugated transverse joint configuration, depicted above in Figure 23, is a male-female joint that provides the concrete-on-concrete bearing shear transfer mechanism not present in the butt joint or shear key configurations. The angular corrugated joint configuration requires more skill, effort and precision during fabrication of the form than required for the butt joint or shear key. Fabrication tolerances are critical to ensure that the adjacent panel's angular corrugations align correctly when installed in the field.

The multiple protrusions and undulations create a joint that is two times more redundant than that of a typical tongue and groove type configuration, since there are twice as many tongues and grooves present. Along with the concrete-on-concrete bearing, the angular corrugated configuration also utilizes a structural adhesive within the joint and post tensioning to aid in the shear transfer mechanism.
3.1.4 - Round Corrugated

The round corrugated transverse joint configuration, depicted above in Figure 24, is another male-female joint type. The multiple protrusions and undulations provide an increased concrete-on-concrete bearing surface, facilitating in the shear transfer mechanism between adjacent panels. Although the round corrugated configuration provides the greatest concrete-on-concrete bearing between adjacent panels, it is by far the most difficult to fabricate and install. Fabrication tolerances must be strictly followed to ensure the correct alignment of abutting panels in the field. With the correct fabrication tolerances met, the round corrugations aid in panel alignment through a self-centering action as the panel corrugations are allowed to slip over each other and into their correct position. This self-centering feature reduces stress concentrations induced during assembly of the deck panels.

Along with concrete-on-concrete bearing, the shear transfer mechanism between panels is supplemented by a structural adhesive within the joint as well as post tensioning reinforcement.
3.2 – Provided Shear Areas

Each transverse joint configuration provides a different amount of shear area through the depth of the panel. The shear area is defined as the provided depth of panel resisting shearing forces resulting in differential panel displacement. Shearing forces result from the deck panels being subjected to moving vehicular loads. As a vehicle progresses transversely across a deck panel and crosses onto the next panel, the deflection of the loaded panel presses down on the adjacent panel, generating shearing forces through the depth of the transverse joint. These shearing forces are resisted via the joint configuration, joint filler or structural adhesive and post tensioning bars. The shear areas are reported in inches per unit length of the joint.

3.2.1 – Shear Area of a Butt Joint

Due to the lack of concrete-on-concrete bearing area for the butt joint configuration, there is no effective shear area providing shear resistance. As a vehicular load traverses from one panel to the next, the panels are able to deflect separately from each other, with only the post-tensioning and adhesive transferring the shear loading. Figure 25 below depicts the resultant panel deflection from the left hand panel exposed to such a shearing load.
3.2.2 – Shear Area of a Shear Key

Due to the lack of concrete-on-concrete bearing area for the shear key configuration, the effective shear area lies solely in the grouted keyway. Due to the symmetry of the shear key configuration, the same shear area is utilized in both directions of vehicular traffic. The shear area is highlighted by the dashed line located in the shear keyway in Figure 26 below. The resultant panel deflection is a result from the left hand panel being exposed to such a shearing load.

Shear area provided by shear key configuration = 4.61 inches
3.2.3 – Shear Area of an Angular Corrugated Joint

With the presence of concrete-on-concrete bearing area for the angular corrugated joint configuration, the effective shear area providing shear resistance is increased. As a vehicular load traverses from one panel to the next, the panels bear on each other and the differential deflection is reduced. Due to the asymmetric nature of the angular corrugated joint, there are two shear area planes present. The shear area engaged in the shear transfer mechanism is dependent on the panel being loaded at a given time. When the joint is subjected to a vehicular load on the left hand panel, shear area plane 1 is engaged. When the joint is subjected to a vehicular load on the right hand panel, shear area plane 2 is engaged. Figure 27 and 28 depict the resultant panel deflections of the angular corrugated joint configuration. The shear area is highlighted with the dashed line.

Figure 27: Angular corrugated joint shear area, plane 1

*Shear area provided by angular corrugated configuration = 4.13 inches*
3.2.4 - Shear Area of a Round Corrugated Joint

With the increased presence of concrete-on-concrete bearing area for the round corrugated joint configuration, the effective shear area providing shear resistance is even greater than that provided by the angular corrugated configuration. As a vehicular load traverses from one panel to the next, the bearing stresses are significantly reduced. Due to the asymmetric nature of the round corrugated joint, there are two shear area planes present. The shear area being engaged in the shear transfer mechanism is dependent on the panel being loaded at the given time. When the joint is subjected to a vehicular load on the left hand panel, shear area plane 1 is engaged. When the joint is subjected to a vehicular load on the right hand panel, shear area plane 2 is engaged. Figure 29 and 30 below depict the resultant panel deflections. The shear area is highlighted with the dashed line.

Shear area provided by angular corrugated configuration = 5.00 inches
Figure 29: Round corrugated joint shear area, plane 1

Shear area provided by round corrugated configuration, plane 1 = 6.92 inches

Figure 30: Round corrugated joint shear area, plane 2

Shear area provided by round corrugated configuration, plane 2 = 5.36 inches

3.2.5 – Summary of Shear Areas Provided by Transverse Joint Configuration

Table 4: Provided shear area summary table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Shear Area</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plane 1</td>
<td>Plane 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butt</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear Key</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular Corrugated</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round Corrugated</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 – Test Panel Development and Design

The design of the test panels is controlled by two requirements. First by the required compressive stresses that need to be induced within the transverse joint, and secondly the desire to only induce shear stresses along the transverse joint and eliminate the possibility of failing the test panels in flexure.

3.3.1 – Test Panel Development Based on Post-Tensioning Requirements

According to the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Manual section 9.7.5.3, a 250 psi minimum compressive stress must be induced into pre-cast concrete bridge deck panels. Additionally, the NHDOT increases this minimum compressive stress requirement by 150 psi to aid in the resistance of negative moment regions over bridge supports in continuous spans. The total 400 psi compressive stress that must be induced into the bridge deck panels is achieved through the use of two, 1 inch diameter THREADBAR® post-tensioning bars evenly spaced in a 4 foot long deck panel. Figure 31 depicts the post tensioning layout and test panel dimensions.

![Figure 31: Post tensioning layout in test panels](image-url)
3.3.2 - Test Panel Development Based on Shear Failure Control

The desire to only induce shear stresses along the transverse joint limits the width of each panel according to the aspect ratio's set forth in the American Concrete Institute's (ACI) Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete, ACI 318.

Deep beams are designed and controlled by the shear stresses induced upon them by gravity loads and therefore are controlled by shear limits as opposed to flexural design constraints. Deep beams are defined in section 10.7.1 of the ACI 318 code as members loaded on one face and supported on the opposite face so that compression struts can develop between the loads and supports (ACI 318-05, 2005). Following section 10.7.1(a), the resulting panel dimensions are summarized in Table 5 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Specimen 1</th>
<th>Specimen 2</th>
<th>Assembled Specimen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Depth</strong></td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>8.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Width</strong></td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>32.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length</strong></td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>48.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Test specimen dimensions
4.1 – Specimen Materials

The materials used in this research are vital to the overall performance of the proposed bridge deck replacement system. Test specimen materials must be representative of the materials specified for real-world application in order to maintain appropriate scalability and obtain accurate test results for use in specification.

4.1.1 – Concrete

The concrete specified for use in the test specimens is a self consolidating concrete (SCC). SCC is commonly used in pre-cast concrete applications due to its ease of placement and consolidation of the mix occurs during the concrete placement. The SCC needed a high early strength to allow for rapid form removal and panel handling and storage. A low permeability is vital for bridge deck panels to reduce the impacts of water and chloride infiltration. A high flowability is also desired to allow for
consolidation around the congested deck panel post-tensioning ductwork and handling reinforcement. The mix design for the SCC can be found in Appendix B. Table 6 below summarizes the requested SCC mix properties.

Table 6: SCC material specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aggregate Size</th>
<th>Air Content</th>
<th>28 Day Spread</th>
<th>28 Day Strength</th>
<th>Permeability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/8&quot;</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>26 inches</td>
<td>5000 psi</td>
<td>3000 coulombs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The delivered concrete varied slightly from the specified mix requirements, but was deemed acceptable for casting the test panels. The fresh concrete was subjected to a spread test as well as an air content test. The air content test was performed in accordance with ASTM C231-04. The spread and air content values are listed below in Table 7.

Table 7: Deviated SCC material properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air Content</th>
<th>Spread</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>23.5 inches</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Twenty three cylinders were cast in compliance with ASTM C192/C192M-02 in order to test the material properties of the hardened concrete. The compressive strength of the SCC was tested in compliance with ASTM C39/C39M using the Forney hydraulic testing machine located in the civil teaching lab at UNH. The compressive strength of the test cylinders has been included in Appendix B. The ultimate compressive strength was determined to be 8,584 psi. To determine the tensile
capacity of the hardened concrete, a cylinder was subjected to the ASTM C496-96 standard test method for splitting tensile strength. The resulting tensile capacity was determined to be 603 psi.

Three methods were used to determine the elastic modulus of the SCC. Two of the methods utilize empirical equations designated in ACI-318. These empirical equations have been accepted in determining the elastic modulus for normal weight, normally consolidated concrete. However, with the use of SCC in the test panels, an experimental method of determining the elastic modulus was deemed necessary to compare the empirically determined values. One of the concrete cylinders was subjected to the ASTM 469 standard test method for determining the elastic modulus of the hardened SCC to accomplish this. Table 8 below lists the resulting values for each method. As can be seen, the experimentally determined elastic modulus is much less than the empirically derived values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Elastic Modulus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACI-318 8.5, 1</td>
<td>5,394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACI-318 8.5, 2</td>
<td>5,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM C-469</td>
<td>4,265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The hardened SCC was also subjected to a permeability test to verify the permeability of the mix. The NHDOT conducted the permeability test and determined that the permeability of the hardened SCC was 3,646 coulombs.
4.1.2 – Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer Reinforcing Grid

The reinforcement used for the deck panel handling is a carbon fiber based reinforcing grid. This CFRP reinforcing grid is the same NEFMAC CFRP reinforcement utilized in the Rollins Road Bridge deck.

![Figure 32: CFRP reinforcing grid](image)

Each NEFMAC grid, depicted above in Figure 32, is 12 inches wide and 44 inches long and was placed 2 inches up from the bottom of the test panels to provide the 2 inch minimum cover on all sides of the panels. The bar spacing in the grid pattern is 3.50 inches x 11.50 inches on center. Each grid element is $\frac{1}{2}$ inch x $\frac{1}{2}$ inch square. The NEFMAC has a tensile strength, $f_{tu}$ of 190 ksi and an elastic modulus, $E_t$, of 10,400 ksi (Schmeckpeper, 1992).

4.2 – Transverse Joint Material

The transverse joint material utilized in the test panels is dependent on the transverse joint configuration. The shear key joint configuration utilized a cement based grout material while the remaining configurations utilized the SBA.
4.2.1 - Segmental Bridge Adhesive

A segmental bridge adhesive (SBA) was used within the transverse joint of abutting deck panels for the butt, angular, and round corrugated joint configurations. The SBA used is a proprietary product developed by the Sika Corporation, Inc. and is known as Sikadur® 31, SBA. Sikadur® 31 is a high-modulus two-component, moisture-tolerant, solvent free, epoxy resin system (Sika Corporation, 2008). Sikadur® 31 is a unique structural adhesive used for bonding hardened concrete to hardened concrete. It has been specifically designed for use in segmental bridge construction. The Sika Corporation recommends that Sikadur® 31 be used for the structural bonding of post-tensioned pre-cast concrete bridge deck segments, sealing joints between concrete segments and segment-by-segment erection procedures (Sika Corporation, 2008). The material is supplied in three temperature ranges to provide for nearly year-round application in the northeast.

4.2.2 - Shear Key Filler Material

The shear key joint configuration utilizes grout material in the void space formed by adjacent deck panels. This void space is filled with a proprietary grouting material manufactured by the same Sika Corporation that provided the SBA used in the other joint configurations. SikaGrout® 212 is a high performance, cement-based grout. It contains a special blend of shrinkage-reducing and plasticizing/water-reducing agents that compensate for shrinkage in both the plastic and hardened state (Sika Corporation, 2003).
4.3 – Post-Tensioning Equipment

The post-tensioning system used in this research is a proprietary system developed in 1965 by Dywidag Systems International, Inc. (DSI) known as THREADBAR®. The THREADBAR® system utilizes hot-rolled and proof-stressed alloy steel conforming to ASTM A722 CAN/CSA standards (Dywidag Systems International, 2006). The steel bars have a continuous rolled-in pattern of thread-like deformations along their entire length and allow anchorages and couplers to be threaded on at any point (Dywidag Systems International, 2006).

The THREADBAR® components utilized in this research project are:

- 1 inch diameter x 60 inch, 150 ksi, hot rolled, threaded steel bars
- 5 inch x 5 inch x 1-1/4 inch bearing plates
- Semi-hemispherical, self-centering nuts
- 1-7/8 inch diameter, galvanized post tensioning ducts
- Plastic grout ports, tubes and tube caps

Figure 33 below depicts the major components of the THREADBAR® system.

![Figure 33: DSI's THREADBAR® forming components](image)
4.4 - Specimen Formwork

The specimens used in this research were fabricated in the Structures High Bay in Kingsbury Hall at UNH during the fall of 2008. Fabrication materials were selected and used based on their expected performance, availability, cost and familiarity to the fabricators.

The construction of the test specimens used in this research strived to achieve the same level of precision and quality expected from a pre-cast concrete fabricator where full scale bridge deck panels are typically fabricated. The formwork constructed provided the most efficient means of mass producing a large number of test specimens while maintaining performance requirements. Figure 34 below depicts the typical formwork created to fabricate the test specimens.

The formwork for each specimen contained the same three basic elements, form bodies, form side rails and post-tensioning formwork. The form bodies and post
tensioning formwork were identical for all four joint configurations. The form side rails were the only formwork elements that differed from configuration to configuration.

4.4.1 - Form bodies

A form body is shown in Figure 35. A form body is comprised of five elements, a base, runners, spines, specimen dividers and end caps. Each form body element is constructed of ¾ inch marine grade, birch plywood.

The form base is comprised of one 48 inch x 96 inch sheet of plywood. The form base had two coats of polyurethane applied to improve the surface finish of the test specimens as well as aid in specimen removal from the forms.
Two form runners were present in each form body. The form runners created the rear vertical faces for the test specimens. The form runners were 8.50 inch x 96 inch elements with two coats of polyurethane applied to the exterior faces.

Four form spines separated the two form runners. The form spines were located at 16, 32, 64 and 80 inches along the length of the form base. The spines provided additional stiffness to the form bodies to ensure the rear vertical faces of the test specimens remained vertical during casting. The form spines were 8.50 inches tall and varied in length depending upon which edge configuration they accompanied.

The specimen dividers were 8.50 inch x 16 inch elements and created two 48 inch x 16 inch bays along each side of a form body. Two coats of polyurethane were applied to both faces of the specimen dividers.

The end caps were 9.25 inch x 49.5 inch elements that sealed the ends of each form body.

All elements of the form bodies were connected by #6, 2.50 inch drywall screws. Form runners were screwed from the bottom side of the form base 8 inches on center. All screw holes were pre-drilled to eliminate the possibility of splitting and aid in element alignment. The interior edges of the form bodies were then sealed with silicone caulking to ensure no loss of concrete would occur through the formwork seams.
4.4.2 – Form Side Rails

The form side rails varied in fabrication based upon which joint configuration they formed. There were however, many similarities in their overall design. Each side rail contained a side rail backer board, a 9.25 inch x 96 inch element, as well as an 8.50 inch x 96 inch form board element. The rail backer and form boards were constructed from the same ¾ inch marine grade birch plywood that was utilized in the form bodies. The varying geometric configurations were then fabricated upon the form board.

4.4.2.1 – Butt Joint Side Rail

The butt joint side rails were the least complex side rails to fabricate. The side rails were comprised of only a side rail backer board and a form board described above.

4.4.2.2 – Shear Key Side Rail

The shear key side rail contained the side rail backer board as well as the form board. In order to form the keyway in which the grout filler material can transfer shear between adjacent panels, a void must be created in the concrete. This was accomplished in the shear key through the use of large chamfer strips created from the ¾ inch marine grade birch plywood as well as ⅛ inch luon plywood to create 1 inch and 2 inch nominal dimensions for the large chamfer strips. Figure 36 below shows a completed shear key form side rail.
4.4.2.3 – Angular Corrugated Form Side Rail

The angular corrugated form side rails were fabricated solely from ¾ inch marine grade beach plywood elements. These elements include the form side rail backer board and form board present in all side rail forms, as well as chamfer strips that created the protrusions and undulations present in the angular corrugated configuration. Two coats of polyurethane were applied to the side rail to aid in form release and improve panel surface finish. Figure 37 below shows a form side rail for a tongue corrugation.
4.4.2.4 - Round Corrugated Form Side Rail

The round corrugated form side rail is the most complex side rail to fabricate. It utilizes the side rail backer board and form board to support the actual form that creates the sinusoidal joint configuration. In able to create the sinusoidal shape, a rubber form was fabricated in the lab at UNH.

The rubber form has to be created in multiple steps. First, a rubber form trough was fabricated to create the rubber side rails. The form trough was created by building a 2 inch deep box around a 40 inch x 96 inch sheet of 2.67 inch x 7/8 inch Phase-2 PVC corrugated panel. The corrugated panel is a proprietary extruded sheet provided by the H&F Manufacturing Corp. The 2.67 inch x 7/8 inch Phase-2 panel is a
sinusoidal corrugated sheet extruded from PVC. The sinusoidal shape has a 2.67 inch wavelength and a 7/8 inch amplitude. Next, the troughs were created by separating the Phase-2 panel into different segments creating a tongue and groove panel form. To attach the rubber form to the rail backer and form board, ¼ inch x 6 inch carriage bolts were cast into the rubber. Figure 38 below shows the form trough.

![Figure 38: Round corrugated rubber form trough](image)

The rubber was then prepared to fill the bays created in the rubber form trough. The rubber used was a proprietary product of the Polytek Development Corporation called Poly 75-65 RTV Liquid Rubber. Poly 75 is a two-part polyurethane rubber that is commonly used in ornamental pre-cast concrete applications. Equal parts of component A and B were weighed and mechanically mixed together. The rubber was then poured into the rubber form trough bays and allowed to cure. A curing tent was installed over the rubber form trough and space heaters were used to raise the tent temperature to 77° F. The rubber molds were allowed to cure for
approximately 96 hours before they were removed from the rubber form trough. Figure 39 below depicts the rubber form trough in the curing tent.

![Figure 39: Curing tent for rubber form trough](image)

Once the rubber form rails were removed from the rubber form trough, they were attached to the side rail backers and form boards by the hardware cast into the rubber forms. Figure 40 below shows a completed round corrugated form side rail.

![Figure 40: Round corrugated form side rail](image)
4.4.3 – Post-Tensioning Formwork

The post-tensioning formwork provides the necessary voids and access points in the panels to allow for the post tensioning bars to penetrate the panels. All of the post-tensioning formwork is a proprietary system of the DSI Corp. and is specified based on the post-tensioning bar diameter.

![Figure 41: THREADBAR® formwork](image)

4.4.3.1 – Post-Tensioning Ducts

The post-tensioning ducts are comprised of 1-7/8 inch diameter corrugated galvanized metal tubing. The total duct length, including the grout access port is 16 inches.

4.4.3.2 – Grout Access Ports and Tubes

The grout access ports allow for the injection of grout material into the ductwork to aid in the protection of the post-tensioning bars from corrosive material.
The grout access ports are plastic sleeves that fit inside the galvanized post-tensioning ductwork. Attached to the grout access ports are the grout access tubes. These tubes provide access to the embedded ducts. To protect the access tubes from being clogged with concrete during panel casting, tube caps are threaded into the open ends of the tubes.

Once the ducts and access ports were assembled, a heat shrink tape was wrapped around the grout access port. This was done to ensure a tight seal so no concrete could infiltrate the assembled ductwork during casting.

To attach the post-tensioning ducts to the form bodies and form side rails, rigid polystyrene foam plugs were cored to fit inside the diameter of the ductwork. The plugs were then attached to the form bodies and form side rails with carriage bolts. The duct assemblies were then slid onto the plugs located on the form bodies while the form side rails were aligned and slid into place. Once aligned, the form side rails
were then secured to the form bodies with 2.5 inch, #6 drywall screws 8 inches center-to-center spacing.

4.5 - Test Specimen Assembly

The general assembly procedure was similar for all of the joint configuration types. Treatment of the transverse joint differs between the shear key and the other configurations in the extra steps required to prepare the shear key for placement of the key grout.

4.5.1 - Panel Alignment and Post-Tensioning Equipment Installation

Two individual panels are brought into location next to each other approximately 6 inches apart. A post-tensioning bar was then passed through the post-tensioning ductwork of the first panel and continued into the mirrored duct of the abutting panel. A bearing plate and nut were installed on the opposite ends of the bar protruding out of the panels.

4.5.2 - Transverse Joint Preparation

With the post-tensioning equipment installed, the transverse joint was ready for assembly. The transverse joint assembly was a multi step process for each configuration.
4.5.2.1 - Butt, Angular and Round Configurations

The SBA was used in the transverse joint in the butt, angular, and round configurations. Prior to applying the SBA, the test panels and SBA were preconditioned following the procedures outlined in the technical data sheet provided by DSI. The test panels were then cleared of any dirt, grease, oil, and form release products. The panels' surfaces should be roughened with a wire brush or similar mechanical method. It was highly recommended that the concrete be a minimum of three or four weeks old. Next, condition both parts A and B of the SBA to the appropriate temperature for the application temperature range to yield an appropriate pot life. Once conditioned, thoroughly mix part A and B separately to ensure a uniform consistency of each product. Mix two parts A with one part B by weight and mechanically mix until a uniform color consistency is achieved.

The SBA should be applied to each face that will be adhered together. This is best achieved with a gloved hand. A ¼ inch thick layer of SBA is recommended on each face. Bring the panels into contact with each other within the SBA's pot life. Squeeze the panels together via the post tensioning bar bearing plate and nut assemblies to expel excess SBA from the joint. Ensure the self-centering nuts are snug tight.
4.5.2.2 - Shear Key Configuration

The shear key transverse joint was filled with a cementitious grout instead of the SBA. The test panels and key grout were conditioned according to DSI recommendations in the technical data sheets for the SikaGrout® 212 material. The test panels were cleared of any dirt, grease, oil or form release products and the key surfaces were be roughened with a wire brush or similar mechanical method.

A spray adhesive was applied to the bottom edge of each abutting panel. The backer rod material was then applied to the adhered surfaces. The backer rod material was ½ inch diameter foam 48 inches in length. The panels were then squeezed closer together via the post-tensioning bearing plate and nut assembly until the backer rod material was compressed to about half of its original diameter. To form the ends of the shear keys, epoxy putty was applied to the ends of each panel along the edge of the shear key. An 8 inch x 10 inch section of plexi-glass was compressed
into the epoxy putty to seal the ends of the test panels. The shear key was then formed, as seen in Figure 44 below, and ready to receive the grouting material.

![Figure 44: Shear key formed by adjoining panels](image)

The key grout was then ready to be mixed and placed. The appropriate amount of water was added to achieve the desired consistency of the grout material. The grout and water was thoroughly mixed by hand until a uniform consistency was achieved. The freshly mixed grout was then placed within 15 minutes of adding the water. Once the grout achieved final set, the plexi-glass and epoxy putty were removed.
4.5.3 – Stress Post-Tensioning Bars

Once the transverse joint material was cured, the post-tensioning bars could then be stressed. As described in section 3.3.1, a total of 400 psi must be achieved in the deck elements. This was achieved by the transfer of stress developed in the post-tensioning bars during the post tensioning procedures into the deck panels. A total load of 81.6 kips was induced into the post-tensioning bar to achieve the required stress levels in the deck panels.

The stressing apparatus utilized by the THREADBAR® post-tensioning system is shown in Figure 45 below. The stressing apparatus was comprised of the hydraulic pump, remote pendant switch, hydraulic pressure valves, pressure gauge, hydraulic fluid lines, stressing jack and ratchet handle, pull rod and pull rod coupler and jack nut.

After connecting the stressing jack to the hydraulic pump via the hydraulic fluid lines, the system was then bled for proper operation. The stressing piston of the jack
was extended ½ inch outward. The pull rod and pull rod coupler were then thread onto the end of the bar to be stressed. The jack was then slid onto the pull rod until the socket end of the jack engaged the self centering nut. The jack nut was then thread onto the end of the pull rod coupler until it engaged the extended stressing jack piston. The pump valves were then placed in the appropriate positions for stressing.

After verifying that all stressing equipment had been accurately installed, the jack calibration sheet was used to locate the required pressure gauge reading for the desired bar load. To achieve the desired 81.6 kips in the post tensioning bar, a pressure gauge reading of 3,950 psi was required. Using the remote pendant switch, the bar was stressed until the appropriate pressure reading was achieved. Tightening of the self-centering nut was performed during the stressing operation using the ratchet handle located on the jack. Once the desired stress level had been achieved, the pump valves were switched to the appropriate locations to release the system pressure. The jack piston was backed into its fully retracted position and the jack anchor was removed. The jack was then slid off of the pull rod and the pull rod and pull rod coupler were un-threaded from the stressed bar.
5.1 - Loads

5.1.1 - Development of Applied Loading

The test panels were subjected to the service loadings that they would be required to resist in place bridge deck loadings. The design service load was specified by the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Manual. In accordance with section 3.7.4, minimum loading, an HS-20 vehicle load was specified for the design of bridge decking. Therefore, the test specimens were also subjected to the same HS-20 vehicle loading.

Section 3.7.6 defines an HS-20 vehicular load as a tractor trailer truck with a gross weight of 20 tons. A maximum axle load for the HS-20 vehicle is 16 tons. To determine a wheel load, the axle load was halved, 8 tons or 16 kips.

5.1.2 - Applied Loading Schedule

Table 11 describes the applied loading schedule for testing each joint configuration. The 16 kip service load was cyclically applied, with each multiple of the service load applied twice.
Table 9: Applied loading schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle #</th>
<th>Load kips</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0-16 Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0-16 Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0-32 2 x Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0-32 2 x Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0-48 3 x Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0-48 3 x Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0-64 4 x Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0-64 4 x Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0-80 5 x Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0-80 5 x Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0-96 6 x Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0-96 6 x Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0-112 7 x Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0-112 7 x Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0-128 8 x Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0-128 8 x Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0-Ult. Ult.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.3 – Ultimate Applied Load

The ultimate load applied to a test specimen was defined as the loading at which the panel has been deemed failed. Failure of a test panel was defined as the point at which the panel will no longer take increased loadings, significant cracking has occurred, or the test specimen has completely separated into at least two pieces. If the panel has failed prior to achieving a full load cycle, testing for that assembly was complete.
5.2 – Specimen Test Protocol

For each transverse joint configuration, two specimens were tested. For the first set of specimens, each configuration was tested fully post-tensioned to determine the configurations ultimate capacities as well as their ability to transfer shear. The failure modes for each assembly were observed and noted.

The second set of specimens tested each joint configuration without post-tensioning. The post-tensioning bars were removed and the panels were then loaded. The configuration capacities and failure modes were observed and noted.

5.3 – Testing Apparatus

The general shear test layout is derived from the provisions set forth in ACI 318 that induce shear stresses within deep beams. Section 10.7.1(b) locates the applied load on the deck panel test assembly so that the desired shear stresses are developed without the possibility of flexural failure. The test setup is depicted in Figure 46 below.
The testing apparatus used to replicate the shear test for this research is comprised of the multiple components described in the following sections.

5.3.1 - Loading Frame

The loading frame, depicted in Figure 47 above, utilized in this research project was the same loading frame utilized in the bridge deck replacement system feasibility study. The load frame was comprised of two supporting legs made of multiple, stiffened wide flange sections. The two legs were connected by a header beam, also comprised of a wide flange section with web stiffeners.
5.3.2 – *Hydraulic Pump*

The hydraulic pump used to operate the hydraulic loading piston was an Enerpac ZE-3 Series pump with a manual control valve. In-line pressure gauges were used to monitor the system pressure for the feed and return lines. The hydraulic pump and accessories have a 10,000 psi maximum working pressure rating. The pump is powered by a 1.00 horsepower electric motor, seen in Figure 48 above.
5.3.3 - Hydraulic Loading Piston

The loads applied to the test specimens were achieved with the hydraulic loading piston attached to the header beam of the load frame seen above in Figure 49. The piston is a double acting hydraulic cylinder manufactured by Ram-Pac International, Inc. The cylinder model is the RC-150-DA-6 and has a 150 ton loading capacity, a 6 inch stroke and a 4.50 inch rod diameter (Ram-Pac International, Inc., 2003). At capacity, the working pressure is approximately 7,800 psi.

5.3.4 - Load Application Components

To transfer the load from the hydraulic piston to the test panels, multiple components were utilized. The hydraulic piston transferred the load through the load
cell, onto the circular seat and finally to the loading block. A neoprene rubber pad was placed between the loading block and the surface of the test panels to help distribute a uniform load over the rough concrete surface of the deck panels.

The load cell was placed within a bag to prevent it from being damaged during loading if the panels were to fail into two separate halves. The load cell is described in detail in section 5.4.1.

The circular seat is a 6 inch diameter, steel plate. The plate is comprised of a concave based and convex top half. These two separate halves work in unison to ensure a vertical load application.

The loading block is a 10 inch x 10 inch x 2 inch steel plate. The loading block transfers the vertical load from the hydraulic piston to the face of the transverse joint. The load application apparatus is shown in Figure 50 below.
5.3.5 - Specimen Supports

The support mechanisms utilized to test the panels were comprised of two roller assemblies. Each roller assembly was made of a 2 inch diameter rod welded to a 1 inch x 4 inch plate. The roller assemblies were then welded to support legs that are rolled W sections with web stiffeners located throughout their length. The individual roller assemblies were then welded together to create a rigid support mechanism. The specimen supports are shown in Figure 51 below.

Figure 51: Roller support

5.4 - Data Acquisition

Applied load and specimen deflection values needed to be collected continuously throughout the testing procedure. Data acquisition was achieved with two separate systems during testing. In order to collect applied load values, a load cell
was utilized. To collect specimen deflection values, a digital image correlation system was utilized.

5.4.1 – Applied Load Data Acquisition

To quantify and record the loads applied to the test specimens, the applied loads are transferred from the loading piston through a load cell and to the loading points on the test specimens. The load cell utilized was manufactured by Transducer Techniques, Inc. The load cell was the CLC-300K series. It is fully bridged and has a 300 kip working capacity. The load cell requires a 10 Volt DC excitation voltage and provides a 2 mV/V rated output (Transducer Techniques, 2009). Figure 52 below depicts the load cell utilized during testing.

![Figure 52: Load cell](image)

The load cell was connected to a National Instruments SCXI-1001 chassis. The SCXI-1001 chassis supplies power to and contains control circuitry for the SCXI series
modules used in concert with the load cell (National Instruments, 2005). The modules used in concert with the load cell are the SCXI-1314T and the SCXI-1520. The load cell utilizes a 9-pin "D" series connector that provides a Transducer Electoric Data Sheet (TEDS) (Transducer Techniques, 2009). The "D" series connector was then plugged into the SCXI-1314T module via an RJ-50 10-pin/10-conductor modular plug. The SCXI-1314T is a universal full or half bridge terminal block used for connection to hardware with TEDS smart sensors (National Instruments, 2005). The SCXI-1314T was then connected to the SCXI-1520 module. The SCXI-1520 module is an eight-channel module for interfacing to Wheatstone-bridge based sensors, such as the CLC series fully bridged load cell utilized in this research (National Instruments, 2005). The National Instruments chassis and accessory modules used to interface with the load cell comprise the data acquisition (DAQ) system utilized to collect the voltage outputted by the load cell. Once the load cell was successfully connected to the DAQ, the voltage excitations were converted from voltage outputs to actual load values. This was done through the use of LabView 8.5. LabView 8.5 is a software program that translates the outputted voltages into their corresponding loads. Figure 53 depicts the DAQ system and corresponding load output reading as converted by LabView from the load cell.
5.4.2 - Specimen Deflection Data Acquisition

The deflection of each panel in the test specimens were recorded as the loads were applied. Dial gauges have typically been used in past research phases of this project. While the values provided by the dial gauges are very accurate, data collecting was fairly labor intensive due to the number of dial gauges needed and the number of readings required for each gauge. Also, with the close proximity of the load to the joint face, a dial gauge does not easily fit in the space provided. As the applied loads climb in magnitude, it is increasingly unsafe to approach the loading piston in order to obtain a dial gauge recording. Finally, if and when ultimate failure of the test specimens occur, damage may be done to dial gauges as the panels break away from their supports resulting in increased costs of replacing dial gauges for each test. Therefore, a new method of recording deflection values needed to be investigated and utilized for this research. Linear varying differential transformers (LVDT's) were
considered for replacement of the dial gauges. The LVDT's would have been hooked into the DAQ, eliminating the need for manually recording deflection values, but, like dial gauges, the LVDT's require direct contact with the panels. Similar to the dial gauges, the LVDT's are susceptible to permanent damage during ultimate failure of the test specimens.

The need for non-contact deflection measurement techniques was required for this testing. The solution to this non-contact measurement system is digital image correlation technologies. Digital image correlation (DIC) refers to an optical method of measuring the displacement of pixels throughout a series of digital images. The use of Correlated Solutions, Inc. dual-camera DIC system provided the non-contact deflection measurements obtained in this research. The dual-camera system captures a series of digital images throughout testing and compares the images to the reference image to deduct the deflection measurements for the test specimens.

Prior to testing the panels, the surface of the test specimens must be prepared with a speckle pattern in order for the DIC system to compare the pixel movement of the images throughout testing. Figure 54 below depicts a test specimen with the required speckle pattern applied. This speckle pattern was created by spattering spray paint onto the panels.
With the dual-camera system setup, as seen in Figure 55 below, and calibrated, image capturing may begin. An initial image is taken and set as the reference image. This reference image depicts the test setup with no load applied. As testing progresses, images are captured at each desired loading. When testing was completed, the DIC is conducted using Vic3D software. The images are compared to the reference image and the displacements are calculated and exported into an excel file format for further manipulation.
CHAPTER 6

RESULTS

6.1 - Ultimate Capacity

The ultimate capacity of each transverse joint configuration is summarized in Table 10 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Ultimate Capacity (kips)</th>
<th>Post-Tensioned</th>
<th>Non-Tensioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butt</td>
<td>111.6</td>
<td>101.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear Key</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular Corrugated</td>
<td>127.8</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round Corrugated</td>
<td>140.5</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At first glance, the results for the non-tensioned ultimate capacities are not what would have been expected in regards to the substantially larger ultimate capacity of the butt joint configuration. After a thorough investigation of the failed test panels, it was determined that the location of the NEFMAC reinforcing grid played a fairly significant role in the increased capacity of the butt joint over the other configurations.
For all of the varying joint configurations, the NEFMAC reinforcing grids were originally located within the deck panel formwork so as to provide the required 2 inch minimum cover from the exterior edges of the panels. In some instances, during concrete placement, the NEFMAC reinforcing grids were dislodged from their installed locations, resulting in varying cover thickness from the sides of the deck panels. This relocation of the reinforcing grids did not become apparent until after the non-tensioned deck panels were tested and the failure modes evaluated. During the visual inspection of the failed test panels, the exposed NEFMAC reinforcing grid locations were noted, and the results are listed in Table 11 below.

Table 11: NEFMAC grid distance from transverse joint face

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>NEFMAC Location (in.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butt</td>
<td>0.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear Key</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular Corrugated</td>
<td>3.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round Corrugated</td>
<td>4.750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In both the angular and round corrugated joint configurations, the reinforcing grids had been pushed during casting away from the transverse joint face towards the rear exterior edge of the deck panels. The butt joint configuration reinforcing grids were subjected to the exact opposite scenario, they were displaced during casting towards the transverse joint face.

With the lack of concrete-on-concrete bearing in the butt joint that is present in the corrugated configurations, the increased ultimate capacity for the butt joint is counterintuitive to what the trend would have been for predicting the ultimate
capacity of each configuration. Due to the close proximity of the NEFMAC grid to the face of the transverse joint in the butt joint configuration, it acted as additional shear reinforcement within the deck panels that was not present in the corrugated configurations. This increased shear resistance provided by the NEFMAC reinforcing grid resulted in the significant increase in the ultimate capacity for the butt joint configuration.

6.2 – Failure Mode

6.2.1 – Butt Joint Configuration Failure Mode

The failure modes for the post-tensioned and non post-tensioned butt joint configurations are described in detail and in the following figures.

6.2.1.1 – Post-Tensioned Failure Mode

The failure mode for the post-tensioned butt joint configuration was comprised of major cracking through the depth of the section and across the transverse joint. Figure 56 below depicts the failure mode for the post-tensioned butt joint.

Shear cracks along both duct locations in the loaded panel appeared at approximately 70 kips. The first crack appeared in the top surface of the deck panel above the post-tensioning duct and continued to propagate at a 45° angle towards the bottom edge of the panel as the loading and number of cycles increased.

When the test panels were no longer able to withstand an increase in load, the loading was removed and further testing stopped. The panels were removed from the
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testing rig and a visual inspection of the specimens began. During the visual inspection, cracking was seen in the bottom side of the deck panels. The largest amount of shear cracking on the bottom surface of the deck panel was observed directly underneath the area of loading. These observed cracks outlined the projected image of the loading block, typical to the projection seen in a punching shear test. The shear cracks then progressed outward from the loaded panel, across the transverse joint and into the adjacent deck panel.

As the inspection moved to the top surface of the test specimens, similar cracking patterns were observed. Again, the presence of shear cracks concentrated around the area where the loading was directly applied was noted. Similar shear cracks observed on the bottom surface of the deck panels were seen propagating from the loaded panel, across the transverse joint and into the adjacent panel's top surface.

The crack locations observed in both the top and bottom surfaces of the test panels indicates that the section was cracked and failed through the entire depth of the panels. The shear cracks propagating through the loaded deck panel were typical to the shear cracks expected in a punching shear type test as a concrete plug is being forced through the sample. However, with the cracks propagating radially from the applied loading area and crossing the transverse joint into the adjacent deck panel indicates that shear transfer was present within the butt joint configuration even without a concrete-on-concrete bearing mechanism. This shear transfer was a result of the SBA structural adhesive and post-tensioning forces working in concert to keep the two deck panels together and functioning as one monolithic deck section.
6.2.1.2 - Non-Tensioned Failure Mode

The failure mode for the non-tensioned butt joint configuration was drastically different than the failure mode for the post-tensioned butt joint test panels. The two adhered deck panels completely separated and failed through the depth of the transverse joint. Figure 57 below depicts the failure mode for the non post-tensioned butt joint.

The failure plane of the test specimen began in the top surface of the loaded deck panel directly underneath the front edge of the applied load. At approximately 45 kips, spiral cracks in the corners of the loading block area on the top surface of the
deck panels were noted. As the load was increased, these spiral cracks began to progress from the corners of the loading block parallel with the transverse joint downward into the deck panels following approximately a 45° angle towards the vertical face of the butt joint. With such large shear cracks present, the failure plane proceeded down the vertical face of the joint, through the post-tensioning ducts to the bottom of the panel.

At the conclusion of testing, a thorough visual inspection was conducted on the failed specimens. Looking at the vertical portion of the butt joint, large sections of delaminated SBA from the joint face were observed. These large sections of delaminated SBA were found on both faces of the butt joint. In some instances, sections of the SBA were able to be peeled from the surface of the failed deck panels. The ability to peel sections of SBA from the failed deck panel surfaces meant that a lack of sufficient bond between the hardened concrete segments and the SBA occurred. This lack of bond was a result of poor surface preparation of the deck panels prior to application of the SBA.

The close proximity of the NEFMAC grid to the interior face of the transverse joint was also observed during the visual inspection. This close proximity of the NEFMAC grid to the transverse joint resulted in the NEFMAC grid acting as shear reinforcement increasing the shear strength of the panel. The increased shear strength increased the ultimate capacity of the butt joint configuration.
6.2.2 – Shear Key Joint Configuration Failure Mode

The failure modes for the post-tensioned and non post-tensioned shear key joint configurations are described in detail and in the figures below.

6.2.2.1 – Post-Tensioned Failure Mode

The failure mode for the post-tensioned shear key configuration was drastically different than the failure mode observed in the other post-tensioned joint configurations. Typically, severe cracking through the depth of the section and across the transverse joint was observed. The shear key panels not only experienced severe
cracking through the depth of the section and across the transverse joint, it saw complete failure through the width of the panels. Figure 58 below depicts the failure mode for the post-tensioned shear key.

As the applied load reached approximately 30 kips, the keyway grout began to de-bond from the deck panels. With the keyway separating from the deck panels, as the applied load was increased the downward deflection of the loaded panel began to significantly increase. The panel deflection was resisted by the keyway as well as the post-tensioning force acting to keep the two panels together. The combined action of the post-tensioning and the wedge shape of the keyway applied a compressive force on the adjacent deck panel which induced tensile forces into the deck panel at the vertex of the shear key configuration. As the applied load was increased, the tensile forces at the keyway vertex also increased until a severe crack was formed. This crack ran from the vertex of the shear key configuration through the width of the test specimen along the post-tensioning duct to the exterior face of the deck panel.

As the applied load reached the shear key’s ultimate capacity of 56 kips, the crack running through the width of the panel had opened up to nearly 1 inch. The test was stopped and the deck panels were then removed from the test rig and the visual inspection procedure begun. The keyway was found to be cracked into three large portions by two cracks travelling through the transverse joint. Large sections of concrete were able to be removed from the deck panels due to the massive shear cracking that had travelled through the width of the panel.
With large concrete sections removed from the deck panels, one of the post-tensioning bars had been completely de-stressed and was removed from the panels.

6.2.2.2 - Non-Tensioned Failure Mode

The failure mode for the non post-tensioned shear key was a result of the bond strength between the keyway grout and the deck panels being exceeded resulting in the de-bonding of the keyway from the loaded deck panel. Figure 59 below depicts the failure mode for the non post-tensioned shear key.

No shear cracking was observed during the test prior to the shear key panels failing at the maximum capacity of 30 kips. The keyway received minimal damage.
during testing and remained bonded to the non-loaded deck panel, but de-bonded from the loaded shear key panel. A shear failure was observed in the very top portion of the loaded shear key panel starting from the applied load area and travelling parallel to the transverse joint.

6.2.3 -Angular Corrugated Joint Configuration Failure Mode

The failure modes for the post-tensioned and non post-tensioned angular corrugated joint configurations are described in detail and in the figures below.
6.2.3.1 - Post-Tensioned Failure Mode

The failure mode for the post-tensioned angular corrugated joint configuration was comprised of major cracking through the depth of the section and across the transverse joint. Figure 60 below depicts the failure mode for the post-tensioned angular corrugated configuration.

Shear cracks at both duct locations in the loaded panel appeared at approximately 78 kips. The cracks propagated outward from the loaded area in the top surface of the loaded panel towards the post-tensioning ducts and continued at a 45° angle towards the bottom edge of the panel as the loading and number of cycles increased.

When the test panels were no longer able to resist an increased load, the test was deemed complete. The panels were removed from the testing rig and a visual inspection of the specimens begun. Severe cracking was found on the bottom side of the deck panels outlining a projected image of the loading block, typical to the projection of cracks seen in a punching shear test. These cracks progressed radially across the transverse joint and into the adjacent deck panel.

As the inspection moved to the top surface of the test specimens, a similar cracking pattern was observed to the bottom surface cracking. The presence of shear cracks concentrated around the area where the loading was directly applied was noted. Similar shear cracks observed on the bottom surface of the deck panels were seen propagating from the loaded panel, across the transverse joint and into the adjacent panel's top surface.
The observed cracking in both the top and bottom surfaces of the test panels indicates that the section has been cracked and failed through the entire depth of the deck panels. The shear cracks propagating through the loaded deck panel are typical to the shear cracks expected in a punching shear type test as a concrete wedge was being forced through the sample. However, with the cracks propagating out radially from the applied loading area and crossing the transverse joint into the adjacent deck panel indicates that shear transfer was present within the angular corrugated joint configuration. This shear transfer was accomplished through the concrete-on-concrete bearing mechanism provided by the corrugations, the SBA and post-tensioning forces working in concert to keep the two separate deck panels together and functioning as one monolithic deck section. The presence of the angular corrugations led to the increased ultimate capacity for the transverse joint configuration.
6.2.3.2 - Non-Tensioned Failure Mode

The failure mode for the non post-tensioned angular corrugated joint configuration was comprised of a shear failure through the depth of the deck panel along the transverse joint face resulting in total separation of the deck panels. Figure 61 below depicts the failure mode for the non post-tensioned angular corrugated configuration.

The failure plane of the test specimen began in the top surface of the loaded deck panel directly underneath the front edge of the applied load. At approximately 38 kips, spiral cracking in the corners of the loading block were noted on the top surface of the deck panels. As the load was increased, these spiral cracks began to
progress from the corners of the loading block running parallel with the transverse joint. With such a large shear crack now present, the failure plane proceeded down through the section of deck panel until the test assembly was severed into two separate deck panels.

At the conclusion of testing, a thorough visual inspection was conducted on the failed specimens. The tongues of the non-loaded deck panel were sheared from their respective panel. They were found to be still adhered within the groove portions of the adjacent loaded deck panel. This failure mode reflects a similar predicted failure pattern defined as plane 2 described in section 3.2.3 previously.

Small portions of delaminated SBA were observed within the transverse joint face. These delaminated sections were a result of poor surface preparation of the deck panel transverse joint prior to SBA application.

Also discovered during the visual inspection was the larger than expected distance of the NEFMAC reinforcing grid from the transverse joint face. This large distance forced all of the shear stresses induced by the applied loading into the deck panel concrete and none into the reinforcing grid resulting in the decreased ultimate capacity of the angular corrugated configuration in comparison with the butt joint.
6.2.4 - Round Corrugated Joint Configuration Failure Mode

The failure modes for the post-tensioned and non-tensioned round corrugated joint configurations are described in detail and in the figures below.

6.2.4.1 - Post-Tensioned Failure Mode

The failure mode for the post-tensioned round corrugated joint configuration was comprised of major cracking through the depth of the section and across the transverse joint. Figure 62 below depicts the failure mode for the post-tensioned round corrugated configuration.

Figure 61: Non-tensioned angular corrugated failure
Shear cracks at both duct locations in the loaded panel appeared at approximately 76 kips. The crack first appeared in the top surface of the deck panel and continued to propagate at a 45° angle towards the bottom edge of the panel as the loading and number of cycles increased.

When the test panels were no longer able to withstand an increase in load, the test was deemed complete. The panels were removed from the testing rig and a visual inspection of the specimens began. During the visual inspection, severe cracking was seen in the bottom side of the deck panels. A large amount of shear cracking was observed on the bottom surface of the deck panel directly underneath the load application area. These observed cracks outlined the projected image of the loading block, typical to the projection seen in a punching shear test. The shear cracks then progressed outward from the loaded panel, across the transverse joint and into the adjacent deck panel.

As the inspection moved to the top surface of the test specimens, similar cracking patterns were observed. Again, the presence of shear cracks concentrated around the area where the loading was directly applied was noted. Similar to the shear cracks observed on the bottom surface of the deck panels, shear cracks were seen propagating from the loaded panel, across the transverse joint and into the adjacent panel's top surface.

The observed cracking in both the top and bottom surfaces of the test panels indicated that the section had been cracked and failed through the entire depth of the panels. The shear cracks propagating through the loaded deck panel were typical to
the shear cracks expected in a punching shear type test as a concrete wedge was being forced through the sample. The cracks propagating out longitudinally from the applied loading area and crossing the transverse joint into the adjacent deck panel indicated that shear transfer was present within the round corrugated joint configuration. This shear transfer was a result of the concrete-on-concrete bearing mechanism provided by the corrugations, SBA structural adhesive and post-tensioning forces working in concert to keep the two separate deck panels together and functioning as one monolithic deck section. The largest shear area provided by the round corrugations led to the highest achieved ultimate capacity of all the joint configurations.

Figure 62: Post-tensioned round corrugated failure
6.2.4.2 – Non-Tensioned Failure Mode

The failure mode for the non post-tensioned round corrugated joint configuration was comprised of a shear failure through the depth of the deck panel along the transverse joint face resulting in total separation of the deck panels. Figure 63 below depicts the failure mode for the non post-tensioned round corrugated configuration.

The failure plane of the test specimen begins in the top surface of the loaded deck panel directly underneath the front edge of the applied load. At approximately 46 kips, spiral cracking in the corners of the loading block were noted on the top surface of the deck panels. As the load was increased, these spiral cracks began to progress from the corners of the loading block running parallel with the transverse joint. With such a large shear crack now present, the failure plane proceeded down through the section of deck panel until the test assembly was severed into two separate panels.

At the conclusion of testing, a thorough visual inspection was conducted on the failed specimens. The tongues of the non-loaded deck panel were sheared from their respective panel. They were found to be still adhered within the groove portions of the adjacent loaded deck panel. This failure mode reflects a similar predicted failure pattern within plane 2 as suggested in section 3.2.4 previously.

No delaminated SBA was found within the transverse joint face, suggesting sufficient surface prep prior to application was achieved.
Also discovered during the visual inspection was the larger than expected distance of the NEFMAC reinforcing grid from the transverse joint face. This large distance forced all of the shear stresses induced by the applied loading into the deck panel concrete and none into the reinforcing grid resulting in the decreased ultimate capacity of the round corrugated configuration in comparison with the butt joint.

Figure 63: Non-tensioned round corrugated failure
CHAPTER 7

CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND FUTURE WORK

7.1 - Conclusions

The following sections highlight the major findings of this research in regards to the post-tensioning system, the transverse joint material and the varying transverse joint configurations.

7.1.1 - Conclusions for the Post-Tensioning System

- The post-tensioning system components provided by DSI all proved successful in performing their respective roles in the system
- The post-tensioning bars, bearing plates and self-centering anchor nuts were successful in transferring the post-tensioning forces into the deck panels
- The post-tensioning formwork provided the required access points within the deck panels
- The jacking equipment proved successful in its ability to quickly and safely induce the required stress levels in the post-tensioning bars to achieve the required compressive stress in the deck panels
7.1.2 – Conclusions for the Transverse Joint Material, Sikadur® 31, SBA

• Proved an effective alternative to cementitious grout

• Volumetric proportioning allows for quick and easy material preparation

• Adequately thixotropic, did not sag or slough off vertical faces

• Easily applied with a gloved hand

• Cured SBA yielded a uniform bearing surface within the transverse joint providing an even stress distribution from the post-tensioning operation verified by the absence of cracking and spalling of the test panels when compared to the damage done to the feasibility test panels during prior post-tensioning operations carried out on un-cured SBA

7.1.3 – Conclusions for the Transverse Joint Material, SikaGrout® 212

• Proved successful in filling the keyway formed between adjacent deck panels

• The extra steps required in sealing the ends of the panels proved timely

• Some leakage of the grout material is inherent

• Proper surface preparation of the deck panels is critical

• Bond strength between the deck panels and keyway proved to be a significant factor in the ultimate capacity for the shear key configuration

7.1.4 – Conclusions for the Transverse Joint Configurations

Each transverse joint configuration developed, fabricated, assembled and tested in this research was critiqued to determine the advantages and disadvantages
each configuration type offers. The critique results have been summarized in Table 12 below followed by the explanation of results for each portion of the critique.

Table 12: Transverse joint configuration critique summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Shear Area Plane 1</th>
<th>Shear Area Plane 2</th>
<th>Tolerance Requirements</th>
<th>Difficulty of Fabrication</th>
<th>Ease of Assembly</th>
<th>Load Capacity</th>
<th>Failure Mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butt</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Cracked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear Key</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Destroyed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular Corrugated</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Great</td>
<td>Tight</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Great</td>
<td>Cracked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round Corrugated</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Tight</td>
<td>Extreme</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Cracked</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.1.4.1 - Shear Area

The shear areas provided for each transverse joint configuration have been highlighted in detail in section 3.2. It was shown in section 3.2 that the amount of shear area provided by the varying joint configurations is as follows:

Round Corrugated > Angular Corrugated > Shear Key > Butt

7.1.4.2 - Tolerance Requirements

Due to the lack of corrugations or voids cast in the butt joint configuration, the required tolerances for it are minimal to none. As long as typical pre-cast concrete tolerances are maintained, vertical panel edges and post-tensioning duct alignment are easily obtainable.

The shear key joint configuration only requires a slightly higher tolerance than a butt joint to ensure that the void formed in adjacent panels is correctly aligned. Since the shear key joint configuration is currently the industry standard for deck
panels, pre-casters are familiar with the required tolerance levels for quality shear key deck panels.

The angular corrugated and round corrugated joint configurations require extremely tight tolerances to ensure adjacent panels are correctly aligned with each other. Without such high tolerance requirements, cracking and spalling of the deck panels may occur if miscast male-female corrugations are force-fit together producing locations with extreme stress. With the increased concrete-on-concrete bearing surfaces in the corrugated configurations, if the tight tolerances are not imposed and met, the likelihood of panel misalignment or lack of fit is significantly increased. Misaligned panels or panels incorrectly fitted together may result in their rejection for use, and add increased time in the production phase resulting in costly delays to a deck replacement project.

7.1.4.3 - Difficulty of Fabrication

As a result of the minimal tolerance requirements, the butt joint was the simplest and easiest configuration to fabricate.

With the increased tolerance requirements for the shear key joint, the difficulty of fabrication was increased. However, due to the symmetric design of the configuration and familiarity of shear key deck panel fabrication, the level of fabrication difficulty was relatively low and typical for pre-casters.

The angular corrugated joint configuration was considered difficult to fabricate due to its tight tolerance requirements. Due to the male-female connection type of
the configuration, the two transverse panel edges differ in their geometric makeups. Great care must be taken by the pre-casters during form assembly to ensure that the correct transverse edge forms are utilized during panel fabrication as the edge configurations are not interchangeable. However, the use of angular geometric shapes does allow for typical construction methods and materials to be implemented by pre-casters for the angular corrugated joint.

The round corrugated joint configuration was by far the most difficult to fabricate. In addition to the tight tolerances imposed on this configuration, the lack of angular geometry does not allow for typical construction methods and materials to be utilized by pre-casters. Highly trained laborers or custom forms are required to generate such high precision forms. Similar to the angular corrugated configuration, the asymmetric nature of the round corrugated configuration requires the use of two different edge forms during the fabrication of a single panel. Increased attention to detail was required to ensure the correct form assemblies are in place prior to casting the round corrugated transverse joint configuration deck panels.

7.1.4.4 - Ease of Assembly

With the lack of corrugations, the placement of SBA to the transverse joint for a butt joint was the simplest of all the configurations. As well as aiding in SBA application, the lack of corrugations also allowed for easier panel alignment resulting in a more rapid assembly.
The shear key configuration was the most difficult of all the configurations to assemble. The extra steps required in adhering the backer rod material, sealing the keyway ends and placing the grout resulted in a fairly labor intensive, slow assembly procedure.

Both the angular and round corrugated joint configurations have been listed as moderately difficult to assemble. Extra care must be taken during the application of the SBA material to ensure that an adequate thickness of material covers all edges of the corrugations to ensure there are no gaps or voids present within the transverse joint. Panel alignment was aided by the lubrication effect of the SBA and the self-centering nature of the corrugations.

7.1.4.5 - Load Capacity

The load capacity of each transverse joint configuration has been highlighted in Table 10 of section 6.1. The ultimate capacity of the varying joint configurations was as follows:

*Round Corrugated > Angular Corrugated > Butt > Shear Key*

7.1.4.6 - Failure Mode

The failure modes for the varying joint configurations were highlighted in detail throughout section 6.2.

The failure mode for the post-tensioned butt, angular and round corrugated joint configuration deck panels encompassed severe shear cracking through the depth
of the section and across the transverse joint. The post-tensioned shear key failure mode resulted in a completely destroyed deck panel.

The failure mode for the non-tensioned configurations was complete failure. All of the configurations were separated into the individual deck panels that comprised the test assembly. In the butt, angular and round corrugated joint configurations, the failures resembled predicted failure planes laid out for the respective configuration in section 3.2. The shear key joint failure was a result of the keyway grout material debonding from the deck panels.

7.2 – Recommendations

The following sections describe the recommendations put forth based on the results of this research in regard to the post-tensioning procedure, transverse joint filler material and the transverse joint configuration.

7.2.1 – Post-Tensioning Procedure

The post-tensioning system proved to be a success. A major consideration in determining when to post-tension pre-cast concrete deck elements was found to be a crucial development for the overall system performance. It is strongly recommended that post-tensioning operations do not proceed until the SBA used in the transverse joint has fully set. By implementing the snug-tightening procedure and expelling excess SBA from the transverse joint, a uniform bearing surface is formed. The excess SBA is allowed to leave the transverse joint and reduce the risk of inducing excessive
stresses into the joint and possibly causing cracking or spalling of the deck panels. Once set, the uniform bearing surface provided by the SBA allows for even stress distributions across the face of the transverse joint, allowing for the post-tensioning compressive forces induced to act uniformly across the section.

7.2.2 – Transverse Joint Material

The Sikadur® 31, SBA product performed exceptionally well and is deemed a critical component of the rapid bridge deck replacement system. Further investigation into the SBA’s life cycle performance is recommended prior to implementing it into an actual deck replacement project.

7.2.3 – Transverse Joint Configuration

Based on the results of this research, it is recommended that the round corrugated transverse joint configuration be considered for further development and testing. This configuration, in concert with the SBA provided the highest ultimate capacity and most rapid and efficient manner of installation.

7.2.4 – Differential Deflection Considerations

The use of DIC technologies for deflection data acquisition is extremely promising. The convenience, speed and precision of the cameras exponentially decreases the labor intensity and reader error inherently present when utilizing multiple analog dial gauges to acquire deflection data. The possibility of syncing the
load cell outputs with the DIC greatly reduces the effort required to post-process test results when comparing deflection values with given loads.

However, the conversion from the global coordinate system of the DIC to the local coordinate system of the test specimens has not yet been experimentally verified for this particular application. Because of this, proven deflection values were not obtained and therefore not included in this research.

7.3 - Future Work

The components utilized in this research were subjected to static loading conditions in a lab environment. Though the system components proved to perform as designed, future work should be done to investigate the system components performance when subjected to in-situ bridge conditions.

Analytical structural modeling of the deck panels and varying joint configurations should be carried out to further verify the results of this research. Once the results have been verified through this proposed modeling, the deck panels are recommended to be exposed to cyclic fatigue loadings and freeze-thaw cycling. By subjecting the pre-cast deck replacement system investigated in this research to such proposed cyclic fatigue and freeze-thaw conditions, the in-situ behavior of the replacement deck system will be better understood. Determining the systems long-term performance behavior prior to actual implementation will allow for design modifications to provide for an increased life cycle of the deck replacement system.
It is highly recommended that future work is done with the DIC system to experimentally verify the local to global coordinate system conversion so that the immense benefits of this system are able to be utilized. The outputs from the suggested structural modeling work may be used to help support and validate the deflections recorded by the DIC system.
AASHTO. (2008). *Bridging the Gap - Restoring and Rebuilding the Nation's Bridges.* AASHTO.

AASHTO. (2002). *AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Manual.* AASHTO.


APPENDIX A – PANEL DESIGN

Post Tensioning Design

Panel Properties

\[ \text{Depth}_{\text{Panel}} = 8.50 \text{ in.} \]
\[ \text{Width}_{\text{Panel}} = 48.00 \text{ in.} \]

\[ \text{Tributary Width} = \text{Depth}_{\text{Panel}} \times \text{Width}_{\text{Panel}} = 408 \text{ in}^2 \]

\[ PT_{\text{Req'd}} = 400 \text{ psi} \]

Post-Tensioning Bar Properties

\[ PT \text{ Force}_{\text{Req'd}} = \text{Tributary Width} \times PT_{\text{Req'd}} = 408 \text{ in}^2 \times 400 \text{ psi} \]

\[ PT \text{ Force}_{\text{Req'd}} = 163.2 \text{ kips} \]

\# of PT Bars per panel = 2

\[ PT \text{ Force}_{\text{Req'd}} \text{ per Bar} = 81.6 \text{ kips} \]

\[ \text{Area}_{\text{Bar}} = 0.850 \text{ in}^2 \]

\[ \text{Stress}_{\text{Bar}} = \frac{PT \text{ Force}_{\text{Req'd}} \text{ per Bar}}{\text{Area}_{\text{Bar}}} = 96.0 \text{ ksi} \]

\[ f_{pu} = 150.0 \text{ ksi} \]

\[ \text{Allowable Stress}_{\text{Bar}} = 0.70f_{pu} = 105.0 \text{ ksi} \]

ACI 318-05 18.5.1(c)

\[ 96.0 \text{ ksi} \leq 105.0 \text{ ksi} \quad "OK" \]

According to the Jack Calibration Form provided by DSI in Appendix X, stress each bar to a dial gauge value of 3,950 psi to achieve the required compression stress in the transverse joint.
Panel Dimension Design

**ACI 318-05 Section 10.7.1(a)**

\[ l_n \leq 4 \times \text{Depth}_{\text{Panel}} \]

\[ l_n \leq 4 \times 8.50" \]

\[ l_n \leq 34.0" \]

Therefore, with 2, 16.00" specimens assembled

\[ l_n = 32.00" \]

\[ 32.00" \leq 34.00" \quad \text{"OK"} \]

**ACI 318-05 Section 10.7.1(b)**

\[ 2 \times \text{Depth}_{\text{Panel}} = 17.00" \]

Location of concentrated load = 14.00" from support face

\[ 14.00" \leq 17.00" \quad \text{"OK"} \]
APPENDIX B – TRANSVERSE JOINT CONFIGURATION

DIMENSIONS

**Butt Joint Configuration**

*Typical Butt Joint Cross Section*

**Shear Key Configuration**

*Typical Shear Key Cross Section*
Angular Corrugated Configuration

Angular Corrugated Tongue Cross Section

Angular Corrugated Groove Cross Section
Round Corrugated Configuration

Round Corrugated Tongue Cross Section

Round Corrugated Groove Cross Section
## APPENDIX C – CONCRETE MATERIAL PROPERTIES

### DELIVERY TICKET

| MANCHESTER REDIMIX CONCRETE
| NASHUA REDIMIX CONCRETE
| PERSONS CONCRETE
| SEACOAST REDIMIX CONCRETE

#### UNIVERSITY OF NH

| CIVIL ENG. LAB/ART'S WAY/DURHAM |
| DURHAM |

### MILL RD/ F ON ACADEMIC RD/ PAST BUSINESS SCHOOL/L ON ARTS WAY AF TER PERSONS MILL |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOADING DATE</th>
<th>PRODUCT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ORDERED</th>
<th>DELIVERED</th>
<th>UNIT PRICE</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>930035</td>
<td>REDIFLOW</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>930035</td>
<td>5500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>975000</td>
<td>WINTER SERVICE</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>975000</td>
<td>9000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>998320</td>
<td>FUEL SURCHARGE</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>998320</td>
<td>998320</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**In the event of delivery beyond curb line this company will not assume liability for damage to sidewalk, driveway, or other property to which contractor or agent has directed the truck to cross.**

We will not be responsible for example of concrete to which additional water has been added.

**WEIGHT**

- **GROSS**: 23,120 lb
- **TARE**: 23,120 lb
- **NET**: 0 lb

**BATCH DATA**

- **MIXING WATER**: 3,728 gal
- **DESIGN WATER**: 186.4 gal
- **ACTUAL WATER**: 186.4 gal
- **TOTAL WATER**: 205.8 gal

**SLUMP**: 8.8 in

**CIVIL ENG. LAB/ART'S WAY/DURHAM**

**TEST RESULTS**

- **WOODCUT**: 3.0 in
- **SLUMP**: 8.8 in

**YIELD**

- **YIELD**: 36.50
- **YIELD**: 146.0 pcf

**CUSTOMER COPY**
Compressive Strength vs. Time

Time (Days)
Project: U.N.H.DECKPANEL

Permeability of Concrete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Violation</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of Placement</td>
<td>01/15/09</td>
<td>03/12/09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Tested</td>
<td>03/10/09</td>
<td>03/10/09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (days)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Air</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slump(cm)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Temp (F)</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>On Site</td>
<td>On Site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>SCC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Deck Panel Research</td>
<td>Deck Panel Research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Cast</td>
<td>1/15/2009</td>
<td>1/15/2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remarks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Splitting Tension Test

According to ASTM C496-04:

\[ T = \frac{2P}{\pi ld} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cylinder #</th>
<th>(l)</th>
<th>(d)</th>
<th>(P)</th>
<th>(T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(inches)</td>
<td>(inches)</td>
<td>(lbs)</td>
<td>(psi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.875</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>14,860</td>
<td>591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>14,985</td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( T = 603 \text{ psi} \)
Elastic Modulus Determination of Test Panel SCC

\[ wc = 146.0 \frac{lb}{ft^3} \]

\[ f'_c = 8584 \text{ psi} \]

**According to ACI 318, 8.5.1:**

\[ E_c = wc^{1.5} \times 33 \sqrt{f'_c} \]

\[ E_c = (146.0 \frac{lb}{ft^3})^{1.5} \times 33 \sqrt{8584 \text{ psi}} \]

\[ E_c = 5,394 \text{ ksi} \]

For normal weight concrete,

\[ E_c = 57,000 \sqrt{f'_c} \]

\[ E_c = 57,000 \sqrt{8584 \text{ psi}} \]

\[ E_c = 5,281 \text{ ksi} \]

**According to ASTM C-469:**

\[ E_c = 4,265 \text{ ksi} \]
Elastic Modulus Determination via ASTM C-459M

\[ f_e = 8,584 \text{ psi} \]

\[ y = 4,265.348x \]
### Prestressing Bar Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal Bar Diameter (in.)</th>
<th>Ultimate Stress $f_{pu}$ (ksi) (MPa)</th>
<th>Cross Section Area $A_{ps}$ (in.$^2$) (mm$^2$)</th>
<th>Ultimate Strength $f_{ps}$ $A_{ps}$ (ksi) (kN)</th>
<th>Prestressing Force $0.8 f_{pu} A_{ps}$, $0.7 f_{pu} A_{ps}$, $0.6 f_{pu} A_{ps}$ (kips) (kN)</th>
<th>Weight $f_{pu}$ (lbs./ft.)</th>
<th>Minimum* Elastic Bending Radius (ft.) (m)</th>
<th>Maximum Bar Diameter (in.) (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 in.</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>127.5</td>
<td>102.0, 89.3, 78.5</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 mm</td>
<td>1,030</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>454, 397, 340</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/4 in.</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>187.5</td>
<td>150.0, 131.3, 112.5</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 mm</td>
<td>1,030</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>602, 584, 500</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/4 in.</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>237.0</td>
<td>189.6, 165.9, 142.2</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 mm</td>
<td>1,030</td>
<td>1,018</td>
<td>1,055</td>
<td>839, 738, 633</td>
<td>8.28</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>41.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/4 in.</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>320, 280, 240</td>
<td>9.23</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 mm</td>
<td>1,030</td>
<td>1,018</td>
<td>1,779</td>
<td>1,423, 1,245, 1,068</td>
<td>13.74</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>51.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/4 in.</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>624, 546, 451</td>
<td>17.71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 mm</td>
<td>1,030</td>
<td>3,355</td>
<td>3,471</td>
<td>2,776, 2,429, 2,062</td>
<td>26.29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Pretend bars are required for radii less than the minimum elastic radius

** Grade 160 bar may be available on special request
### THREADBAR® Accessory Dimensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anchorage Details</th>
<th>1&quot;</th>
<th>1-1/4&quot;</th>
<th>1-3/8&quot;</th>
<th>1-3/4&quot;</th>
<th>2&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bar Diameter</td>
<td>28 mm</td>
<td>32 mm</td>
<td>36 mm</td>
<td>48 mm</td>
<td>76 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchor Plate Size</td>
<td>5 x 6 x 1&quot;</td>
<td>6 x 7 x 1&quot;</td>
<td>7 x 7 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>8 x 8 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>8 x 8 1/2&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchor Nut Size</td>
<td>127 x 140 x 32</td>
<td>152 x 178 x 38</td>
<td>178 x 191 x 44</td>
<td>9 x 9 x 2</td>
<td>256 x 230 x 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchor Plate Size</td>
<td>4 x 6 x 1&quot;</td>
<td>102 x 165 x 32</td>
<td>5 x 6 x 1 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>127 x 203 x 36</td>
<td>5 x 6 x 1 1/2&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchor Nut Size</td>
<td>127 x 140 x 32</td>
<td>152 x 178 x 38</td>
<td>178 x 191 x 44</td>
<td>9 x 9 x 2</td>
<td>256 x 230 x 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nut Extension</td>
<td>1/2&quot;</td>
<td>2&quot;</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2&quot;</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. Bar Protrusion</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Other plate sizes available on special order. **To accommodate stressing*

### Coupler Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length C</th>
<th>6 1/2</th>
<th>159</th>
<th>6 1/2</th>
<th>171</th>
<th>6 1/2</th>
<th>219</th>
<th>6 1/2</th>
<th>171</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For plain bars</td>
<td>6 1/2</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>6 1/2</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>6 1/2</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>6 1/2</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For epoxy coated bars</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>6 1/2</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>6 1/2</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>6 1/2</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duct Details</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2&quot;</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2&quot;</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>3&quot;</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter d</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2&quot;</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2&quot;</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>3&quot;</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duct Details (galvanized steel)</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar Duct O.D.</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar Duct I.D.</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupler Duct O.D.</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3 1/2</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupler Duct I.D.</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3 1/2</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duct Details (plastic duct)</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar duct ID</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar duct OD</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupler duct OD</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupler duct ID</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pocket Former Details</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>8 1/2</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>8 1/2</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Diameter</td>
<td>5 1/2</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>6 1/2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>6 1/2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**DYWIDAG SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL, INC.**

**CALIBRATION FORM**

**GAUGE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GAUGE TYPE:</th>
<th>McDaniel</th>
<th>GAUGE I.D.#:</th>
<th>6-20793</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAL ID:</td>
<td>9380</td>
<td>DATE:</td>
<td>2/5/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMP:</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Special Note:**

**Calibrated By:** Donald, Bickeletal

**CUSTOMER:** University of New Hampshire

**JOB NUMBER:** J047986

**MASTER INSTRUMENT ID No.:** 91550

**TRACE #:** 9201-EQ

**DESCRIPTION:** Load Measuring

**ALTHOUGH RAM/GAUGE COMBINATIONS ARE CALIBRATED AS A UNIT, GAUGES ARE CALIBRATED INDEPENDENTLY, AND ARE USABLE ON OTHER DYWIDAG SYSTEM RAMS, WHEN THIS DOES NOT CONFLICT WITH PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS.**

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Each gauge must be calibrated to a master instrument that has been calibrated and traceable to NIST Standards.
2. Each gauge must be calibrated to meet or exceed ASUE STD. 40.1.
3. Each gauge will be calibrated before being used in a jack calibration.
4. Each gauge will be calibrated before being sent to the customer as a replacement gauge.
5. Connect the gauge to the testing machine.
6. Pressurize the gauge in 10 increments throughout its entire range, 3 times.
7. Record the gauge and test standard readings.
8. If gauge is in need of adjustment, consult the manufacturer's product manual contained in the DSI equipment calibration and standards book.
9. Form is to be used by Equipment Dept. staff in the calibration of hydraulic gauges that will be used by the customer.
10. Form is to be completely filled out.
11. Form is to be filled in the gauge calibration file according to its I.D. No. and with any associated equipment file. One Copy to customer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MASTER</th>
<th>TEST RUN 1</th>
<th>TEST RUN 2</th>
<th>TEST RUN 3</th>
<th>AVG.READING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7000</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>7000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>8000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9000</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>9000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## JACK CALIBRATION FORM

**JACK TYPE:** 80Mpc SERIES 04  
**JACK ID:** A46  
**THEO. RAM AREA:** 20.50  
**DATE:** 2/5/2009

**PRESSURE GAUGES:**  
**MASTER GAUGE:** 465  
**SERVICE GAUGE CALIBRATION STANDARD:** ANSI 40.1

**SERVICE GAUGE(S):** GAUGE 1: 6-20783  
**GAUGE 2:** GAUGE 3: GAUGE 4:  
**THEO. RAM AREA:** 20.50  
**COMPUTED RAM AREA:** 20.54

**LOADCELL:**  
**CALIBRATION STANDARD:** ASTM E4 AND E74

**TYPE:** EVERGREEN  
**METER NUMBER:** 1211  
**METER MFG:** EVERGREEN  
**WEIGHT CALIBRATION STANDARD; ASTM E4 AND E74**

**CONVERSION EQUATION:** AVG. X 1 + 0

**Temperature:** 70  
**Humidity:** 40%

**Calibration Location:** DYWIDAG SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL, INC.  
**Calibrated By:** Don Blottiaux  
**Verification Firm:** DYWIDAG SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL, INC.

**Customer:** University of New Hampshire  
**Job Number:** J047886

### Gauge Reading vs. Load (kips)

For Monostrand Use Only Please Refer To; Use Gauge PSI  
**True Gauge PSI:** N/A  
**Use Gauge PSI:** N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MASTER</th>
<th>GAUGE 1</th>
<th>GAUGE 2</th>
<th>GAUGE 3</th>
<th>GAUGE 4</th>
<th>RUN 1</th>
<th>RUN 2</th>
<th>RUN 3</th>
<th>AVG</th>
<th>ACT KIPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21.13</td>
<td>21.03</td>
<td>21.05</td>
<td>21.070</td>
<td>21.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41.39</td>
<td>41.29</td>
<td>41.28</td>
<td>41.313</td>
<td>41.313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>62.06</td>
<td>62.11</td>
<td>62.44</td>
<td>62.213</td>
<td>62.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>82.68</td>
<td>83.15</td>
<td>83.01</td>
<td>82.947</td>
<td>82.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>103.38</td>
<td>102.93</td>
<td>103.12</td>
<td>103.143</td>
<td>103.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5500</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>113.48</td>
<td>113.91</td>
<td>113.62</td>
<td>113.570</td>
<td>113.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>123.46</td>
<td>123.67</td>
<td>123.44</td>
<td>123.523</td>
<td>123.523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6500</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>134.11</td>
<td>133.86</td>
<td>134.01</td>
<td>133.993</td>
<td>133.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7000</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>143.64</td>
<td>143.52</td>
<td>143.54</td>
<td>143.567</td>
<td>143.567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7400</td>
<td>7400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>151.84</td>
<td>152.21</td>
<td>151.94</td>
<td>151.997</td>
<td>151.997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Report Created By: Russell Galasinski  
Report Number: 11-3-EDTS-R3 Revised Date: 4-8-00
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APPENDIX E – TRANSVERSE JOINT MATERIAL PRODUCT DATA SHEETS

Product Data Sheet
Identification no. 399
Sikadur 31, SBA Slow-Set

Sikadur® 31, SBA Slow-Set
Segmental Bridge Adhesive
High-modulus, high-strength, moisture tolerant, epoxy paste adhesive

Description
Sikadur 31, SBA Slow-Set is a unique high-modulus 2-component, moisture-tolerant, solvent-free, epoxy-resin system available in three application temperature ranges. A unique high-modulus, structural adhesive for bonding hardened concrete to hardened concrete for segmental bridge construction. The mixed material has the consistency of paste and is a concrete gray color. It conforms to the current ASTM C-881, Type VII requirements, and ASBI guidelines.

Where to Use
- Structural bonding of post-tensioned precast concrete bridge segments.
- Sealing joints between concrete segments.
- Slow-set version for span-by-span erection.
- Supplied in three temperature grades to meet project requirements.

Advantages
- Moisture tolerant before, during and after cure.
- High-modulus, high-strength, structural paste adhesive.
- Range of curing times to meet assembly and strength gain requirements.
- Easy to apply, non-sag paste for vertical applications.
- Excellent adhesion to concrete, steel and most construction materials.
- Convenient easy to mix ratios.
- Color-coded components to ensure proper mixing control.

Coverage
Approximately 12 sq. ft./gal. or 36 sq. ft./3 gal. unit.

Packaging
3 gal. units.

Typical Data (Material and curing conditions @ 73°F (23°C) and 50% R.H.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>SBA S9 40°-61°F</th>
<th>SBA S9 55°-75°F</th>
<th>SBA SS 70°-90°F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pot life, 1 gallon</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive Strength 36 hr., psi</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>8500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive Strength 72 hr., psi</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>9500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact strength after open time, 8 hours</td>
<td>8 hours - 2100</td>
<td>8 hours - 2250</td>
<td>8 hours - 2250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond strength, 14 day, psi</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat deflection Temp., °F</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shelf Life 2 years in original, unopened containers.
Storage Conditions Store dry at 40°-95°F (4°-35°C). Condition material to 70°-75°F (21°-24°C) before using.
Color Concrete gray.
Consistency Non-sag paste.
Product Name Temp. Range Mix Ratio, A:B by Volume
Slow Set (40°-61°F) 40°-61°F (4°-16°C) 2:1
Slow Set (55°-75°F) 55°-75°F (13°-24°C) 2:1
Slow Set (70°-90°F) 70°-90°F (21°-32°C) 2:1
How to Use

Surface Preparation: Surface must be clean and sound. It may be dry or damp, but free of standing water and frost. Remove dust, laitance, grease, curing compounds, impregnations, waxes, foreign particles, disintegrated materials and any other contaminants.

Mixing: Pre-mix each component. Wear chemical resistant gloves and safety goggles. Mix all of Part 'A' with all of Part 'B'. Mix thoroughly for a minimum of 3 minutes with a low-speed (400-600 rpm) drill fitted with a mixing Sika paddle until a uniform gray color is achieved. Scrape down the sides of the mixing pail and ensure there are no streaks of unmixed epoxy before applying. Mix only that quantity which can be used within its pot life.

Application: Apply the neat mixed Sikadur 31, SBA Slow-Set to the concrete surface using a trowel, spatula, or glove protected hand; work into surface especially if it is damp. Spread to a thickness of 1/8" (3 mm) to one face or 1/16" (1.5 mm) on both faces, depending upon project requirements. Segments must be post-tensioned within the open time of the epoxy.

Limitations: Do not thin Sikadur 31, SBA Slow-Set. Solvents will prevent proper cure. Use correct temperature range material for prevailing conditions. Use correct setting material (normal or slow) depending upon each application. Do not use as an adhesive for fresh, plastic, Portland cement concrete or mortar. Lower temperatures will prolong cure time. Higher temperatures will rapidly accelerate cure time. Use of product outside of designated temperature range is not recommended. Do not use in an aesthetic product. Color may alter due to variations in lighting and/or UV exposure.

Caution: Component 'A' - Irritant, Sensitizer: Eyes/kin/respiratory irritant. Possible sensitization. Acetic reaction possible with prolonged exposure. Component 'B' - Irritant, Sensitizer: Contains amines. Contact with skin and eyes can cause severe burns. Respiratory irritant. Possibility of sensitization/allergic reaction with prolonged exposure. High concentrations of vapor may cause respiratory irritation. If product is sanded or abraded after curing, crystalline silica dust can be inhaled and cause delayed lung injury (silicosis). Harmful if swallowed.

Slow Set 65-75F contains nonylphenol. Chronic overexposure to nonylphenol may cause liver injury based on animal studies.

WARNING: THIS PRODUCT CONTAINS A CHEMICAL KNOWN TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO CAUSE CANCER.

Deliberate concentration of vapors for inhalation is harmful and may prove fatal.

First Aid: Eyes: Hold eyelids apart and flush thoroughly with water for 15 minutes. Skin: Remove contaminated clothing. Wash skin thoroughly for 15 minutes with soap and water. Inhalation: Remove person to fresh air. Ingestion: Do not induce vomiting. In all cases, contact a physician immediately if symptoms persist.

Handling and Storage: Avoid direct contact. Wear protective equipment, chemical resistant gloves, goggles, and clothing to prevent direct contact with skin and eyes. Use with adequate general and local ventilation. In absence of adequate ventilation use properly fitted NIOSH Respirator. Wash thoroughly after handling product. Remove contaminated clothing and launder before reuse.

Clean Up: (Material Spills): Ventilate area. Confine spill. Collect with absorbent material, flush area with water. Dispose of in accordance with current, applicable local, state, and federal regulations. Uncured material can be removed with approved solvent. Follow solvent manufacturer's instructions for use and warnings. Cured material (when combined with component 'B') can only be removed mechanically.
SikaGrout® 212
High performance, cementitious grout

Description
SikaGrout 212 is a non-shrink, cementitious grout with a unique 2-stage shrinkage compensating mechanism. It is non-metallic and contains no chloride.

With a special blend of shrinkage-reducing and plasticizing/water-reducing agents, SikaGrout 212 compensates for shrinkage in both the plastic and hardened states. A structural grout, SikaGrout 212 provides the advantage of multiple fluidity with a single component. SikaGrout 212 meets Corps of Engineers’ Specification CRDC-621 and ASTM C-1107 (Grade C).

Where to Use
- Use for structural grouting of column baseplates, machine base plates, anchor rods, bearing plates, etc.
- Use on grade, above and below grade, indoors and out.
- Multiple fluidity allows ease of placement: ram in place as a dry pack, trowel-apply as a medium flow, pour or pump as high flow.

Advantages
- Easy to use... just add water.
- Multiple fluidity with one material.
- Non-metallic, will not stain or rust.
- Low bleed.
- Low heat build-up.
- Excellent for pumping; does not segregate... even at high flow. No build-up on equipment hopper.
- Non-corrosive, does not contain chlorides.
- Superior freeze/thaw resistance.
- Resistant to oil and water.
- Meets CRDC-621.
- Meets ASTM C-1107 (Grade C).
- Shows positive expansion when tested in accordance with ASTM C-827.
- SikaGrout 212 is USDA-approved.

Coverage
Approximately 0.44 cu. ft./bag at high flow.

Packaging
8 lb. pail, 6/case, 36/pallet; 50-lb. multi-wall bags; 36 bags/pallet.

Typical Data (Material and curing conditions @ 73°F (23°C) and 60% R.H.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Plastic 1</th>
<th>Flowable 1</th>
<th>Fluid 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shelf Life</td>
<td>One year in original, unopened bags</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage Conditions</td>
<td>Store dry at 40°-95°F (4°-35°C). Condition material to 60°-75°F before using.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>Concrete gray</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Conditions</td>
<td>Plastic 1</td>
<td>Flowable 1</td>
<td>Fluid 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical Water Requirements</td>
<td>6 pt.</td>
<td>6.5 pt.</td>
<td>8.5 pt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set Time (ASTM C-266)</td>
<td>Initial 3.5-4.5 hr.</td>
<td>4.0-5.0 hr.</td>
<td>4.5-6.5 hr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final 4.5-5.5 hr.</td>
<td>5.5-6.5 hr.</td>
<td>6.0-8.0 hr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Splitting Strength, psi</td>
<td>CRDC-486</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 day</td>
<td>600 (4.1 MPa)</td>
<td>575 (3.9 MPa)</td>
<td>500 (3.4 MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural Strength, psi (ASTM C-293)</td>
<td>29 day</td>
<td>1,400 (9.6 MPa)</td>
<td>1,200 (8.2 MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Strength, psi (ASTM C-882 modified)</td>
<td>28 day</td>
<td>2,000 (13.7 MPa)</td>
<td>1,900 (13.1 MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expansion % (CRDC-621)</td>
<td>28 day</td>
<td>0.021%</td>
<td>0.055%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive Strength, psi (CRDC-421)</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>4,500 (31.0 MPa)</td>
<td>3,500 (24.1 MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 day</td>
<td>6,100 (42.0 MPa)</td>
<td>5,700 (39.3 MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28 day</td>
<td>7,500 (51.7 MPa)</td>
<td>6,200 (42.7 MPa)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 CRDC C-317 45°-60° sec e-flo time
2 CRDC C-317 10-30 sec e-flo time
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Limitations

For pourable grout, construct forms to retain grout without leakage. Forms should be lined or coated with bond-breaker for easy removal. Forms should be sufficiently high to accommodate head of grout. Where grout-tight forms are difficult to achieve, use Sikagraft 212 in dry pack consistency.

Forming

Mix manually or mechanically. Mechanically mix with low-speed drill (400-800 rpm) and Sikamix available in appropriately sized mortar mixer.

Product Extension: For deeper applications, Sikagraft 212 (plastic and flowable consistencies) may be extended with 25 lbs. of 3/8" pea gravel. The aggregate must be non-reactive, clean, well-graded, saturated surface dry, have low absorption and high density, and comply with ASTM C-33 size number 8 per Table 2. Add the pea gravel after the water and Sikagraft 212.

Application

Mixing Procedure

In case of spillage, ventilate area of spill, confine spill, vacuum or scoop into appropriate container.

First Aid

Caution

In case of spillage, ventilate area of spill, confine spill, vacuum or scoop into appropriate container.

Clean Up

In case of skin contact, wash thoroughly with soap and water. For eye contact, flush immediately with plenty of water for at least 15 minutes; contact physician immediately. Wash clothing before re-use.

Sika warrants this product for one year from date of installation to be free from manufacturing defects and to meet the technical properties on the current technical data sheet if used as directed within shelf life. Use determines suitability of product for intended use and assumes all risks. Buyer’s sole remedy shall be limited to the purchase price or replacement of product exclusive of labor or cost of labor.

NO OTHER WARRANTIES EXPRESS OR IMPLIED SHALL APPLY INCLUDING ANY WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. Sika SHALL NOT BE LIABLE UNDER ANY LEGAL THEORY FOR SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES.

Sika warrants its product for one year from date of installation to be free from manufacturing defects and to meet the technical properties on the current technical data sheet if used as directed within shelf life. Use determines suitability of product for intended use and assumes all risks. Buyer’s sole remedy shall be limited to the purchase price or replacement of product exclusive of labor or cost of labor.

Sika warrants its product for one year from date of installation to be free from manufacturing defects and to meet the technical properties on the current technical data sheet if used as directed within shelf life. Use determines suitability of product for intended use and assumes all risks. Buyer’s sole remedy shall be limited to the purchase price or replacement of product exclusive of labor or cost of labor.

How to Use

Surface Preparation

Remove all dirt, oil, grease, and other bond-inhibiting materials by mechanical means.

Limitations

Minimum ambient and substrate temperature 45°F and rising at time of application.

Mixing

Caution

In case of spillage, ventilate area of spill, confine spill, vacuum or scoop into appropriate container.

Clean Up

In case of spillage, ventilate area of spill, confine spill, vacuum or scoop into appropriate container.

Caution

In case of spillage, ventilate area of spill, confine spill, vacuum or scoop into appropriate container.

Clean Up

In case of spillage, ventilate area of spill, confine spill, vacuum or scoop into appropriate container.

Caution

In case of spillage, ventilate area of spill, confine spill, vacuum or scoop into appropriate container.

Clean Up

In case of spillage, ventilate area of spill, confine spill, vacuum or scoop into appropriate container.

Caution

In case of spillage, ventilate area of spill, confine spill, vacuum or scoop into appropriate container.

Clean Up

In case of spillage, ventilate area of spill, confine spill, vacuum or scoop into appropriate container.
Phase-2 PVC Corrugated Siding, Roofing, and Louver Panels Are Unquestionably The Most Versatile and Widely Used

Phase-2 PVC Siding, Roofing, and Louver Panels are solid, heavy gauge Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) extruded sheets that maintain color and structural integrity under the toughest weather conditions and physical abuse. Phase-2 PVC is Factory Mutual Approved for unlimited height use, without the need for sprinkler protection, and offers a Non-Combustible Flame Spread Rating of 12. Phase-2 PVC also performs exceptionally in resisting most corrosive conditions from many organic and inorganic chemical fumes and liquids. Phase-2 PVC is completely UV resistant and will not yellow or discolor, and will block out harmful UV radiation.

Industries and Applications:

Other Industries:
- Coal and metal mining
- Food manufacturing
- Government
- Petroleum
- Power plants
- Transportation
- Waste water treatment
- And many more

Other Applications:
- Canopies
- Cooling tower louvers and casing
- Elevator enclosures
- Barriers and partitions
- Lean-to's
- Salt storage facilities
- Skylights, awnings, translucent roofing
- Storage facilities

H & F MANUFACTURING CORP
800.474.2732
www.hfmfgcorp.com

Phase-2 PVC®
Industrial/Commercial Siding, Roofing, and Louver Panels

H & F MANUFACTURING CORP
Box 85
Feasterville, PA 19064-0085
800.474.2732
215.355.0250
Fax: 215.355.4066
Email: info@hfmfgcorp.com
www.hfmfgcorp.com
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Features:
- Widest range of resistance to corrosion
- Low non-combustible flame spread rating of 12
- High UV resistance: will not yellow, discolor, or turn brittle
- High impact strength
- Factory Mutual approved
- Solid PVC, no peeling, denting or loss of structural integrity
- Easy to handle and install
- Operating temperature range -50°F to 150°F

Cooling Towers:
Phase-2 PVC Louver and Casing panels are furnished in a maximum size of 47-7/8" wide and 38' long, while incremental or partial sizes of louvers and casing panels are easily cut-to-order at our plant in Ivyland, PA and shipped from there on the shortest possible notice. They are easily cut at the site of the cooling tower, construction or repair and are easily installed without risk of breaking, cracking, chipping or other possible effects due to rough handling or inclement weather.
### Table of Physical and Structural Properties

#### Mechanical Properties
- Tensile Strength: 8000 psi
- Flexural Modulus: 425000 psi
- Flexural Strength: 13000 psi
- Compressive Strength: 11000 psi
- Impact Strength (Izod) 68°F: 6.0 ft-lb/in
- Impact Strength (Izod) 32°F: 0.5 ft-lb/in
- Elongation: 65%

#### Thermal Properties
- Thermal Conductivity: $4.2 \times 10^4$ cal/sec/cm$^2$/°C/cm
- Coefficient of Expansion: $3.5 \times 10^{-5}$ in/in/°F
- K - Factor: $6.3 \times 10^{-8}$ W/m°C
- Specific Heat: 3 cal/°C/gm

#### Electrical Properties
- Volume Resistivity: $10^{10}$ ohm-cm (50% RH + 23°C)
- Dielectric Strength: 350 - 500 volts/mil
- Dielectric Constant: 3.6 Khz

#### Approvals and Certifications
- Phase-2 PVC Panels are non-combustible, i.e., they will not support combustion, and have a Flame Spread Rating of 12 (Class 1—Non-Combustible Material of Construction).
- Phase-2 PVC Panels were subjected to the Factory Mutual Wind Uplift Pressure Test (FMRC Standard 4470) and were approved as a Roofing Panel. Phase-2 PVC Panels met the minimum 60 psf FMRC requirement for Class 1-60 Windstorm Classification and the 90 psf FMRC requirement for Class 1-90 Windstorm Classification.
- Phase-2 PVC Panels have satisfactorily passed the FMRC Simulated Hail Damage Test using the FMRC Class 1-MH Simulated Hail Damage Test apparatus.
- Phase-2 PVC Panels with their light colors reflect heat and result in lower "skin" temperatures assuring their proper performance up to 150°F.
- Phase-2 PVC Panels offer excellent resistance against water and a very broad range of chemicals in the forms of solids, liquids, or fumes. (See Chemical Resistance Page.)
- Phase-2 PVC Panels have extremely high impact strength (6 ft. lbs/in Izod), which means that the panels are highly breakage resistant and will not dent due to impact, over the entire temperature range for which these panels are recommended.

The above Applications and Certifications do not pertain to AG-TUF, AG-TUF UV or Palight.
PHASE-2 PVC PANELS
APPROVAL
as
CLASS 1 CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL
for Unlimited Height Use
Without Sprinkler Protection

Phase-2 PVC Panels are homogeneous non-reinforced RIGID PVC (Polyvinyl Chloride) sheets in thicknesses from 3/32" to 3/16" and available in various configurations produced by H & F Manufacturing Corporation.

Phase-2 PVC Siding and Roofing Panels have been tested by Factory Mutual Research Corporation under Standard 4880 and have been approved for unlimited height use in non-load bearing walls and structures as a CLASS 1 CONSTRUCTION WALL AND CEILING PANEL not requiring automatic sprinkler protection, (i.e., material must not produce self-propagating fire to the limits of the structure as evidenced by flaming or material damage, and also must not ignite).


Specifications


2. PVC Resin content shall not be less than 80% by weight.

3. Nominal thickness shall be 3/32", 1/8", or 3/16". The nominal weight shall be 12, 16, or 24 ounces per square foot respectively, and the corrugation configuration shall be 2.67" x 7/8", 4.2" x 1-1/16", 7.2" x 1-1/2" or ASTORIA box rib.

4. Panels shall have a Class 1 non-combustible flame spread rating of 12 or less.

5. Approval under Factory Mutual Research Corp. Std. 4470, 4471, and 4880.
   • PHASE-2 PVC Panels are approved for unlimited height use in non-load bearing walls and structures as a Class 1 Construction Material not requiring sprinkler protection.
   • PHASE-2 PVC Panels are approved for both wall and rooftop ceiling applications for non-combustible occupancies where sprinklers are not required. The panels in and of themselves do not require automatic sprinkler protection when installed on the roof/ceiling or walls. They also would be acceptable in a combustible occupancy when protected by automatic sprinklers as defined by FMRC Loss Prevention Standards.
   • The panel shall meet FMRC Class 1-MH requirements for use outside by the Hail Hazard Boundaries contained in FMRC Data Sheet I-47S.1.
   • When installed in accordance with recommendations of H & F Manufacturing Corp., the panels shall meet the FMRC requirement for Class 1-90 Windstorm Classification.

6. Color and surface finish shall be furnished by manufacturer.

The above Applications and Certifications do not pertain to AG-TUF, AG-TUF UV or Paliglit.
Phase-2 PVC Chemical Resistance

For chemicals and corrosive media not found on this list, please contact your H & F representative. It is important to note that H & F PVC panels are generally not recommended for use with acetone, ketones, ethers, and aromatic and chlorinated hydrocarbons. The information on chemical resistance is based on our research and experience. It serves as a basis for recommendation.

The table on pages 5 and 6 use the following key:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Concentration %</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Concentration %</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum Chloride</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Ferrous Sulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum Fluoride</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Fluorine Gas</td>
<td></td>
<td>LR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum Hydroxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Fluoboronic Acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum Sulfate</td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Flumine Sulfide</td>
<td></td>
<td>LR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia (Gas)</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Hydrobromic Acid</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Hydrofluoric Acid</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Hydrofluoric Acid</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>LR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfite</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Hydrofluoric Acid</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>LR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Bicarbonate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Hydrogen Peroxide</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Chloride</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Hydrogen Sulfide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Cyanide</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>Iodine</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium fluoride</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Magnesium Carbonate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Hydroxide</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Magnesium Chloride</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Nitrate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Magnesium Hydroxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Sulfate</td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Magnesium Sulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Sulfide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Nickel Sulfide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Trioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Nitric Acid</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aryloxylic alcohols or esters</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Nitric Oxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azoic Acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Nitrogen Oxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azoic Acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Phosphorus (Red)</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boric Acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Phosphorus (White)</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boron Acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Phosphorous Pentoxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromine (Liquid)</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Phosphorus Trichloride</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromine (Water)</td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Phosphorus Trichloride</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium Chloride</td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Phosphorus Trichloride</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium Hydroxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Bromate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium Hydroxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Bromide</td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Nitrate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Chloride</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Sulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Chloride</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Sulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Chlorate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Oxalate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Cyanide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine Dioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dichromate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine Dioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dichromate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine Dioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dichromate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine Dioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dihydrogenide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine Dioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dihydrogenide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine Dioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dihydrogenide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine Oxide (Red)</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dihydrogenide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine Oxide (White)</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dihydrogenide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine Trichloride</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dihydrogenide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium Dioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dihydrogenide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium Dioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dihydrogenide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium Dioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dihydrogenide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium Dioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dihydrogenide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium Dioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dihydrogenide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium Dioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dihydrogenide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium Dioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dihydrogenide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium Dioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dihydrogenide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium Dioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dihydrogenide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium Dioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dihydrogenide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium Dioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dihydrogenide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium Dioxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Potassium Dihydrogenide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### PHASE-2 PVC

**R** — Resistant  **LR** — Limited Resistance  **N** — Not Resistant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Concentration %</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Concentration %</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silver Nitrate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Sodium Peroxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Acetate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Sodium Peroxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Benzoate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Sodium Peroxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Borohydride</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Sodium Sulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Methoxide</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Sodium Sulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Oxalate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Sodium Sulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Chlorate</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Sodium Sulfite</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Hydrosulfate</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Sodium Sulfite</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Hypochlorite</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Sodium Sulfite</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In as much as H & F Manufacturing Corporation’s material has many approved uses, any non-standard use should be tested by the user to determine its suitability. Proper installation techniques must be in accordance with H & F Manufacturing Corporation’s procedures and H & F will not be liable for damages due to improper installation. In accordance with our company’s continual product development, you are advised to check with your H & F supplier to ensure that you have the most up-to-date information.
DESCRIPTION: Poly 75 Series Liquid Rubbers consist of two parts (A & B) that, after mixing, cure at room temperature to flexible rubber. Molds made with Poly 75 Series products are excellent for casting concrete, plaster and wax. In addition, when coated with a proper release agent, Poly 75 Series molds can also be used to cast various resins. Poly 75 Series Liquid Rubbers have been formulated for good economy with high performance and durability.

MODEL PREPARATION: Porous models, such as wood, plaster, stone, pottery or masonry, must be sealed, then coated with a release agent. Multiple coats of paste wax dried and buffed will seal most surfaces. Potter's soap can be used as a sealer for plaster. Lacquer, paint, PVA, and Pol-Ease® 2350 Release Agent also work well as sealers for many surfaces. Models made of sulfur-containing modeling clay (i.e., Roma Plastilina) should be sealed with shellac. [CAUTION: When shellac is used as the sealer, it must be thoroughly coated with release agent because polyurethane rubbers bond tenaciously to shellac. In fact, uncoated shellac may be used to bond polyurethanes to certain surfaces.]

Non-porous models (i.e., metals, plasticene, wax, glazed ceramics, fiberglass, and polyurethanes) and sealed porous models should be coated with a release agent such as Pol-Ease 2300. If there is any question about the compatibility between the liquid mold rubber and the prepared model surface, perform a test cure on an identical surface to determine that complete curing and good release is obtained.

POROUS MODELS must be vented from beneath to prevent trapped air from forming bubbles in the rubber.

MIXING & CURING: Before mixing, be sure that both Parts A and B are at room temperature and that all tools and models are ready to go! Some products set fast — meaning that you must work quickly.

Check mix ratio. Weigh Part B into a clean metal or plastic mixing container and then weigh the appropriate amount of Part A into the same container. Mix thoroughly. Hand mixing with a Poly Paddle is best to avoid mixing air into the rubber. While mixing, scrape the sides and bottom several times to ensure thorough mixing. Pour the rubber as soon after mixing as possible for best flow and air bubble release.

Vacuum degassing helps to provide bubble-free molds but is usually not necessary.

Allow to cure at room temperature, 77°F (25°C). Final cure properties are obtained in about seven days, but molds may be used with care after curing for 24-48 hours. Heat accelerates the cure — low temperatures slow the cure. Avoid curing in areas where the temperature is below 60°F (15°C).

Both Parts A and B react with atmospheric moisture and, therefore, should be resealed or used up as soon as possible after opening. Before resealing, Poly Purge®, a heavier-than-air dry gas, can be sprayed into open containers to displace moist air and extend storage life. For 55-gallon drums of Parts A and B, affix Drierite cartridges on the small bung during dispensing to protect product from moist air entering the drum.

SOFTENING THE RUBBER: Add Poly 74/75 Part C Softener to 75 Series products for a lower viscosity mix and a softer cured rubber. When using Part C, cure time is longer and there is some loss of strength in the rubber and increased tendency to shrink after repeated castings. The quantity of Part C required varies and should be determined through experimentation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix Ratio, By Weight</th>
<th>75-59</th>
<th>75-60</th>
<th>75-65</th>
<th>75-70</th>
<th>75-75</th>
<th>75-79</th>
<th>75-80</th>
<th>75-90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hardness, Shore A</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pour Time (min)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cured Color</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>Gray</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Tan/Brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed Viscosity (cp)</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Volume, mLb</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Total Unit Weight</th>
<th>Containers</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Net Weight (lb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly 75-59, 75-60, 75-65 &amp; 75-70 Mix Ratio 1A:1B</td>
<td>4 lb 1 qt 1 qt</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 lb 1 gal 1 gal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 lb 5 gal 5 gal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900 lb 55 gal 55 gal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly 75-75, 75-79, 75-80 &amp; 75-90 Mix Ratio 2A:1B</td>
<td>6 lb 2x1 qt 1 qt</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 lb 2x1 gal 1 gal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120 lb 2x5 gal 5 gal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,350 lb 2x55 gal 55 gal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACCELERATING THE CURE: Add Poly 74/75 Part X to Poly 75 Series rubbers to accelerate the cure. By adding 1% Part X (by weight of total mix) to Poly 75-80, the working time is reduced to approximately 10 minutes and demolding is possible in as little as 6 hours. Exercise caution when using Part X since the rapid onset of gelling may trap air bubbles on or near the surface of the model.

USING THE MOLD: Usually no release agent is necessary when casting plaster or wax in Poly 75 Series molds. For casting plaster, sponge, dip or spray the mold with Pol-Ease Mold Rinse and then pour plaster on the wet mold to reduce air bubbles in the plaster and aid release. For casting resin, first spray the mold with Pol-Ease 2300 Release Agent. For casting concrete, use an appropriate form release such as Pol-Ease 2650 or 2601. Avoid solvent-containing releases since they can cause mold distortion (i.e., shrinkage or swelling).

ACCESSORIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Net Weight (lb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poly 74/75 Part C Softener</td>
<td>1 pint (1 lb), 1 gal (8 lb), 5 gal (40 lb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly 74/75 Part X Accelerator</td>
<td>1 pint (1 lb), 1 gal (8 lb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pol-Ease® 2200 Release Agent</td>
<td>1 qt (1.5 lb), 5 gal (28 lb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pol-Ease® 2650 Release Agent</td>
<td>1 qt (1.5 lb), 5 gal (28 lb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pol-Ease® 2601 Release Agent</td>
<td>1 qt (1.5 lb), 5 gal (28 lb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pol-Ease® Silicone-Free Release Agent</td>
<td>1 qt (1.5 lb), 5 gal (28 lb), 1 drum (375 lb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pol-Ease® Mold Dressing</td>
<td>5 gal (40 lb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pol-Ease® Mold Rinse</td>
<td>5 gal (40 lb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly PVA Solution (Green or Clear)</td>
<td>1 qt (2 lb), 5 gal (40 lb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly UV Additive</td>
<td>4 oz. 1 pt (1 lb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly Purge® Aerosol Dry Gas</td>
<td>10 oz can, case of 12 cans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After repeated casting with certain resins, plaster and concrete, molds may shrink slightly since these materials extract oils from the mold. The proper selection of release agent and/or barrier coat can minimize this effect. If shrinkage becomes evident, a light application of Pol-Ease Mold Dressing can help to restore the mold to its original dimensions.

Poly 75 Series molds can last many years if stored undistorted on a flat surface in a cool, dry location out of direct sunlight. If occasional outdoor use is required, Poly 75-59, 75-65 and 75-80 perform best and UV resistance can be improved by adding Poly UV Additive. Add 0.5% UV Additive to the total mix weight to reduce the characteristic surface degradation caused by sunlight.

CLEAN UP: Tools should be wiped clean before the rubber cures. Denatured ethanol is a good cleaning solvent, but it must be handled with extreme caution owing to its flammability and health hazards. Work surfaces can be waxed or coated with Pol-Ease 2300 Release Agent so cured rubber can be removed.

SAFETY: Before use, read product labels and Material Safety Data Sheets. Follow safety precautions and directions. Contact with uncured products may cause eye, skin and respiratory irritation and derrmal and/or respiratory sensitization. Avoid contact with skin and eyes. If skin contact occurs, remove with waterless hand cleaner then soap and water. In case of eye contact, flush with water for 15 minutes and call physician. Use only with adequate ventilation. Poly 75 Series products are not to be used where food or body contact may occur. Poly 75 Series products burn readily when ignited.

STORAGE LIFE: At least six months in unopened containers stored at room temperature (60-90°F/15-32°C).

DISCLAIMER: The information in this bulletin and otherwise provided by Polytek® is considered accurate. However, no warranty is expressed or implied regarding the accuracy of the data, the results to be obtained by the use thereof, or that any such use will not infringe any patent. Before using, the user shall determine the suitability of the product for the intended use and user assumes all risk and liability whatsoever in connection therewith.