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Abstract. — The Galactic anticentre region including the Crab nebula has been observed with the COMPTEL \(\gamma\)-ray telescope on the Gamma Ray Observatory. A map of the region in the 1-30 MeV energy range is presented. The total spectrum of the Crab is derived as well as that of the pulsed and unpulsed components. Upper limits on the pulsar Geminga are also presented.

Key words: gamma rays — pulsars: Crab.

1. Introduction.

The imaging Compton telescope COMPTEL is one of four instruments on board the Compton Gamma Ray Observatory satellite launched on April 5, 1991. COMPTEL operates in the 0.8 to 30 MeV range with a field of view of 1 steradian, a position location accuracy for bright sources of about 0.5° and an energy resolution better than 10% FWHM. The instrument is described in Schönfelder et al. (1984); an explanation of the response is given in Diehl et al. (1991).

2. Observations.

The Galactic anticentre was observed on three occasions during the first few months of the GRO mission; the observations used here are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1. Summary of COMPTEL observations of the Anticentre region.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs. 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 'Validation' observation at the start of the mission was used to verify the correct functioning of the instrument for scientific purposes, the region containing the Crab being chosen since this serves as an excellent 'calibration source'.

3. Maximum entropy maps of the anticentre.

The deconvolution of COMPTEL data by the Maximum Entropy Method (MEM) to produce intensity skymaps is described in Strong et al. (1991). The dataspace used had a binning of 1° in the spatial coordinates and 2° in the measured Compton scatter angle \(\phi\). The background was estimated by averaging over the \(\phi\) direction in the 3-D dataspace, and this background is used by the MEM software. As a result of this averaging, smooth extended features are not visible in present MEM image.

Figure 1 shows the image in the 1-30 MeV energy range. Separate images have also been made in the 3 standard sub-ranges 1-3, 3-10 and 10-30 MeV; the Crab source is clearly visible in each case and the position of the maximum has been confirmed to lie in the pixel containing the true position, verifying the directional accuracy of the COMPTEL pointing and the imaging software. No other sources are visible; in particular Geminga (2CG195+4: \(l = 195.1°, b = +4.2°\)) is not seen in any of the energy ranges and only an upper limit on the flux (see Sect. 6) can be set.
4. Total spectrum of the Crab.

The total flux from the Crab in the three standard energy ranges was derived by fitting using a maximum-likelihood method. The instrumental response, particularly the point-spread-function (PSF), is energy-dependent so that derivation of a flux over an energy range requires the assumption of an input energy spectrum; in practice the flux is not very sensitive to the assumed spectrum and a single iteration using the spectrum found from the initial assumption is sufficient to obtain a self-consistent result. The uncertainty in the measured fluxes is at present dominated by our knowledge of the instrument response, and is here conservatively taken to be 35% when plotting the spectra. Future refinements of the PSF model should improve the absolute accuracy.

Table 2. Flux measurements of Crab emission as explained in the text. For each energy range the flux is given in units of $10^{-4}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$. For the measured fluxes the fitted number of counts is also given in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy (MeV)</th>
<th>1-3</th>
<th>3-10</th>
<th>10-30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9.5 (9051)</td>
<td>3.4 (3291)</td>
<td>0.69 (316)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_1$</td>
<td>7.1 (7041)</td>
<td>2.4 (2298)</td>
<td>0.55 (251)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_2$</td>
<td>2.4 (2410)</td>
<td>1.0 (993)</td>
<td>0.15 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_P$</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_N$</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The spectrum is shown in Figure 2 together with results from other experiments. The agreement with HEAO-A4 at low energies and with the extrapolated COS-B results at high energies is excellent. The fluxes in the three ranges are consistent with a power-law; a maximum likelihood fit to a single power law gives $I(E_\gamma) = 1.48 \times 10^{-3} E_\gamma^{2.05}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ MeV$^{-1}$.

5. Spectrum of the pulsar and DC component.

The COMPTEL results for the Crab pulsar $\gamma$-ray light-curve are presented in Bennett et al. (1992). This shows that the pulsed phase interval containing the two peaks is 0.2-0.8. Denoting the 'pulsed' and 'unpulsed' phase fluxes by $I_1$ and $I_2$ respectively and the pulsed phase interval by $f$ ($=0.6$) we have $I_1 = f I_N + I_P$ and $I_2 = (1-f) I_N$ where $I_N$ and $I_P$ are the DC (usually assumed to come from the nebula) and pulsar fluxes respectively. Hence $I_N = I_2/(1-f)$, $I_P = I_1 - I_2 f/(1-f)$. Fitting the events in the pulsed and unpulsed phase intervals with the instrumental response yields $I_1$ and $I_2$; the results are given in Table 2, together with the resulting $I_P$ and $I_N$.

From Table 2, the Crab flux is 35% pulsed over the full 1-30 MeV energy range. Figure 3 shows the spectrum of the Crab pulsar. A maximum likelihood fit to a single power law gives $I(E_\gamma) = 5.5 \times 10^{-4} E_\gamma^{-2.2}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ MeV$^{-1}$. The pulsar spectrum is fully consistent with results from other experiments as shown in Figure 3 and with an extrapolation to the COS-B spectrum given in Clear et al. (1987). For the DC component (Fig. 4) a maximum likelihood fit to a single power law gives $I(E_\gamma) = 9.4 \times 10^{-4} E_\gamma^{-2.0}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ MeV$^{-1}$; this does not appear to confirm a continuation of the steepening to -2.5 above 150 keV reported by Jung (1989) from HEAO-A4 data. The absolute flux at 1 MeV is however quite consistent with the HEAO-A4 spectrum. The COMPTEL data do

The fits to the anticentre region (Sect. 4) included a source at the Geminga pulsar (2CG193+4) position, and this yielded an upper limit to the flux in each energy range. Figure 5 shows our (2σ) upper limits together with the spectrum from Grenier et al. (1991) based on a deconvolution of COS-B data and also upper limits from the SIGMA experiment (Lebrun et al. 1991). The COS-B spectrum falls off steeply below 100 MeV and this is consistent with the non-detection by COMPTEL and SIGMA. One COS-B measurement however did show a power-law increase to lower energies; an extrapolation of this spectrum would be marginally inconsistent with the present limits.
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