Declines in Child Poverty Continue in 2017
Overall Rate Still Above Pre-Recession Level
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The official poverty measure indicates that child poverty declined by 1.1 percentage points between 2016 and 2017, according to analyses of the latest American Community Survey data released today. By 2017, child poverty across the nation was still 0.4 percentage point higher than before the Great Recession. Child poverty remained higher in cities and rural places than in the suburbs. For the first time, rates in cities dipped below the pre-recession level, although poverty is still slightly higher in rural and suburban places than in 2007. The South remains home to the highest child poverty rate, while rates are lowest in the Northeast. Across the nation there is substantial variability both in the child poverty rate and in the way the rate has changed since the Great Recession (see Appendix Table 1 and Map 1). Twenty-five states have child poverty rates that are similar to pre-recession levels, and ten states now have child poverty rates lower than before the recession. It is critical to remember that the official poverty measure is only one measure of economic challenge and many families living above the poverty line still struggle to make ends meet.
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For the first time, rates in cities dipped below the pre-recession level, although poverty is still slightly higher in rural and suburban places than in 2007.

See related publications at carsey.unh.edu

- 2016 Child Poverty Rate Sees Largest Decline Since Before Great Recession (September 2017)
- Gains in Reducing Child Poverty, but Racial-Ethnic Disparities Persist (April 2017)
- Overall Declines in Child Poverty Mask Relatively Stable Rates Across States (September 2016)

This analysis was made possible by funding from the Annie E. Casey Foundation and anonymous donors.