

1-1-1970

# Effects of Copper Sulfate on Certain Algae and Zooplankters in Winnisquam Lake, NH

Phillip J. Sawyer  
*University of New Hampshire*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholars.unh.edu/nh\\_wrrc\\_scholarship](https://scholars.unh.edu/nh_wrrc_scholarship)

---

## Recommended Citation

Sawyer, Phillip J., "Effects of Copper Sulfate on Certain Algae and Zooplankters in Winnisquam Lake, NH" (1970). *NH Water Resources Research Center Scholarship*. 189.  
[https://scholars.unh.edu/nh\\_wrrc\\_scholarship/189](https://scholars.unh.edu/nh_wrrc_scholarship/189)

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the NH Water Resources Research Center at University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in NH Water Resources Research Center Scholarship by an authorized administrator of University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. For more information, please contact [nicole.hentz@unh.edu](mailto:nicole.hentz@unh.edu).

Effects of Copper Sulfate on Certain Algae and Zooplankters  
In Winnisquam Lake, New Hampshire

## CONTENTS

|                                |     |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| ABSTRACT.....                  | iii |
| INTRODUCTION.....              | 1   |
| ALGAL RESPONSE.....            | 5   |
| Abundance and Cell Counts..... | 5   |
| Primary Productivity.....      | 11  |
| ZOOPLANKTER RESPONSE.....      | 15  |
| SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....   | 19  |
| LITERATURE CITED.....          | 20  |

## ABSTRACT

This report describes the effects of copper sulfate ( $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) on certain algal and zooplankton populations in Winnisquam Lake, New Hampshire. The bloom alga was Anabaena circinalis and it was controlled by an application of four pounds of copper sulfate per surface acre. The cell numbers of 27 species of the algal community were recorded before and after copper sulfate treatment. The carbon fixation rate was found to be a more sensitive measure of bloom potential than were cell counts. Copepods and Bosmina longirostris were more resistant to copper sulfate than were Daphnia. After copper sulfate application Bosmina multiplied to a concentration of 154 per liter in the top meter of the water column.

## INTRODUCTION

Copper sulfate has been used since 1904 to control different types of algae (Moore and Kellerman, 1905). Other substances have been tested, but copper sulfate has been the most successful under conditions of both hard and soft water. Much has been written about the toxicity of copper sulfate to different forms of aquatic life (Ellis, 1937; Doudoroff and Katz, 1953), but the effects of the chemical on many invertebrates are not well known (Tarzwell, 1963). As part of an intensive limnological investigation of Winnisquam Lake in central New Hampshire (Figure 1), the impact of copper sulfate treatment on certain lake populations was observed. The purpose of this report is to describe the effects of copper sulfate on certain algae and zooplankters in the lake.

Crews of the New Hampshire Water Supply and Pollution Control Commission routinely treat blue-green algal blooms by dragging burlap bags of copper sulfate behind outboard motorboats. Depending on the algal species involved, crews attempt to distribute three or four pounds of copper sulfate (.025 to .035 ppm  $\text{Cu}^{++}$ ) in the top ten feet of the water column. However, an even distribution of the chemical does not occur for the highest concentration of copper sulfate appears in the upper few feet of the water column (Table 1). Since the highest numbers of troublesome blue-green algae commonly occur in this strata, however, desirable results do arise from the use of this technique, even though it is not a modern application procedure.




Figure 1.--Winnisquam Lake (about 4,264 acres), is located at  $40^{\circ}30'$  N and  $71^{\circ}30'$  W in central New Hampshire. The first application of copper sulfate was made north of "Bear Point"; the second application was made north of the top arrow and spread south to the lower arrow. Map: Courtesy of the New Hampshire Fish and Game Department.

Table 1 -- Concentrations of copper sulfate during and after treatment in Winnisquam Lake.\*

| Depth       | Concentrations of copper sulfate: |                        |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
|             | at treatment time                 | 3-hours post-treatment |
| <u>feet</u> | <u>ppm.</u>                       | <u>ppm.</u>            |
| Surface     | 3.51                              | 0.07                   |
| 20          | 0.01                              | 0.04                   |
| 60          | 0.01                              | 0.03                   |

\*Samples were taken 5-10 feet behind the boat at time of application, and several measurements made on different dates were averaged.

Source: New Hampshire Water Supply and Pollution Control Commission, Concord, New Hampshire

Several circumstances can affect the concentration of copper sulfate at the time of algal control. Some of these factors are water hardness, water temperature, the speed of the boat dragging the copper sulfate-laden bags and the particulate size of the copper sulfate. The most important factor or combination of factors depends upon the immediate local chemistry and physical characteristics of the lake at the time of application. With respect to water hardness, Winnisquam Lake can be classified as "soft" in character, in that phenolphthalein alkalinity was normally not detectable; the methyl orange alkalinity ranged from 5.0 to 11.0 ppm; and total dissolved solids measured from 55 to 65 ppm as calcium carbonate ( $\text{CaCO}_3$ ) during the sampling period.

Unfortunately, actual measurement of copper sulfate concentration in the water during and after the treatment period studied was not made. However, biologists employed by the New Hampshire Water Supply and Pollution Control Commission have assayed for copper sulfate at various times during past treatments of the lake (Table 1). These data provide a measure of copper sulfate concentrations found five to ten feet behind the motorboat on Winnisquam Lake after treatments using the technique described.

Our study placed particular emphasis on phytoplankton composition and the number of algal cells present before and after treatment. Whenever algal samples were taken, measurement of primary productivity was made. Numbers of zooplankters present were recorded less systematically.



## ALGAL RESPONSE

### Abundance and Cell Counts

Under favorable ecological conditions, troublesome blue-green algal species may triple their numbers in one to two days (Monie, 1946). Knowing this, biologists of the New Hampshire Water Supply and Pollution Control Commission monitor Winnisquam Lake carefully during the summer, for it has a history of algal blooms. In early August, 1965, their biologists observed that the blue-green alga Anabaena circinalis was becoming abundant.

Our first sample of the algal population was taken on August 12, 1965 at the 1-, 3-, 5-, and 10-meter levels with an opaque water bottle. The algae were immediately preserved by the addition of acid Lugol's solution to aliquots of the sample water (Edmonson, 1959). Total algal cell counts at each sampling depth were made from one milliliter of unconcentrated water at 200x using an inverted microscope (Lund, Kipling and Le Cren, 1958). This first sample yielded a total of 17,653 A. circinalis cells at the four water column depths.

The New Hampshire Water Supply and Pollution Control Commission treated the lake for the first time during the study period on August 13. Copper sulfate was applied on 200 acres at the rate of three pounds per acre. On August 14 and 15, visual and microscopic examination of the lake water by biologists experienced in algal control indicated that the first application had not been successful (See Bartsch, 1954 and Mackenthun et al., 1964 for symptoms of successful algal treatment).

There were 17,562 cells of A. circinalis counted on August 16 at the depths sampled (Table 2). The population level was nearly identical to that before treatment. The copper sulfate applied three days earlier may have had some effect on the A. circinalis, but it did not destroy the bloom. Regardless of the cell number, Table 3 indicates a large increase in carbon uptake at depths sampled on August 16 over uptake assayed on August 12. This increased activity is a more significant indication of the physiological state of the algal population than cell numbers. Any effects of the initial application of copper sulfate were gone, and algal growth was rapidly proceeding again.

A second treatment of the lake using four pounds of copper sulfate per acre was begun on August 17 and completed on August 18. A total of about 1,525 acres were treated with 5,900 pounds of copper sulfate. The area treated is shown in Figure 1.

The second treatment decreased the A. circinalis population at sampled depths from over 17,000 cells on August 16 to 790 cells on August 24 (Table 2). This drastic reduction in numbers eliminated A. circinalis from the nuisance class so that the treatment of the bloom was considered successful. The species did not become dominant again that fall.

Synura uvella decreased in numbers after the first treatment (Table 2), but this decline is believed to be from causes other than copper. This is supported by the fact that after the second heavier application of copper sulfate on August 16 the species increased in numbers. Palmer (1964) reported that Synura and Dinobryon were both eliminated in the Scioto River, Ohio by 1.8 ppm copper sulfate. Concentration of copper sulfate in the bloom control effort undertaken on Winnisquam Lake probably never reached that level, particularly at the 10-meter depth where Synura was first found (Table 1). Synura responded to the treatment by increasing in numbers, and by August 28 it was present at all sampling levels.

The Dinobryon population dropped precipitously after the initial treatment and the species was not found again in the samples counted until August 20 (Figure 2). Dinobryon reappeared in Lake Winnisquam suddenly after August 24, and became dominant four days later. Dinobryon appeared to be the most susceptible phytoplankter present at treatment time, but its dramatic reappearance within ten days is a good example of the resiliency of a species when environmental conditions are proper for its growth.

Table 2 -- Major species enumerated and number of cells counted at the 1, 3, 5, and 10 meter levels, Winnisquam Lake, 1965.\*

| Species                       | Depth<br>meters | Total cells on:   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                               |                 | Aug. 12<br>no./ml | Aug. 16<br>no./ml | Aug. 20<br>no./ml | Aug. 24<br>no./ml | Aug. 28<br>no./ml |
| <u>Cyanophyta:</u>            |                 |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| <u>Anabaena circinalis</u>    | 1               | 9,800             | 15,430            | 1,088             | 259               | 309               |
|                               | 3               | 6,045             | 1,382             | 944               | 254               | 215               |
|                               | 5               | 1,764             | 720               | 395               | 220               | 558               |
|                               | 10              | 42                | 30                | 77                | 57                | 93                |
| <u>Oscillatoria limnetica</u> | 1               | --                | --                | --                | 393               | 48                |
|                               | 3               | --                | --                | --                | 540               | 101               |
|                               | 5               | --                | --                | 27                | 683               | 42                |
|                               | 10              | --                | --                | 17                | 38                | 119               |
| <u>Chrysophyta:</u>           |                 |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| <u>Dinobryon</u>              | 1               | --                | --                | --                | --                | 989               |
|                               | 3               | --                | --                | 6                 | --                | 784               |
|                               | 5               | 94                | --                | --                | --                | 200               |
|                               | 10              | --                | --                | 9                 | --                | --                |
| <u>Synura uvella</u>          | 1               | --                | --                | --                | --                | 584               |
|                               | 3               | --                | --                | --                | --                | 260               |
|                               | 5               | --                | 48                | --                | --                | 48                |
|                               | 10              | 3,012             | 432               | 1,473             | 1,316             | 928               |
| <u>Chlorophyta:</u>           |                 |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| <u>Dictyosphaerium</u>        | 1               | 105               | 65                | --                | 75                | 60                |
|                               | 3               | 221               | --                | 123               | --                | --                |
|                               | 5               | 167               | 110               | 70                | --                | 71                |
|                               | 10              | 50                | 30                | --                | --                | 40                |

\*A summary of all species and total cells in the water column is given in Appendix Table 6.  
 Note: Copper sulfate treatment dates were August 13, 17, and 18.

The reaction of Oscillatoria limnetica suggests that this species reacted to the changes in populations of other species, and responded to new ecological opportunities, rather than being directly affected by the copper sulfate (Figure 2). The species rose from obscurity in eight days, including two treatment days, to a level of 1,650 cells/ml at depths sampled. This rapid multiplication could have occurred in response to nutrients released by mineralization of cells killed by the copper sulfate, because of decreased competition, or because both conditions acted synergistically. At any rate, the high cell count was not found until after the copper sulfate treatment. Interestingly, this blue-green alga, when present in concentration, produces a translucent lime-green color in the water that does not seem to be objectionable to recreationists.

In terms of cell counts, populations of some algal species such as Dictyosphaerium, Fragilaria and Asterionella appeared to be unaffected by the copper sulfate treatments (Figure 2).




Figure 2.--The numerical relationship of the 6 most abundant algal species in Winnisquam Lake, August 1965. The lower 3 arrows indicate dates of copper sulfate applications. The upper arrow marks the division between "unacceptable" and "acceptable" lake appearance.

## Primary Productivity

Primary productivity was measured concurrently with algal counts at similar depths by the carbon-14 method (Steeman-Neilson, 1952). Samples were incubated for four hours between 10:00 - 10:15 am and 2:00 - 2:15 pm at the depths from which they were collected. Primary productivity is shown in Figure 2.

Pyrheliometer data (that measures solar energy) were not available during the dates involved, so the primary productivity measurements are expressed in terms of milligrams of carbon per cubic meter (mgs. C/m<sup>3</sup>) fixed during the four-hour incubation period used. However, weather reports that included sky conditions were available from nearby Laconia Airport. This information is included in Table 3, using the terminology of the meteorologist. Ample sunlight appears to have been available for photosynthesis on all days except August 28.

Carbon fixation continued to increase through and after the first treatment date. On August 16, three days after the first treatment, the carbon-14 technique showed that metabolic activity had increased over 100 percent at every sample depth except ten meters (Table 3). These data reinforce the decision to treat the lake a second time; a decision that the Water Supply and Pollution Control Commission biologists made based on their experience with the visual characteristics of successful algal control. Primary productivity was reduced two days after completion of the second copper sulfate treatment. However, four days later on August 24, the carbon fixation rate had increased at each level measured (Table 3). More species were present on August 24 (Table 2) than previously, although the number of cells was almost the same as on August 20.

Table 3 -- Primary productivity in mgs C/M<sup>3</sup> over a 4-hour incubation period at various depths, Winnisquam Lake, 1965.

| Depth         | Date and weather conditions |                                   |                            |                            |                            |                                |
|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
|               | August 12:<br>clear         | August 14:<br>scattered<br>clouds | August 16:<br>clear        | August 20:<br>clear        | August 24:<br>clear        | August 28:<br>broken<br>clouds |
| <u>meters</u> | <u>mgs C/M<sup>3</sup></u>  | <u>mgs C/M<sup>3</sup></u>        | <u>mgs C/M<sup>3</sup></u> | <u>mgs C/M<sup>3</sup></u> | <u>mgs C/M<sup>3</sup></u> | <u>mgs C/M<sup>3</sup></u>     |
| 1             | 12.4                        | 33.0                              | 66.4                       | 15.0                       | 59.6                       | 40.5                           |
| 2             | 21.5                        | 15.9                              | 52.4                       | 17.8                       | 62.5                       | 39.4                           |
| 3             | 26.0                        | 24.5                              | 52.4                       | 12.6                       | 55.3                       | 34.3                           |
| 4             | 14.0                        | 11.1                              | 29.0                       | 6.5                        | 34.1                       | 22.6                           |
| 5             | 6.0                         | 8.3                               | 14.2                       | 3.6                        | 19.1                       | 12.3                           |
| 10            | 2.8                         | 1.9                               | 0.5                        | 0.2                        | 0.6                        | 0.5                            |

Note: Copper sulfate treatment was performed on August 13, 17, and 18.



The use of primary productivity as a measure of the copper sulfate treatment indicated that the depressing effects of the treatment on algal activity had disappeared in five or six days. The rise to dominance of species previously suppressed, and the rapid recovery of some of the copper-susceptible species, maintained the carbon fixation level of the new community (Figure 2). It appeared that the primary effects of the copper sulfate treatment (that is, death of cells) was over in this instance within four to six days. Apparently the copper sulfate had been diluted or inactivated in some way so that its concentration dropped below the toxic level. The secondary effect of the copper sulfate (that is, change in species composition) continued for a longer period after treatment.

It is difficult to decide at what point the effects of the copper sulfate were no longer responsible for the algal composition. A new ratio of cell numbers was formed by species present after treatment and species suppressed in the pre-treatment complex. New facets of competition within the new community were brought about but were not measured in this study.

The post-treatment algal community was also affected by changes in the zooplankton population (Table 4). The tremendous increase in Bosmina between the pre-treatment period and August 27 was the most spectacular numerical difference noted, but other species of zooplankton changed in numbers as well.

Table 4 -- Average numbers of zooplankters at various depths, pre- and post-treatment dates\*, Winnisquam Lake, 1965.

| Depth         | Type of zooplankter: |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|---------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|               | <u>Copepoda**</u>    |                  | <u>Daphnia</u>   |                  | <u>Bosmina</u>   |                  |
|               | Pre-treatment        | Post-treatment   | Pre-treatment    | Post-treatment   | Pre-treatment    | Post-treatment   |
| <u>meters</u> | <u>no./liter</u>     | <u>no./liter</u> | <u>no./liter</u> | <u>no./liter</u> | <u>no./liter</u> | <u>no./liter</u> |
| 1             | 3.5                  | 8.1              | 1.5              | 6.5              | 6.5              | 67.6             |
| 2             | 5.0                  | 13.8             | 11.9             | 0.8              | 3.6              | 28.4             |
| 3             | 6.2                  | 21.1             | 22.8             | 1.1              | 3.5              | 34.3             |
| 4             | 5.7                  | 16.8             | 16.4             | 1.6              | 2.1              | 30.2             |
| 5             | 3.3                  | 22.2             | 13.3             | 1.0              | 1.7              | 22.1             |
| 10            | 4.7                  | 6.3              | 1.5              | 0.3              | 1.7              | 10.6             |
| Totals        | 28.4                 | 88.3             | 67.4             | 11.3             | 19.1             | 193.2            |

\*Pre-treatment dates: July 28, August 4, and August 9.  
 Post-treatment dates: August 20, 24, and 27.

\*\*Adult copepod numbers are probably low, for there is a distinct possibility some adults could have avoided the water bottle lowered for collecting..

## ZOOPLANKTER RESPONSE

Zooplankters were sampled at the same depths as the algae by filtration of 6.6 liters of water (three water bottles full) through a number 20 plankton net. Zooplankton was preserved in five percent formalin, and total counts were made using a dissecting microscope at 45x.

Copepods and Bosmina longirostris were more resistant to the copper ion than were Daphnia (mainly D. galeata mendotae) (Table 4). Anderson (1948) pointed out that immediately after moulting, the new body covering of Daphnia is soft and more permeable than the old, thereby permitting substances to enter. In addition, Daphnia magna increases in length by 26 percent at the first moult. This increase doubles the volume of the animal, and various substances in the environment enter the animal at a greater rate (Anderson, 1932). Daphnia magna was not present in Winnisquam Lake, but it seems reasonable that D. g. mendotae would react similarly to D. magna. At any rate, the number of Daphnia decreased about 600 percent after the copper sulfate treatment (Table 3). Fisher (1956) reported that in some fish ponds treated with copper sulfate, Daphnia, Chironomidae and Cyclops succumbed in that order. Effects on Chironomidae are unknown in Winnisquam Lake, but the Daphnia and copepods responded similarly to those in the ponds studied by Fisher.

Copepods cease to moult when mature (Anderson, 1932) and the hardened exoskeleton of the adults apparently aids them in resisting the effects of copper sulfate. In Winnisquam Lake the copepod population approximately tripled between pre- and post-treatment as shown in Table 5. Adults doubled in numbers while the immature increased over five times at the depths sampled. The copepod population shifted from one dominated by the adult form before treatment to a population after treatment with an age pyramid approaching youthful domination (Odum, 1959).

Table 5 -- Average numbers of copepods (adult and nauplii) at various depths, pre- and post-treatment dates\*, Winnisquam Lake, 1965.

| Depth         | Age class of copepod: |                  |                  |                  |
|---------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|               | Adults**              |                  | Nauplii          |                  |
|               | Pre-treatment         | Post-treatment   | Pre-treatment    | Post-treatment   |
| <u>meters</u> | <u>no./liter</u>      | <u>no./liter</u> | <u>no./liter</u> | <u>no./liter</u> |
| 1             | 2.4                   | 3.7              | 1.1              | 3.7              |
| 2             | 3.4                   | 7.9              | 1.6              | 5.9              |
| 3             | 5.1                   | 9.1              | 1.1              | 11.9             |
| 4             | 4.6                   | 9.1              | 1.2              | 7.8              |
| 5             | 2.4                   | 8.7              | 0.9              | 13.5             |
| 10            | 1.9                   | 2.3              | 2.2              | 4.0              |
| Totals        | 19.8                  | 40.8             | 8.1              | 46.8             |

\*Pre-treatment dates: July 28, August 4, and August 9.

Post-treatment dates: August 20, 24, and 27.

\*\*Adult copepod numbers are probably low, for there is a distinct possibility some adults could have avoided the water bottle lowered for collecting.

Bosmina longirostris rose from third position (numerically) during the treatment period to dominance of the zooplankton population during the post-treatment sampling (Table 4). Between August 24 and August 27 the numbers of Bosmina rose from 29 to 154/liter at one meter of depth. There are several possible reasons for this increase.

Gallup (1969) has evidence that the primary foods of Bosmina are bacteria and finely divided detritus. Although they were not counted, it can be assumed that the numbers of bacteria would rise rapidly in response to the destruction of millions of algal cells by the copper sulfate treatment. In addition, as the algal cells were mineralized by the bacteria, finely divided detritus would be abundant in the water. These events would provide an excellent supply of food upon which Bosmina could thrive.

Furthermore, Brooks and Dodson (1965) have shown that predation by fishes can selectively remove the larger zooplankters from a lake. When this occurs, the smaller zooplankter, Bosmina longirostris, becomes the dominant cladoceran. It is possible that in Winnisquam Lake the copper sulfate acted selectively, as did the aforementioned predation by fishes, to release the Bosmina from the competitive feeding activity of the larger Daphnia and allowed Bosmina to ascend to dominance rapidly (Table 4).

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In a relatively unbuffered lake such as Winnisquam the control of a cyanophycean bloom can be accomplished with relatively small amounts of copper sulfate (that is, four pounds per acre). The data on algal species present before, during, and after the addition of copper sulfate indicate that a rapid shift in species relationships occurred. Susceptible species decreased in numbers; other forms responded by increasing their population; and some species appeared to be unaffected by the copper sulfate treatment. The latter group may have been protected by natural resistance or by their position in the water column.

Zooplankton reacted to the copper treatment in various ways. The effects on microcrustaceans such as copepods and daphnids seemed to follow a pattern that might have been predicted from the works of Anderson (1932, 1948) and Fisher (1956). Bosmina reacted in a manner that indicated living conditions had been greatly improved for them. No attempt was made to ascertain the impact of the copper sulfate on the benthos, fishes, or other animals such as rotifers and protozoans that may have been in the plankton.

The rise and fall of the numbers of the various species of plants and animals described, and their very rapid response to the new conditions after copper sulfate treatment, illustrate the complexities of the relationships among all these organisms. However, the crude treatment with copper sulfate of so many unknowns did produce the desired control of an obnoxious blue-green alga.

## LITERATURE CITED

Anderson, Bertil G.

1932. The number of pre-adult instars, growth, and variation in Daphnia magna. Biol. Bul. 36: 81-98.

1948. The apparent thresholds of toxicity to Daphnia magna for chlorides of various metals when added to Lake Erie water. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 48: 96-113.

Bartsch, A. F.

1954. Practical methods for control of algae and water weeds. U. S. Dept. H.E.&W. Pub. Health Repts. 69(8): 749-757.

Brooks, John L. and Stanley I. Dodson.

1965. Predation, body size, and composition of plankton. Science 150: 28-35.

Doudoroff, Peter and Max Katz.

1953. Critical review of literature on the toxicity of industrial wastes and their components to fish, II. The metals, as salts. Sewage and Indust. Wastes 25(7): 802-839.

Edmonson, W. T. (Ed.)

1959. Fresh-water biology. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., N.Y. 1248 p.

Ellis, M. M.

1937. Detection and measurement of stream pollution. U. S. Bur. Fisheries 48: 365-437.

Fisher, H.

1956. The effect of copper sulfate on some microorganisms in fish ponds. Bamidgeh 8(3): 39-43.

Gallup, Donald N.

1969. Zooplankton distribution and zooplankton-phytoplankton relationships in a mesotrophic lake. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Zool., Univ. of N.H., Durham, N.H.

Lund, J. W., C. Kipling, and E. D. LeCren.

1958. The inverted microscope method of estimating algal numbers and the statistical basis of estimations by counting. Hydrobiologia 11: 143-170.

MacKenthun, K. M., W. M. Ingram, and R. Porges.

1964. Limnological aspects of recreational lakes. U. S. Dept. H.E.&W. Pub. Health Ser. Publ. 1167.



Monie, William D.

1946. Pre-determining effective dosage of copper sulfate in algae control. *Water and Sewage Works* 93(5): 173-176.

Moore, G. T. and K. F. Kellerman.

1905. Copper as an algicide and disinfectant in water supplies. *U. S. Bur. Plant Indus. Bul.* 56, 55 p.

Odum, Eugene P.

1959. *Fundamentals of ecology* (second edition). Saunders Co., Philadelphia, Pa. 546 p.

Palmer, C. Mervin.

1964. Algae in water supplies of the United States, p. 239-261. In Algae and man, D. F. Jackson, (Ed.) Plenum Press, N.Y. 434 p.

Steeman - Neilsen, E.

1952. The use of radioactive carbon ( $C^{14}$ ) for measuring organic production in the sea. *Jour. Cons. Int. Explor. Mer.* 18: 117-140.

Tarzwell, Clarence M.

1963. Sanitary limnology, p. 653-666. In Limnology in North America, David G. Frey, (Ed.) U. of Wisc. Press, Madison, Wisc. 734 p.

APPENDIX:

Summary of All Species and Cell Counts

Table 6 -- Summary of all species and total number of cells counted in the water column (1-10 meters), Winnisquam Lake, 1965.

| Species                          | Total cells on:   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                                  | Aug. 12<br>no./ml | Aug. 16<br>no./ml | Aug. 20<br>no./ml | Aug. 24<br>no./ml | Aug. 28<br>no./ml |
| <u>Chlorophyta:</u>              |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| <u>Ankistrodesmus falcatus</u>   | 14                | -                 | -                 | 6                 | 2                 |
| <u>Ankistrodesmus convolutus</u> | -                 | -                 | -                 | 1                 | -                 |
| <u>Cosmarium</u>                 | -                 | 1                 | -                 | 1                 | -                 |
| <u>Dictyosphaerium</u>           | 543               | 205               | 193               | 75                | 171               |
| <u>Eudorina elegans</u>          | 96                | 48                | -                 | 36                | -                 |
| <u>Franceia</u>                  | -                 | -                 | -                 | 4                 | -                 |
| <u>Kirchneriella lunaris</u>     | -                 | -                 | 6                 | 73                | -                 |
| <u>Mougeotia</u>                 | 53                | 9                 | -                 | -                 | -                 |
| <u>Oocystis</u>                  | -                 | -                 | -                 | 4                 | -                 |
| <u>Pediastrum duplex</u>         | -                 | -                 | -                 | 22                | -                 |
| <u>Quadrigula</u>                | -                 | -                 | -                 | -                 | 24                |
| <u>Scenedesmus bijuga</u>        | -                 | -                 | -                 | 4                 | -                 |
| <u>Scenedesmus incrassatus</u>   | 4                 | -                 | -                 | 4                 | -                 |
| <u>Sorastrum spinulosum</u>      | -                 | -                 | -                 | 6                 | -                 |
| <u>Sphaerocystis</u>             | -                 | 92                | 52                | 111               | 646               |
| <u>Staurastrum</u>               | 2                 | 1                 | -                 | 4                 | 13                |
| <hr/>                            |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| <u>Chrysophyta:</u>              |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| <u>Asterionella</u>              | 178               | 53                | 31                | 35                | 29                |
| <u>Dinobryon</u>                 | 94                | -                 | 15                | -                 | 1,973             |
| <u>Fragilaria</u>                | 230               | 108               | 68                | 28                | 139               |
| <u>Mallomonas</u>                | 5                 | 4                 | -                 | 32                | -                 |
| <u>Melosira</u>                  | 15                | 35                | 89                | 7                 | 28                |
| <u>Synura uvella</u>             | 3,012             | 480               | 1,473             | 1,316             | 1,820             |
| <u>Tabellaria</u>                | 2                 | -                 | -                 | -                 | 4                 |
| <hr/>                            |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| <u>Cryptophyceae:</u>            |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| <u>Cryptomonas</u>               | 82                | 189               | 43                | 329               | 143               |

Table 6 (Continued) -- Summary of all species and total number of cells counted in the water column (1-10 meters), Winnisquam Lake, 1965.

| Species                       | Total cells on:   |                   |                   |                   |                   |       |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|
|                               | Aug. 12<br>no./ml | Aug. 16<br>no./ml | Aug. 20<br>no./ml | Aug. 24<br>no./ml | Aug. 28<br>no./ml |       |
| <u>Cyanophyta:</u>            |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |       |
| <u>Anabaena circinalis</u>    | 17,651            | 17,562            | 2,504             | 790               | 1,175             |       |
| <u>Oscillatoria limnetica</u> | -                 | -                 | 44                | 1,654             | 310               |       |
| <hr/>                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |       |
| <u>Pyrrophyta:</u>            |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |       |
| <u>Ceratium hirundinella</u>  | -                 | -                 | -                 | -                 | 8                 |       |
| Total                         | cells             | 21,981            | 18,787            | 4,518             | 4,542             | 6,485 |
|                               | species present   | 15                | 13                | 11                | 22                | 15    |

Note: Copper sulfate treatment dates were August 13, 17, and 18.