University of New Hampshire

University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository

University Library Scholarship

University Library

9-23-2009

Copyright Problems and Access Control in the Digital **Environment**

Eleta Exline University of New Hampshire - Main Campus

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholars.unh.edu/library_pub



Part of the Scholarly Communication Commons

Recommended Citation

Exline, Eleta, "Copyright Problems and Access Control in the Digital Environment" (2009). University Library Scholarship. 64.

https://scholars.unh.edu/library_pub/64

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the University Library at University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in University Library Scholarship by an authorized administrator of University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. For more information, please contact Scholarly.Communication@unh.edu.

NOTE: The information contained in this presentation is out of date and does not represent current community practice, nor should it be construed as legal advice.

Copyright Problems and Access Control in the Digital Environment

Eleta Exline
Digital Collections Librarian
University of New Hampshire



Q: We have a book from 1935. It has a copyright notice ©, but it's currently out of print. Can we digitize it?

A: Maybe.

 Works published in the US between 1923 and 1963 were protected by copyright if they included a copyright notice. The copyright must have been renewed within 28 years to continue this protection.

- Options:
- Research the renewal status of the work.
 - Stanford Copyright Renewal Database

If the work was not renewed, it is in the public domain.

If the work was renewed, you can attempt to contact the owner.

 Orphaned works – copyrighted works for which it is difficult or impossible to locate the copyright holder.

 Current orphaned works legislation would limit remediation to copyright holders for use of their works, but is opposed by visual artists because the visual works registry database.

Q: A recently acquired collection of personal papers contains a professional photograph from 1890. We have permission to digitized the papers – can we scan the photo too?

A: Maybe.

- Copyright can only be transferred by the actual copyright owner, so the photograph is probably not part of the agreement.
- If the photograph is unpublished, the copyright lasts until 70 years after the creator's death.

Options:

- Attempt to find a death date for the photographer.
- If you are unable to determine the date of death, it is usually reasonable to assume that the work is in the public domain after 120 years.

Q: We'd like to digitized a run of newspapers from the 1880s through the 1940s. We have permission from the publisher – is that enough?

A: Maybe.

Work done by newspaper staffers is work for hire, and therefore copyrighted by the publisher.

Work from freelance writers and photographers or syndicated work may be copyrighted by others.

Options:

Ask the publisher about previous policies — did they hire freelancers, and did they use a standard agreement for such work?

Check the papers closely for copyright notices from individual authors.

Contact syndicating agencies for additional permission.

Q: We're starting a project digitize or theses and dissertations. Are pre-1923 works fair game? How about pre-1989 work w/o copyright registration?

A: Maybe.

- If theses and dissertations are available for lending to the public, they might technically be considered published.
- However, most schools treat these works as unpublished and seek permission from authors.
- Distribution by Proquest is generally considered a form of publication.

Options:

- Digitize while seeking permission release those for which you get permission, embargo the rest.
- Use alumni groups to get the word out.
- Limiting access to the library or campus community is a safe option that approximates browsing and lending of paper copies.

Q: We make back-up copies of CDs for preservation, but we don't distribute them. This is allowed under the Section 108 Library exceptions, right?

A: Maybe.

- Section 108 allows libraries and archives to make up to 3 copies of a work for preservation purposes.
- However, the DMCA of 1998 prohibits the circumvention of access control measures except in certain circumstances.

Options:

- Copy only those discs that don't have built-in access-control measures.
- Make certain that the public is not able to access these files and that they are stored in a secure location.

Independent Copyright Committee

 Charged with reviewing section 108 exceptions for libraries and archives.

 Committee has stakeholders from library, publishing, and authors groups.

Independent Copyright Committee

- Released recommendations in March 2008.
 - Include museums in exceptions
 - Change 3 copies to a limited number of necessary copies
 - Add fragility to the list of reasons to create a replacement copy
 - Outlines qualifications for digital preservation environment
 - Limited lending of digital copy of unpublished works allowed if analog copy is lendable
 - Allow capture of online content
 - No recommendation on anti-circumvention

Things is remember

- Whenever possible, get rights and permissions in accession agreements.
- Only the copyright holder can transfer or assign rights to someone else.
- Works published before 1989 may be in the public domain if not copyrighted upon publication or shortly after.
- If you digitize and display orphaned works or works of unknown status, be prepared to "take down" promptly. Have and post a policy for this.
- Publication means distributed to the general public by sale, rental, lease, or lending.

Access and Control

Simultaneously providing access to and controlling access to collections in a digital networked environment is difficult.

Controlling unauthorized reuse is nearly impossible – what can be seen can be copied.

Access and Control

Managed access

Informed access

- Use of roles, groups, and policies to control access to resources by patron category
- Limiting access by physical location or network location (IP address)

- Authentication using policies works best in a larger institution with well defined member roles.
- Does not require users to be on the same network.
- Requires implementation of a sophisticated access management system (IT staff).

- Limiting access by IP arrange works best in an institutional setting with a well defined geographic and network boundaries.
- Can be applied at the server level (requires some IT know how, but not a team)

- Limiting digital access by physical location works well for a smaller institution's users who are willing to visit in person.
- Analogous to using physical collection, but with opportunities for search based retrieval and less handing of originals.
- Low tech requirement can be done on a small network or even a single nonnetworked computer.

Informed Access

 Displaying copyright status and access restrictions language alongside the digital object, such as in a metadata record or caption.

Informed Access

- Clearly identifies the rights holder and provides a means of contact for permissions requests
- Educates users about their rights and responsibilities
- Legal language is readily available (Creative Commons)
- Will not deter unscrupulous users from using materials illegally

- Making download of images difficult or undesirable:
 - Disabling download features
 - Watermarking
 - Delivering low resolution images

- Disabling downloading (right clicking)
 - Works differently on different browsers
 - Only a mild deterrent users can easily circumvent by taking a screenshot.

- Watermarking
 - Only a deterrent if the image is sufficiently defaced.
 - Prevents legitimate fair use of the image
 - Requires maintenance of an extra copy of the image.



Watermarking Example

- Delivering low resolution images
 - Only prevents some kinds of use
 - Best used in conjunction with rights metadata so that users can request higher resolution images for legitimate uses.

- Protected PDF documents
 - Doesn't prevent coping or exchanging documents
 - Does prevent coping or editing text within a document
 - A good choice for official documents

Things to remember

- Preventing legitimate fair use is a dubious goal
- Pushing responsibility for determining copyright status to the user does not release the institution from liability
- If you allow users to request high resolution images, have a reliable mechanism for delivery

Favorite Resources

- http://copyright.cornell.edu/resources/publicdomain.cfm
- http://collections.stanford.edu/copyrightrenewals/bin/page? forward=home
- http://librarycopyright.net/digitalslider/
- http://fairuse.stanford.edu/Copyright_and_Fair_Use_Overview/
- http://voirdire.stanford.edu/program/centers/cis/fairuse/ Fair(y) Use Tale Stanford Cut-stream.mp4
- http://copyright.columbia.edu/copyright/
- http://ecommons.library.cornell.edu/handle/1813/14142
 NEW

Questions?

eleta.exline@unh.edu

