1-1-2010

Observations and recommendations (biennial report), Dorrs Pond, Manchester

New Hampshire Department of Enviromental Services

OBSERVATIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

After reviewing data collected from **Dorrs Pond, Manchester,** the program coordinators have made the following observations and recommendations.

Thank you for your continued hard work sampling the pond this year! Your monitoring group sampled the deep spot **three** times this year and has done so for many years! As you know, conducting multiple sampling events each year enables DES to more accurately detect water quality changes. Keep up the good work!

FIGURE INTERPRETATION

CHLOROPHYLL-A

- **Figure 1 and Table 1:** Figure 1 in Appendix A depicts the historical and current year chlorophyll-a concentration in the water column. Table 1 in Appendix B lists the minimum, maximum, and mean concentration for each year that the pond has been monitored through VLAP.

  Chlorophyll-a, a pigment found in plants, is an indicator of the algal abundance. Algae (also known as phytoplankton) are typically microscopic, chlorophyll producing plants that naturally occur in lake ecosystems. The chlorophyll-a concentration measured in the water gives biologists an estimation of the algal concentration or lake productivity. **The median summer chlorophyll-a concentration for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 4.58 mg/m^3.**

  The current year data (the top graph) show that the chlorophyll-a concentration ***decreased greatly*** from **June** to **July**, and then ***remained stable*** from **July** to **August**.

  The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the **2010** chlorophyll-a mean is ***much greater than*** the state and similar lake medians. For more information on the similar lake median, refer to Appendix F.

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data (the bottom graph) shows that the mean annual chlorophyll-a concentration has ***not significantly changed*** since monitoring began. Specifically, the mean annual chlorophyll-a concentration has ***fluctuated between approximately 8.34 and 33.18 mg/m³,*** but has ***not continually increased or decreased*** since **2000**. Please refer to Appendix E for a detailed statistical analysis explanation and data print-out.

While algae are naturally present in all lakes and ponds, an excessive or increasing amount of any type is not welcomed. In freshwater lakes and ponds, phosphorus is the nutrient that algae typically depend upon for growth in New Hampshire lakes. Algal concentrations may increase as nonpoint sources of phosphorus from the watershed increase, or as in-lake phosphorus sources increase. Therefore, it is extremely important for volunteer monitors to continually educate all watershed residents about management practices that can be implemented to minimize phosphorus loading to surface waters.

TRANSPARENCY

- **Figure 2 and Tables 3a and 3b:** Figure 2 in Appendix A shows the historical and current year data for transparency with and without the use of a viewscope. Table 3a in Appendix B lists the minimum, maximum and mean transparency data without the use of a viewscope and Table 3b lists the minimum, maximum and mean transparency data with the use of a viewscope for each year that the pond has been monitored through VLAP.

Volunteer monitors use the Secchi disk, a 20 cm disk with alternating black and white quadrants, to measure how far a person can see into the water. Transparency, a measure of water clarity, can be affected by the amount of algae and sediment in the water, as well as the natural lake color of the water. **The median summer transparency for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 3.2 meters.**

The current year data (the top graph) show that the non-viewscope in-lake transparency ***increased*** from **June** to **August**.

It is important to note that as the chlorophyll concentration ***decreased*** at the deep spot as the summer progressed, the transparency ***increased***. We typically expect this ***inverse*** relationship in lakes. As the amount of algal cells in the water ***increases***, the depth to which one can see into the water column typically ***decreases*** and vice versa.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the **2010** mean non-viewscope transparency is ***much less than*** the state median and is ***slightly less than*** the similar lake median. Please refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median.

The current year data (the top graph) show that the viewscope in-lake transparency ***increased*** from **June** to **August**. The transparency measured with the viewscope was generally ***greater than*** the transparency measured without the viewscope this summer. A comparison of the transparency readings taken with and without the use of a viewscope shows that the viewscope typically increases the depth to which the Secchi disk can be seen into the lake, particularly on sunny and windy days. We recommend that your group measure Secchi disk transparency with and without the viewscope on each sampling event.

It is important to note that viewscope transparency data are not compared to a New Hampshire median or similar lake median. This is because lake transparency with the use of a viewscope has not been historically measured by DES. At some point in the future, the New Hampshire and similar lake medians for viewscope transparency will be calculated and added to the appropriate graphs.

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data (the bottom graph) shows that the mean annual in-lake non-viewscope transparency has ***not significantly changed*** (either *increased* or *decreased*) since monitoring began. Specifically, the in-lake transparency has remained ***relatively stable, ranging between approximately 1.10 and 2.00 meters*** since **2000**. Please refer to Appendix E for the statistical analysis explanation and data print-out.

Typically, high intensity rainfall causes sediment-laden stormwater runoff to flow into surface waters, thus increasing turbidity and decreasing clarity. Efforts to stabilize stream banks, lake and pond shorelines, disturbed soils within the watershed, and especially dirt roads located immediately adjacent to the edge of tributaries and the lake or pond should continue on an annual basis. Guides to best management practices that can be implemented to reduce, and possibly even eliminate, nonpoint source pollutants, are available from DES upon request.

TOTAL PHOSPHORUS

- **Figure 3 and Table 8:** The graphs in Figure 3 in Appendix A show the amount of epilimnetic (upper layer) phosphorus and hypolimnetic (lower layer) phosphorus; the inset graphs show current year data.

Table 8 in Appendix B lists the annual minimum, maximum, and median concentration for each deep spot layer and each tributary since the pond has been sampled through VLAP.

Phosphorus is typically the limiting nutrient for vascular aquatic plant and algae growth in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds. Excessive phosphorus in a lake or pond can lead to increased plant and algal growth over time. **The median summer total phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) of New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 12 ug/L. The median summer phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) is 14 ug/L.**

The current year data for the epilimnion (the top inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration ***increased*** from **June** to **July**, and then ***decreased slightly*** from **July** to **August**.

The historical data show that the **2010** mean epilimnetic phosphorus concentration is ***greater than*** the state and similar lake medians. Refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median.

The current year data for the hypolimnion (the bottom inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration was **22ug/L** in **June**.

The hypolimnetic (lower layer) turbidity sample was ***elevated*** on the **June** sampling event (**3.08 NTUs**). This suggests that the pond bottom may have been disturbed by the anchor or by the Kemmerer Bottle while sampling and/or that the pond bottom is covered by an easily disturbed thick organic layer of sediment. When the pond bottom is disturbed, phosphorus rich sediment is released into the water column. When collecting the hypolimnion sample, make sure that there is no sediment in the Kemmerer Bottle before filling the sample bottles.

The historical data show that the **2010** mean hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration is ***greater than*** the state and similar lake medians. Please refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median.

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data shows that the epilimnetic (upper layer) phosphorus concentration has ***not significantly changed*** (either *increased* or *decreased*) since monitoring began. Specifically, the mean annual epilimnetic phosphorus concentration has remained ***relatively stable, ranging between approximately 21 and 33 ug/L*** (excluding 2000 data), since **2001**. Please refer to Appendix E for the statistical analysis explanation and data print-out.

Statistical analysis was not conducted on the hypolimnion as there are not ten consecutive years of data.

One of the most important approaches to reducing phosphorus loading to a waterbody is to continually educate watershed residents about the watershed sources of phosphorus and how excessive phosphorus loading can negatively impact the ecology and the recreational, economical, and ecological value of lakes and ponds.

TABLE INTERPRETATION

- **Table 2: Phytoplankton**

  Table 2 in Appendix B lists the current and historical phytoplankton and/or cyanobacteria observed in the pond. Specifically, this table lists the three most dominant phytoplankton and/or cyanobacteria observed in the sample and their relative abundance in the sample.

  The dominant phytoplankton and/or cyanobacteria observed in the **June** sample were ***Ceratium*** **(Dinoflagellate)**, ***Uroglenopsis*** **(Golden-Brown)**, and ***Synura*** **(Golden-Brown)**.

  The dominant phytoplankton and/or cyanobacteria observed in the **July** sample were ***Ceratium*** **(Dinoflagellate)**, ***Mallomonas*** **(Golden-Brown)**, and ***Dinobryon*** **(Golden-Brown)**.

  The dominant phytoplankton and/or cyanobacteria observed in the **August** sample were ***Mallomonas*** **(Golden-Brown)**, ***Ceratium*** **(Dinoflagellate)**, and ***Dinobryon*** **(Golden-Brown)**.

  Phytoplankton populations undergo a natural succession during the growing season. Please refer to the "Biological Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding seasonal plankton succession. Diatoms and golden-brown algae populations are typical in New Hampshire's less productive lakes and ponds.

- **Table 4: pH**

  Table 4 in Appendix B presents the in-lake and tributary current year and historical pH data.

  pH is measured on a logarithmic scale of 0 (acidic) to 14 (basic). pH is important to the survival and reproduction of fish and other aquatic life. A pH below 6.0 typically limits the growth and reproduction of fish. A pH between 6.0 and 7.0 is ideal for fish. The median pH value for the epilimnion (upper layer) in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **6.6**, which indicates that the state surface waters are slightly acidic. For a more detailed explanation regarding pH, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The mean pH at the deep spot this year ranged from **7.17** in the hypolimnion to **7.03** in the epilimnion, which means that the water is ***approximately neutral***.

- **Table 5: Acid Neutralizing Capacity**
  Table 5 in Appendix B presents the current year and historical epilimnetic ANC for each year the pond has been monitored through VLAP.

  Buffering capacity (ANC) describes the ability of a solution to resist changes in pH by neutralizing the acidic input. The median ANC value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **4.8 mg/L**, which indicates that many lakes and ponds in the state are at least "moderately vulnerable" to acidic inputs. For a more detailed explanation about ANC, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

  The mean acid neutralizing capacity (ANC) of the epilimnion (upper layer) was **27.4 mg/L**, which is ***much greater than*** the state median. In addition, this indicates that the pond is ***not vulnerable*** to acidic inputs.

- **Table 6: Conductivity**
  Table 6 in Appendix B presents the current and historical conductivity values for tributaries and in-lake data. Conductivity is the numerical expression of the ability of water to carry an electric current, which is determined by the number of negatively charged ions from metals, salts, and minerals in the water column. The median conductivity value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **40.0 uMhos/cm**. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

  The mean annual epilimnetic conductivity at the deep spot this year was **764.7 uMhos/cm**, which is ***much greater than*** the state median.

  The conductivity continued to remain ***much greater than*** the state median in the pond and tributaries and is likely a result of the urbanized watershed. Typically, elevated conductivity indicates the influence of pollutant sources associated with human activities. These sources include failed or marginally functioning septic systems, agricultural runoff, and road runoff, which contain road salt during the spring snow-melt. New development in the watershed can alter runoff patterns and expose new soil and bedrock areas, which could also contribute to increasing conductivity. In addition, natural sources, such as iron and manganese deposits in bedrock, can influence conductivity.

It is evident that de-icing materials applied to nearby roadways during the winter months are influencing the conductivity in the pond. The most commonly used de-icing material in New Hampshire is salt (sodium chloride).

*A limited amount of chloride sampling was conducted during **2010**. Please refer to the discussion of **Table 13** for more information.*

Therefore, we recommend that the **epilimnion** and the **tributaries** continue to be sampled for chloride next year. This additional sampling may help us identify what areas of the watershed are contributing to the increasing in-lake conductivity.

Please note that the DES Limnology Center in Concord is able to conduct chloride analyses, free of charge. As a reminder, it is best to conduct chloride sampling in the spring as the snow is melting and during rain events.

- **Table 8: Total Phosphorus**
  Table 8 in Appendix B presents the current year and historical total phosphorus data for in-lake and tributary stations. Phosphorus is the nutrient that limits the ability of algae and aquatic plants to grow and reproduce. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

  The total phosphorus concentration was ***elevated*** (**55 ug/L**) in **East II Inlet** on the **August** sampling event. The sample receipt checklist notes organic material in the sample which likely contributed to the elevated phosphorus concentration.

  The total phosphorus concentration was ***elevated*** (**30 ug/L**) in **Juniper St. Inlet** on the **July** sampling event. The turbidity of the sample was also ***elevated*** (**15.4 NTUs**) which suggests that the stream bottom may have been disturbed while sampling or that erosion is occurring in the watershed.

  Due to the lack of rainfall during 2010, many streams experienced dry or no flow conditions. When collecting tributary samples, please be sure to sample where the tributary is flowing and where the stream is deep enough to collect a "clean" sample free from organic debris and sediment.

The total phosphorus concentration in the **Lessard Inlet** was ***elevated*** this year. The turbidity of the samples was also ***elevated***, which suggests that the stream bottom may have been disturbed while sampling or that erosion is occurring in the watershed. This station also has had a history of ***elevated*** and ***fluctuating*** phosphorus concentrations. We recommend that your monitoring group conduct a stream survey and rain event sampling along this tributary so that we can determine what may be causing the elevated concentrations.

For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at ***http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/vlap/categories/publications.htm****, or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

- **Table 9 and Table 10: Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Data**
  Table 9 in Appendix B shows the dissolved oxygen/temperature profile(s) collected during **2010**. Table 10 in Appendix B shows the historical and current year dissolved oxygen concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer). The presence of sufficient amounts of dissolved oxygen in the water column is vital to fish and amphibians and bottom-dwelling organisms. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

  The dissolved oxygen concentration was greater than **100 percent** saturation at **0.1** meters at the deep spot on the **June** sampling event. Wave action from wind can dissolve atmospheric oxygen into the upper layers of the water column. Layers of algae can also increase the dissolved oxygen in the water column, since oxygen is a by-product of photosynthesis. Considering that the depth of sunlight penetration into the water column was approximately **1.25** meters on this sampling event, as shown by the Secchi disk transparency depth, and that the chlorophyll-a concentration was **21.5 mg/m^3**, we suspect that an abundance of algae at the pond's surface caused the oxygen super-saturation.

  The dissolved oxygen concentration was ***lower directly off the pond bottom*** at the deep spot on the **June** and **July** sampling events. As ponds age, and as the summer progresses, oxygen typically becomes ***depleted*** near the bottom by bacterial decomposition. Specifically, the reduction of oxygen is primarily a result of biological organisms using oxygen to break down organic matter, both in the water column and particularly at the bottom of the lake or pond where the water meets the sediment. When the hypolimnetic oxygen concentration is depleted to less than 1 mg/L, the phosphorus that is normally bound up in the sediment may be re-released into the water column, a process referred to as ***internal phosphorus loading***.

- **Table 11: Turbidity**
  Table 11 in Appendix B lists the current year and historical data for in-lake and tributary turbidity. Turbidity in the water is caused by suspended matter, such as clay, silt, and algae. Water clarity is strongly influenced by turbidity. Please refer to the "Other Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

  The turbidity of the epilimnion (upper layer) samples was ***slightly elevated*** (**3.31, 4.94 and 3.72 NTUs**) on the **June, July** and **August** sampling events. This suggests that a rainstorm may have recently contributed stormwater runoff to the lake and/or an algal bloom had occurred in the lake.

  The turbidity of the **Outlet** samples was ***slightly elevated*** on the **June, July and August** sampling events as well. This corresponds to the epilimnetic turbidity levels as surface waters exited the pond.

  The turbidity in **Juniper St. Inlet and Lessard Inlet** was ***elevated*** on each sampling event, which suggests that the stream bottom may have been disturbed while sampling or that erosion is occurring in this area of the watershed. When the stream bottom is disturbed, sediment, which typically contains attached phosphorus, is released into the water column. When collecting tributary samples please sample where there's sufficient stream flow and depth to collect a "clean" sample free from debris and sediment.

  Due to the lack of rainfall during 2010, many streams experienced dry or no flow conditions. When collecting tributary samples, please be sure to sample where the tributary is flowing and where the stream is deep enough to collect a "clean" sample free from organic debris and sediment.

- **Table 12: Bacteria (*E.coli*)**
  Table 12 in Appendix B lists the current year and historical data for bacteria *(E.coli)* testing. *E. coli* is a normal bacterium found in the large intestine of humans and other warm-blooded animals. *E.coli* is used as an indicator organism because it is easily cultured and its presence in the water, in defined amounts, indicates that sewage **may**

be present. If sewage is present in the water, potentially harmful disease-causing organisms **may** also be present.

Bacteria sampling was not conducted this year. If residents are concerned about sources of bacteria such as failing septic systems, animal waste, or waterfowl waste, it is best to conduct *E. coli* testing when the water table is high, when beach use is heavy, or immediately after rain events.

- **Table 13: Chloride**
  Table 13 in Appendix B lists the current year and the historical data for chloride sampling. The chloride ion (Cl^-) is found naturally in some surfacewaters and groundwaters and in high concentrations in seawater. Research has shown that elevated chloride levels can be toxic to freshwater aquatic life. In order to protect freshwater aquatic life in New Hampshire, the state has adopted **acute and chronic** chloride criteria of **860 and 230 mg/L** respectively. The chloride content in New Hampshire lakes is naturally low, generally less than 2 mg/L in surface waters located in remote areas away from habitation. Higher values are generally associated with salted highways and, to a lesser extent, with septic inputs. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

  The **epilimnion** was sampled for chloride during the **June, July** and **August** sampling events. The results were **140 and 220 mg/L**, which are ***slightly less than*** the state chronic chloride criteria, and ***much less than*** the state acute chloride criteria.

  The **East II Inlet** was sampled for chloride on the **July and August** sampling events. The results were **140 mg/L**, which is ***slightly less than*** the chronic chloride criteria, and ***much less than*** the state acute chloride criteria.

  The **Juniper St. Inlet** was sampled for chloride on the **July and August** sampling events. The results were **140 and 150 mg/L**, which are ***slightly less than*** the chronic chloride criteria, and ***much less than*** the state acute chloride criteria.

  The **Outlet** was sampled for chloride on the **July** sampling event. The result was **230 mg/L**, which is ***approximately equal to*** the chronic chloride criteria, and ***much less than*** the state acute chloride criteria.

The **Lessard Inlet** was sampled for chloride on the **July and August** sampling events. The results were **530 and 560 mg/L**, which are ***much greater than*** the chronic chloride criteria, and ***less than*** the state acute chloride criteria.

We recommend that your monitoring group continue to conduct chloride sampling in the epilmnion and the tributaries near salted roadways, particularly in the spring during snow-melt and rain events during the summer. This will establish a baseline of data that will assist your monitoring group and DES to determine lake quality trends in the future.

Please note that chloride analyses can be run free of charge at the DES Limnology Center. Please contact the VLAP Coordinator if you are interested in chloride monitoring. In addition, it is best to conduct chloride sampling in the spring as the snow is melting and during rain events.

In addition, if your group is concerned about salt use on a particular roadway, we recommend contacting the town road agent or the Department of Transportation to discuss the implementation of a low-salt area near the lake and/or its major tributaries. We also recommend that your group work with watershed residents to reduce the application of chloride containing de-icing agents to driveways and walkways.

To learn more about conductivity and chloride pollution and what can be done about to minimize it, please refer to the 2004 VLAP Annual Report special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at ***http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/vlap/categories/publications.htm****, or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

- **Table 14: Current Year Biological and Chemical Raw Data**
  Table 14 in Appendix B lists the most current sampling year results. Since the maximum, minimum, and annual mean values for each parameter are not shown on this table, this table displays the current year "raw," meaning unprocessed, data. The results are sorted by station, depth, and then parameter.

- **Table 15: Station Table**
  As of the spring of 2004, all historical and current year VLAP data are included in the DES Environmental Monitoring Database (EMD). To facilitate the transfer of VLAP data into the EMD, a new station identification system had to be developed. While volunteer monitoring groups can still use the sampling station names that they have used in the past and are most familiar with, an EMD station

name also exists for each VLAP sampling location. Table 15 in Appendix B identifies what EMD station name corresponds to the station names you have used in the past and will continue to use in the future.

DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL

Annual Assessment Audit:

An annual assessment was not conducted in 2010.

Please contact the VLAP Coordinator in the spring to schedule a biologist visit.

Sample Receipt Checklist:

Each time your monitoring group dropped off samples at the laboratory this summer, the laboratory staff completed a sample receipt checklist to assess and document if your group followed proper sampling techniques when collecting the samples. The purpose of the sample receipt checklist is to minimize, and hopefully eliminate, improper sampling techniques.

Overall, the sample receipt checklist showed that your monitoring group did a ***very good*** job when collecting samples this year! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the majority of the proper field sampling procedures when collecting and submitting samples to the laboratory. However, the laboratory did identify a few aspects of sample collection that your group could improve upon, as follows:

- **Tributary sampling:** Sediment and or organic debris were observed in the white sample bottle for **East II Inlet** on the **June and August** sampling events. Please do not sample tributaries that are too shallow to collect a “clean” sample free from organic debris and sediment and do not sample the stream if the stream bottom has been disturbed. You may need to move upstream or downstream to collect a “clean” sample. If you disturb the stream bottom while sampling, please rinse out the bottle and move to an upstream location and sample in an undisturbed area.

USEFUL RESOURCES

Best Management Practices to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution: A Guide for Citizens and Town Officials, DES Booklet WD-03-42, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/publications/wd/documents/wd-03-42.pdf.

Erosion Control for Construction in the Protected Shoreland Buffer Zone, DES fact sheet WD-SP-1, (603) 271-2975 or http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/sp/documents/sp-1.pdf

Iron Bacteria in Surface Water, DES fact sheet WD-BB-18, (603) 271-2975 or http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/bb/documents/bb-18.pdf.

NH Stormwater Management Manual Volume 1: Stormwater and Antidegradation, DES fact sheet WD-08-20A, (603) 271-2975 or http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/publications/wd/documents/wd-08-20a.pdf

NH Stormwater Management Manual Volume 2: Post-Construction Best Management Practices Selection and Design, DES fact sheet WD-08-20B, (603) 271-2975 or http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/publications/wd/documents/wd-08-20b.pdf

NH Stormwater Management Manual Volume 3: Erosion and Sediment Controls During Construction, DES fact sheet WD-08-20C, (603) 271-2975 or http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/publications/wd/documents/wd-08-20c.pdf

Road Salt and Water Quality, DES fact sheet WD-WMB-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/wmb/documents/wmb-4.pdf.

Vegetation Maintenance Within the Protected Shoreland, DES fact sheet WD-SP-5, (603) 271-2975 or http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/sp/documents/sp-5.pdf