

RISK: Health, Safety & Environment (1990-2002)

Volume 2
Number 3 *RISK: Issues in Health & Safety*

Article 3

June 1991

Call for Papers Editorial Advisory Board Update

RISK Editorial Board

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholars.unh.edu/risk>



Part of the [Law Commons](#), [Life Sciences Commons](#), [Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons](#), and the [Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons](#)

Repository Citation

RISK Editorial Board, *Call for Papers Editorial Advisory Board Update*, 2 RISK 187 (1991).

This Editorial is brought to you for free and open access by the University of New Hampshire – Franklin Pierce School of Law at University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in RISK: Health, Safety & Environment (1990-2002) by an authorized editor of University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. For more information, please contact ellen.phillips@law.unh.edu.

Call for Papers Editorial Advisory Board Update

Call for Papers

Unsolicited manuscripts and queries are welcome. The range of acceptable material is indicated by what has already been published. The common denominator is that each paper has treated policy implications underlying the regulation of private action that may affect the health and safety of individuals in a variety of contexts and has potential appeal to a broad range of professionals, as well as to generalists. Topics of particular interest include the following.

Public Participation in Risk Management: The first two issues and part of the third were drawn from a symposium on public participation. The piece in this issue, at 197, indicates that there is still much to be done, and we hope to be in the forefront in reporting scholarship and other activity in this area.

Encouraging Risk Reducing Innovation: We are interested in papers devoted to strategies for *encouraging* behavior which *reduces* risk, as contrasted with those for *discouraging* behavior which *increases* risk. The two papers on technology forcing and technology transfer are typical, but this is a particularly rich area. For example: When does the threat of *tort liability* encourage, and when does it discourage, risk reducing innovation? What sorts of *regulatory* strategies tend to discourage or encourage such innovation? See, e.g., Grumet's paper in this issue at 273.

Multi- and Interdisciplinary Communication and Education: Does professionals' inability to bridge disciplinary boundaries hinder them in effectively managing risks? To what extent should schools provide

formal training to improve their graduates' ability to breach disciplinary boundaries? To what extent are they already doing so, and how effective are these efforts? See, for example, Heath's comment in the last issue at 121 — and Roqué's article immediately following.

Editorial Advisory Board Update

Karl F. Birns, Manager of the Right-to-Know Program of the Kansas Bureau of Environmental Health Services.

John M. Gleason, Professor of Decision Sciences and Systems in the College of Business Administration at Creighton University, and Fellow in the Center for Health Policy and Ethics at the School of Medicine.

David Holtgrave, Director of the Clinical Decision Making Program, and Professor in the Department of Family Medicine at the University of Oklahoma.

Donald R. Mattison, Dean Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh.

Jon F. Merz, a Ph.D. candidate in the Carnegie Mellon Department of Engineering and Public Policy — having already received degrees in nuclear engineering, business and law.

David Owen, Professor of Law at the University of South Carolina and author of a leading casebook on product liability.

Linda-Jo Schierow, Environmental Policy Analyst, Environmental and Natural Science Division of the Congressional Research Service.

Kristin S. Shrader-Frechette, Graduate Research Professor of Philosophy, University of South Florida and Editor-in-Chief for the monograph series, ENVIRONMENTAL ETHICS AND SCIENCE POLICY (Oxford University Press).

