

University of New Hampshire

## University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository

---

M.S. in Leadership

College of Professional Studies Granite Division

---

Summer 2021

### Survivors: The Forgotten Followers of Toxic Leadership

Jason Grant

*Granite State College*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholars.unh.edu/ms\\_leadership](https://scholars.unh.edu/ms_leadership)

---

#### Recommended Citation

Grant, Jason, "Survivors: The Forgotten Followers of Toxic Leadership" (2021). *M.S. in Leadership*. 106.  
[https://scholars.unh.edu/ms\\_leadership/106](https://scholars.unh.edu/ms_leadership/106)

This Capstone is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Professional Studies Granite Division at University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in M.S. in Leadership by an authorized administrator of University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. For more information, please contact [Scholarly.Communication@unh.edu](mailto:Scholarly.Communication@unh.edu).

# **The Forgotten Followers of Toxic Leadership**

**Jason Leavitt Grant**

M.S. in Leadership

Granite State College

# The Toxic Triangle

The toxic triangle model offers two categories of susceptible followers, *colluders and conformers*. I believe there are two subcategories of conformers




Figure One: Adapted from Padilla, A. B., Hogan, R. B., & Kaiser, R. B. (2007). The toxic triangle: Destructive leaders, susceptible followers, and conducive environments. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 18, 176-194. doi:10.1.1.322.4077&rep=rep1&type=pdf

# The Relationship of Followers to the Toxic Leader (TL)

- Colluders

- Support the toxic leader
- Selfish; thirsty for power
- Will collude if that benefits the follower

- Conformers

- Look to the leader for “protection”*
- Low self-esteem*
- Fear consequences more than the leader*

## Identifying the Gap

- ❑ Followers are aware of their predicament but have no choice. (Webster et al., 2016). The toxic leader is aware of their effects, but do not aim to harm the organization (Chen et al., 2019).
- ❑ Lack of accountability for the TL isolates the followers (Bakkal et al., 2019).
- ❑ Padilla's et al. (2007) model addresses colluders and conformers but does not explain what happens to the others.
- ❑ Only way to defeat toxic leadership is to battle back – someone must stand up, falling outside the two types of followers (Lipman-Blumen, 2005; Schmidt, 2014; Beightel, 2018; Decoster et al., 2021; Einarsen et al., 2007; Blanchard et al., 2009).
- ❑ The Toxic Triangle relies on unpredictability, in contrast to transformational leadership (Schmidt, 2008).
- ❑ Some caution about only two follower categories (Hoption et al., 2012). Others want to eliminate the labels (Bell, 2020).

## A New Model

This new model hopefully stimulates more research from different approaches, such as what if the Padilla's model were viewed with two subcategories of conformers?




Figure Two: Conceptual Model adapted from Padilla, A. B., Hogan, R. B., & Kaiser, R. B. (2007). The toxic triangle: Destructive leaders, susceptible followers, and conducive environments. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 18, 176-194. doi:10.1.1.322.4077&rep=rep1&type=pdf

**Questions?**

Granite State College

## References

Bakkal, E., Serener, B., Myrvang, N. A. (2019). Toxic Leadership and Turnover Intention: Mediating Role of Job Satisfaction. *Revista de Cercetare Si Interventie Sociala*, 66, 88–102.  
<https://doi.org/10.33788/rcis.66.6>

Beightel, R. S. (2018). How a Conducive Environment and Susceptible Followers Influence Toxic Leadership Behaviors in the Air Force: An Examination of the Toxic Triangle Theory (Unpublished Master dissertation). Air Force Institute of Technology. Retrieved August 4, 2021, from <https://scholar.afit.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2832&context=etd>

Blanchard, A. L., Welbourne, J., Gilmore, D., & Bullock, A. (2009). Followership styles and employee attachment to the organization. *The Psychologist-Manager Journal*, 12(2), 111–131.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10887150902888718>

Chen, Y., Wang, L., Liu, X., Chen, H., Hu, Y., & Yang, H. (2019). The Trickle-Down Effect of Leaders' Pro-social Rule Breaking: Joint Moderating Role of Empowering Leadership and Courage. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02647

Decoster, S., Stouten, J., & Tripp, T. M. (2021). When Employees Retaliate Against Self-Serving Leaders: The Influence of the Ethical Climate. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 168(1), 195–213.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04218-4>



## References cont'd

Einarsen, S., Aasland, M. S., & Skogstad, A. (2007). Destructive leadership behavior: A definition and conceptual model. *The Leadership Quarterly*. doi:10.1016/j.leaqua.2007.03.002

Kelley, R. E. (1988). In Praise of Followers. *Harvard Business Review*, 66(6), 142–148.

Lipman-Blumen, J. (2005). The Allure of Toxic Leaders: Why Followers Rarely Escape Their Clutches. *Ivey Business Journal*, 69(3), 1–8

Padilla, A. B., Hogan, R. B., & Kaiser, R. B. (2007). The toxic triangle: Destructive leaders, susceptible followers, and conducive environments. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 18, 176-194. doi:10.1.1.322.4077&rep =rep1&type=pdf

Pas, B., Wolters, R., & Lauche, K. (2021). Zooming in on Institutional Politics: Professional accountability systems as institutional weaponry. *Organization Studies*, 42(7), 1085-1109. doi:10.1177/0170840619866493

Schmidt, A. A. (2008). Development and Validation of the Toxic Leadership Scale (Unpublished master's thesis). The University of Maryland.

Thomas, T. A., Gentzler, K., & Salvatorelli, R. (2016). What Is Toxic Followership? *Journal of Leadership Studies*, 10(3), 62–65. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jls.21496>