

# RISK: Health, Safety & Environment (1990-2002)

---

Volume 1  
Number 1 *RISK: Issues in Health & Safety*

Article 2

---

January 1990

## Table of Contents, Volume One, Number One, Winter 1990

RISK Editorial Board

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholars.unh.edu/risk>



Part of the [Law Commons](#), [Life Sciences Commons](#), [Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons](#), and the [Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons](#)

---

### Repository Citation

RISK Editorial Board, *Table of Contents, Volume One, Number One, Winter 1990*, 1 RISK [iii] (1990).

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the University of New Hampshire – Franklin Pierce School of Law at University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in RISK: Health, Safety & Environment (1990-2002) by an authorized editor of University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. For more information, please contact [ellen.phillips@law.unh.edu](mailto:ellen.phillips@law.unh.edu).

---

## Table of Contents, Volume One, Number One, Winter 1990

### Erratum

The title of the article authored by Jeffery S. Lubbers was printed incorrectly. The correct title is "Risk Regulation at the Federal Level: Administrative Procedure Constraints and Opportunities."

# RISK

## —Issues in Health & Safety—

---

VOLUME 1

1990

ISSUE 1

---

### CONTENTS

Introduction . . . . . 1  
*Thomas G. Field, Jr.*

#### SYMPOSIUM: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN RISK MANAGEMENT [PART I]

#### ARTICLES

RISK SUBJECTIVISM AND RISK OBJECTIVISM: WHEN ARE RISKS *REAL*? . . . . . 3  
*Paul B. Thompson*

Typically, those who discuss risk management envision a two-step process wherein, first, risk is more or less objectively appraised and, second, the acceptability of those risks is subjectively evaluated. This paper questions the philosophical foundations of that approach.

SCIENTIFIC METHOD, ANTI-FOUNDATIONALISM AND PUBLIC DECISIONMAKING . . . . . 23  
*Kristin S. Shrader-Frechette*

An examination of the legitimacy of attacks on lay assessments of environmental or other technological risk. The case is made that rational policy requires an epistemology in which what we *believe* about risk is bootstrapped onto how we should *act* concerning risk.

FEDERAL REGULATION: ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE CONSTRAINTS AND OPPORTUNITIES . . . . . 43  
*Jeffrey S. Lubbers*

An introduction to the legal framework within which employees of the "twigs" on our fourth branch of government must operate. Particular attention is given to research sponsored by the Administrative Conference of the United States which has dealt with, for example, process problems in resolving specific issues and in building consensus on broad policy matters.

AN EMPIRICAL ARGUMENT FOR NONTECHNICALLY TRAINED PUBLIC MEMBERS ON  
"TECHNICAL" ADVISORY COMMITTEES: FDA AS A MODEL . . . . . 61

*Joseph L. Lakshmanan*

A discussion of the results of two surveys of present and past members of Food and Drug Administration Advisory Committees. The views and understanding of the issues before various categories of membership are compared and contrasted. It appears that technical members of advisory committees would generally welcome more participation by persons who lack special subject matter expertise.

SHOULD TRIAL BY JURY BE ELIMINATED IN COMPLEX CASES? . . . . . 75

*The Honorable Hugh H. Bownes*

One way in which the public participates in the management of risk is as jurors. Here, the function of juries in civil litigation is discussed and the argument is made that problems with juries in complex cases may be solved by means short of eliminating juries altogether.

THE ROLE OF TECHNOLOGICALLY TRAINED CORPORATE LAWYERS IN MANAGING  
RISK . . . . . 83

*Homer O. Blair*

An explanation of what technologically-trained lawyers ordinarily do as corporate employees. Lawyers with such training, while not members of the "public," have traditionally played a very small role in dealing with safety issues. It is strongly urged that they may be of great help in preventing harm which would be expensive, at best, to redress.