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A holistic approach to child maltreatment

Children and adolescents experience more violence, abuse, and criminal victimisation than do other segments of the population.1 Proper public health attention to this vulnerability is hampered by many things, but one of the most remediable is the fragmentation of the response system. Separate institutions, researchers, and advocacy groups lobby and often compete on the response system. Separate institutions, researchers, and advocacy groups lobby and often compete on the response system.

Attention is also hampered by the description of abusive behaviours such as peer violence (including that among siblings) as being part of a “normal childhood”,2,3 and by viewing efforts to address such abuse as a sign of overwrought protectionism. The assault and abuse of children by their peers, often referenced by the term bullying has however gradually gained traction as a public health and child welfare issue. Bullying has been connected to high-profile criminal cases such as school shootings in the USA and the murder of James Bulger in 1993 in the UK.4 These examples highlight that the peer problem can go far beyond just “bullying” and can include bald criminal acts committed by some young individuals against other young peers.

In The Lancet Psychiatry, Suzet Tanya Lereya and colleagues report long-term consequences of peer victimisation by examining its association with negative adult mental health conditions (ie, depression, anxiety, and self-harm). Using cohorts from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children in the UK and the Great Smoky Mountains Study in the USA, the authors showed that children who were maltreated by adults
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were at increased risk for bullying, but that even being bullied without child maltreatment was associated with poorer adult mental health than that in non-bullied children. Their research could be seen as complementary to the Adverse Childhood Experiences study, whose key assessment scale predicts cancer, heart, and liver disease as well as alcoholism, drug abuse, and depression in children. This scale counts sexual abuse, physical abuse, neglect, and domestic violence by adults as adverse childhood experiences but omits bullying or any form of peer abuse or rejection as one of its countable childhood adversities.6

Lereya and colleagues’ directly contrasted the effects of peer bullying with those of child maltreatment by adults and concluded that being bullied by peers had worse effects than did being maltreated by adults. Compared with children maltreated by adults only, bullied children reported more depression (OR 1.7; 95% CI 1.1–2.7) and self-harm (1.7; 1.1–2.6) in the UK sample, and more anxiety (4.9; 2.0–12.0) in the US sample. Emphasising such a contrast unnecessarily aggravates the already intense rivalries among the fragmented child protection lobbies. But their findings are not that strong. Methodological factors might have influenced the comparison; for example, the bullying might be overall more proximal in time to the outcomes being measured than maltreatment by adults, and therefore stronger in association. The finding on the weak influence of adult-perpetrated maltreatment on mental health is contradicted by at least one other longitudinal and direct comparison with bullying7 and by a large body of previous research on the enduring effects of caregiver abuse.8

Despite these shortcomings, Lereya and colleagues’ assertion that bullying is another form of maltreatment should be applauded as a call to the fragmented child protection lobbies to join forces. A broader effort to tamp down the rivalries among those in the specialty of child protection is the concept of developmental victimology, originally proposed by one of us (DF).

This new study5 illustrates the growing consensus that children are entitled to grow up free from violence, denigration, and non-consented sexual activity at the hands of both adults and young peers. That growing consensus might be responsible for the fact that, if the epidemiological data are to be trusted, in spite of the fragmentations of response systems, the toll of some of these various scourges seems to have been on the decline in the past 20 years.9,10
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