



1-2008

Summary Report for Round 1 of the Community Technical Assistance Program

Jennifer Hunter
University of New Hampshire - Main Campus

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholars.unh.edu/prep>



Part of the [Marine Biology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Hunter, Jennifer, "Summary Report for Round 1 of the Community Technical Assistance Program" (2008).
PREP Reports & Publications. 61.
<https://scholars.unh.edu/prep/61>

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans, and Space (EOS) at University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in PREP Reports & Publications by an authorized administrator of University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. For more information, please contact Scholarly.Communication@unh.edu.

2008

New Hampshire Estuaries Project



**Community Technical Assistance Program
Summary Report for Round I of the Program (2005-2007)**

**Jennifer Hunter
NHEP Director
University of New Hampshire**

January 2008

Community Technical Assistance Program – Round 1

SUMMARY

The New Hampshire Estuaries Project (NHEP) initiated its Community Technical Assistance Program (CTAP) in 2005. The program provides consulting assistance to the 42 New Hampshire communities that are part of the watershed areas for the Great Bay estuary, Hampton Seabrook Harbor estuary, and Atlantic coast. Assistance is available in the areas of land conservation planning, wetlands/stream buffer protection, and stormwater management. Eleven communities applied for and received assistance through the first round of the program.

BACKGROUND

Recognizing the role of local resource protection measures and actions in protecting coastal and estuarine resources, the NHEP developed CTAP in 2005 to assist communities in implementing natural resource protection projects that they otherwise may not have the capacity or expertise to undertake. Projects implemented through CTAP relate to the action plans identified in the NHEP's Management Plan for New Hampshire's estuaries.

To initiate the program, the NHEP issued a Request for Qualifications in early 2005 to identify technical assistance providers (TAPs) that would serve as consultants for the program. Nine applications were submitted and the NHEP selected five. TAPs included Jeffrey H. Taylor and Associates, with Christopher Kane; Rockingham Planning Commission; Stone Environmental, Inc. with NH Soil Consultants; UNH Cooperative Extension; and West Environmental, Inc. with Great Bay Environmental Consulting and Ibis Wildlife Consulting.

The NHEP issued a request for community applications for the program in August 2005. Applications were accepted on a rolling basis through June 2006. Communities, acting through their planning boards or conservation commissions, could apply for specific assistance related to land conservation planning, wetlands and stream buffer protection, and stormwater management. Fifteen eligible activities were listed under the three primary categories of assistance. See the attached application for additional program details.

The NHEP reviewed community applications and selected one of the TAPs for each eligible project. The NHEP, community, and TAP typically participated in a project planning meeting to review the project objectives and anticipated outcomes. The NHEP subsequently worked directly with the TAP to finalize the project work scope and budget. The NHEP managed contract agreements with TAPs and paid for the services. Community boards were engaged in project implementation and worked closely with TAPs when needed to assist with project-specific needs such as organizing public meetings, identifying existing town data and plans, reviewing draft ordinances, and accompanying TAPs on monitoring site visits. Final products or reports were generated for each project and provided to the community and the NHEP.

All of the available funding for CTAP was utilized in Round 1. Initially the NHEP allocated \$50,000 for the program. However community demand exceeded available funding. The NHEP

subsequently reprogrammed an additional \$37,773 of grant funding to meet the demand for assistance through the program. Funding for CTAP was from a US Environmental Protection Agency National Estuary Program grant awarded to the NHEP through an agreement with the University of New Hampshire.

RESULTS

Eleven projects were implemented through Round 1 of the program. Brentwood, Durham, Fremont, Greenland, Kingston, Newfields, Newmarket, New Durham, North Hampton, Northwood, and Portsmouth applied for and received assistance through the program. Seven applications were submitted by conservation commissions; four were submitted by planning boards. Projects fell under each of the three assistance categories: land conservation planning (five projects), wetlands/stream buffer protections (four projects), and stormwater management (two projects). Projects ranged in cost from \$6,200 to \$9,105 and in duration from five to 17 months. Cost of the projects for the NHEP totaled \$87,773 (this does not include the cost of NHEP staff time to manage the program and assist with project implementation).

The projects resulted in the following products and outcomes:

- Wetlands evaluations conducted in two communities and assistance provided for designating 53 wetlands as prime wetlands in those two communities (*note – the prime wetlands designation process is still underway in the communities*)
- Development of five new or revised ordinances/regulations improving wetlands protection, stream buffer protections, and/or stormwater management (*note – some ordinances and regulations developed through these CTAP projects are still in the process of being adopted or implemented by communities*)
- Conservation lands inventories, baseline documentation, and development of monitoring plans for town-held easements and/or stewardship plans for town-owned conservation lands in five communities
- Development of conservation/open space plans in two communities

The eleven projects are summarized below. Final project reports are maintained on the NHEP website.

BRENTWOOD

Activity	Development of monitoring plans for town-held easements
TAP	New Hampshire Soil Consultants, Inc.
Applicant	Conservation Commission
Cost	\$8,098
Timeframe	8/8/2006 – 3/1/2007
Description	The consultant completed the baseline monitoring and documentation for 14 conservation easement parcels located in the town. The boundary and transects of each parcel were walked to document overall conditions, accessibility, and unique natural and/or historical features. Baseline documentation forms for each parcel were populated with the information gathered from the file review and site walk activities. Individual baseline documentation folders were created for each parcel.
Report	http://www.nhep.unh.edu/resources/pdf/conservation_easement_monitoring-nhsc-07.pdf

DURHAM

Activity	Development of regulations to limit stormwater runoff
TAP	Stone Environmental, Inc.
Applicant	Planning Board
Cost	\$8,982
Timeframe	8/1/2006 – 11/15/2007
Description	The consultant reviewed a draft stormwater ordinance, originally developed for the town in 2003, and recommended detailed changes to provide consistency with state and federal regulations and more recent approaches to stormwater management. Another component of the project involved calculating impervious surfaces under build-out conditions. The analysis used the 2005 impervious surface estimates developed by UNH Complex Systems Research Center and a build-out analysis completed by the Strafford Regional Planning Commission to estimate future impervious surface amounts under two different scenarios.
Reports	http://www.nhep.unh.edu/resources/pdf/review_of_durham's_stone-07.pdf http://www.nhep.unh.edu/resources/pdf/impervious_surface_analysis-stone-07.pdf

FREMONT

Activity	Prime Wetlands Designation
TAP	West Environmental, Inc.
Applicant	Conservation Commission
Cost	\$9,000, plus \$10,678 of town funds
Timeframe	8/7/2006 – 12/31/2007
Description	The consultant inventoried and evaluated fifty-five wetlands, totaling 1,338 acres, after completing initial mapping. The assessment evaluated each wetland for functions such as groundwater recharge/discharge, flood-flow alteration, sediment retention, nutrient removal, wildlife habitat, and recreational values. Wetlands were placed in one of four tiers as a result of the evaluation. Twenty-six wetlands in Tiers 1, 2 & 3 were recommended as prime wetland candidates for designation.
Report	http://www.nhep.unh.edu/resources/pdf/town_of_fremont-wei-07.pdf

GREENLAND

Activity	Development of stewardship plans for town-owned conservation lands
TAP	Ibis Wildlife Consulting
Applicant	Planning Board
Cost	\$6,500
Timeframe	2/1/2006 – 6/30/2006
Description	The consultant developed a Conservation and Land Stewardship Plan for the town. The Plan includes conservation goals for the town and information about its unique and important natural resources. The Plan includes an assessment of existing town owned lands to determine their potential conservation values and evaluates opportunities to protect other important natural resources. The Plan also identifies specific land management and stewardship recommendations for various town-owned lands.
Report	http://nhep.unh.edu/resources/pdf/greenland_conservation_and-ibis-06.pdf

KINGSTON

Activity	Development of regulations to limit stormwater runoff and development of subdivision and site plan regulations to improve wetland protection
TAP	Stone Environmental, Inc./New Hampshire Soil Consultants, Inc.
Applicant	Planning Board
Cost	\$9,105
Timeframe	5/15/2006 – 9/15/2007
Description	The consultant team suggested revisions to the town's wetlands conservation district regulations and developed new stormwater regulations for the town's consideration. The proposed stormwater regulations were intended to replace an existing article in town code on sediment and erosion control and to expand the regulations to cover other aspects of stormwater control required under USEPA's Phase II stormwater rules. The proposed wetlands conservation district regulations enhanced wetlands protection and defined buffer widths based on wetland characteristics and the underlying zoning district. The town chose to work on revision of the wetlands conservation district regulations prior to addressing the larger and more complicated stormwater regulations. The revised wetlands regulations were planned to be on the March 2008 town warrant.
Report	http://www.nhep.unh.edu/resources/pdf/final_report_overview-tok-07.pdf

NEW DURHAM

Activity	Development of buffer ordinances
TAP	Jeffrey H. Taylor & Associates, Inc./Christopher Kane
Applicant	Planning Board
Cost	\$7,816
Timeframe	6/30/2007 – 2/9/2007
Description	The consultant team developed a water quality protection ordinance for the town and presented information on the ordinance at two town meetings. The ordinance, with subsequent amendments, was approved at the March 2007 town meeting.
Report	http://www.nhep.unh.edu/resources/pdf/town_of_new_jta-07.pdf

NEWFIELDS

Activity	Development of monitoring plans for town-held easements
TAP	Jeffrey H. Taylor & Associates, Inc./Christopher Kane
Applicant	Conservation Commission
Cost	\$7,970
Timeframe	11/18/2005 – 6/30/2006
Description	The consultant developed stewardship plans for four conservation easements held by the town. Each property was visited by the contractor and a local volunteer. Best management practices and monitoring recommendations for the properties were developed. Each property plan includes a property map, site characteristics, pre-existing issues, idiosyncrasies of easement deed, and stewardship recommendations.
Report	http://www.nhep.unh.edu/resources/pdf/stewardship_plan_for-jta-06.pdf

NEWMARKET

Activity	Support for land conservation planning to protect areas of ecological priority
TAP	Ibis Wildlife Consulting
Applicant	Conservation Commission
Cost	\$6,200
Timeframe	8/1/2006 – 4/30/2007
Description	The consultant prepared an Open Space Conservation Plan for the town. The plan identifies important natural resources, existing conservation lands, easements, and open space subdivisions, priority areas for conservation, and specific recommendations for the town and other conservation partners to undertake to protect and manage the town's open spaces and natural resources.
Report	http://www.nhep.unh.edu/resources/pdf/newmarket_open_space-ton-07.pdf

NORTH HAMPTON

Activity	Development of monitoring plans for town-held easements
TAP	Christopher Kane
Applicant	Conservation Commission
Cost	\$7,955
Timeframe	7/31/2006 – 3/15/2007
Description	The consultant conducted a conservation audit and developed a stewardship plan for the town. For the audit, the consultant researched all town records to identify conservation lands and recorded them in a single database with 20 fields of information recorded for each parcel. Subsequently a stewardship and monitoring plan was produced to guide the Conservation Commission's continuing efforts to carry out stewardship activities for town-owned lands and easements.
Report	http://www.nhep.unh.edu/resources/pdf/conservation_audit_and-tonh-07.pdf

NORTHWOOD

Activity	Development of regulations to limit stormwater runoff
TAP	Stone Environmental, Inc.
Applicant	Conservation Commission
Cost	\$7,997
Timeframe	9/15/2006 – 11/15/2007
Description	The consultant reviewed the town's regulations and compared development rules to 20 model development principles developed by the Center for Watershed Protection. Revisions suggested to Northwood's development ordinance, subdivision regulations, and site plan review regulations were intended to improve stormwater management and water quality in the community. The consultant also identified several new ordinances for the town to consider as part of a comprehensive stormwater management approach.
Report	http://www.nhep.unh.edu/resources/pdf/review_of_northwood's_stone-07.pdf

PORTSMOUTH

Activity	Prime Wetlands Designation
TAP	West Environmental, Inc.
Applicant	Conservation Commission
Cost	\$8,450
Timeframe	10/1/2005 – 12/31/2006
Description	The consultant worked with the conservation commission, planning board, and town staff to evaluate and designate prime wetlands. A town-specific evaluation form was developed. Twenty-seven wetlands were evaluated and field inspected to verify boundaries and functional analysis. Thirteen wetlands were proposed for prime designation.
Report	http://www.nhep.unh.edu/resources/pdf/city_of_portsmouth-we-07.pdf

NEXT STEPS

The NHEP solicited feedback from communities as CTAP projects were completed. To date, feedback has been positive and all communities expressed interest in utilizing CTAP again. In general communities participating in CTAP responded that the program was valuable in providing expertise and implementing projects that the boards or town staff otherwise would not be able to implement because of capacity constraints or funding limitations. Community feedback also provided some suggestions for improvements to the program which the NHEP subsequently has incorporated.

Given the early successes of the program and community interest, the NHEP continued CTAP and issued a request for community applications for Round 2 of the program beginning in January 2007. The eleven communities that received assistance in Round 1 of the program were ineligible for assistance in Round 2.

ATTACHMENT: NHEP CTAP Application – Round 1

New Hampshire Estuaries Project Community Technical Assistance Program Request for Applications

SUMMARY

The New Hampshire Estuaries Project (NHEP) initiated a Community Technical Assistance Program to provide assistance to communities on a wide range of regulatory and non-regulatory approaches to natural resources protection. The NHEP *Management Plan* identifies many priorities that involve implementing actions at a community level. In order to implement those actions from the *Management Plan* and to assist communities, the NHEP will hire Technical Assistance Providers (“TAPs”) to work with communities on natural resource topics of mutual interest to the community and the NHEP. TAPs are organizations and firms with expertise in natural resource protection issues and activities.

Communities interested in receiving customized assistance from qualified professionals should submit an application to the NHEP. The program is intended to be simple for communities to participate: the NHEP pays for the assistance and manages the contract agreement with the Technical Assistance Provider (TAP). The NHEP will evaluate community applications and select projects based on completeness of the application; relevance to activities identified by the NHEP; and demonstrated need and commitment by the town. For successful applicants, the NHEP will match a TAP with a specific community project. The NHEP and the community will develop an agreement with the TAP, then NHEP will fund the activities undertaken by the TAP to implement the community project. The NHEP will make up to \$65,000 available through this pilot program to fund a number of community projects. Additional funding may be available in 2006.

Applications will be accepted from communities from August 22, 2005 to June 16, 2006 (or as funds remain) and evaluated as they are received. Interested communities should confirm that funds remain before submitting an application to the NHEP.

NHEP MANAGEMENT PLAN RELEVANCE

The NHEP is a federally funded program that addresses local interests and concerns related to protection and enhancement of the state’s estuarine resources. The NHEP *Management Plan* includes a number of action plans that protect and restore coastal watershed resources. The NHEP implements activities and awards funding to other entities to advance the goals and objectives outlined in the *Management Plan*. Many action plans involve providing assistance and information to planning boards and conservation commissions in order to advance their natural resources protection efforts. Through its newly initiated Community Technical Assistance Program, the NHEP provides municipal planning boards and conservation commissions additional assistance and expertise to implement activities of mutual interest to communities and the NHEP.

Appendix A lists action plans from the *Management Plan* that broadly relate to services and outcomes targeted through this program. The NHEP *Management Plan* can be downloaded from www.nhep.unh.edu/about/management-plan.htm or obtained by calling (603) 862-2641.

ELIGIBLE COMMUNITIES

The 42 towns that are part of New Hampshire's coastal watershed are eligible to receive assistance through this program (see Appendix B for a map and list of communities). Applications must be submitted by planning boards or conservation commissions. Other interested town boards or committees are encouraged to work with their conservation commission or planning board to develop the application; however the conservation commission or planning board must demonstrate support and commitment to the project.

ELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES

The NHEP will fund TAPs to assist communities with the following activities.

Assistance categories and eligible activities:

1) Land Conservation Planning and Natural Resource Protection

- a) Development of stewardship plans for town-owned conservation lands
- b) Development of monitoring plans for town-held easements on conservation lands
- c) Support for land conservation planning to protect areas of ecological priority, including development of strategies to identify high priority areas for protection and pursue protection of those areas
- d) Development of GIS-based natural resources inventories

2) Protection of Buffers for Surface Waters and Wetlands

- a) Development or amendment of buffer protection ordinances – assisting communities in developing and adopting land-use regulations to protect undisturbed shoreland buffers and wetlands buffers
- b) Development or amendment of subdivision and site plan regulations to improve wetland/shoreland buffer protection
- c) Prime wetlands designation
 - i) Education and planning – educating communities on the process of prime wetlands designation and assisting communities to develop a plan to achieve the designation for their high value wetlands
 - ii) Wetlands inventories and evaluation – conducting wetlands inventories and evaluations consistent with the prime wetlands designation process [**Note: If a town wishes to use NHEP funds to conduct wetlands inventories and evaluations, the town must provide matching funds. The NHEP will award up to \$8,000 for wetlands inventories and evaluations. This is the only type of project that will require towns to contribute matching funds.**]
- d) Development and assistance in implementing an outreach program to town residents on buffer maintenance and protection

3) Stormwater Management

- a) Development of regulations to limit stormwater runoff, including site plan and subdivision regulations and conservation design alternatives that incorporate open space protection, impervious surface limits, low impact development, infiltration, and/or innovative best management practices for stormwater treatment
- b) Delivery of training for boards/committees on topics of stormwater management designs and calculations to assist in their review of stormwater management plans for development projects
- c) Development and assistance in implementing an outreach program to town residents on minimizing impervious surfaces and stormwater runoff
- d) Production of community build-out scenarios showing potential for development and changes in impervious surface cover

Although important, the following activities are **not** eligible for this funding:

- Assistance with reviewing site plan and subdivision applications
- Capacity building/general administrative support to assist boards and committees
- Master plan updates and chapter development

OVERVIEW OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Individual community projects will vary considerably in dollar amount and duration, with projects ranging from a month to a year depending on the activity and type of assistance sought.

The following steps describe how the Community Technical Assistance Program is intended to work:

- The NHEP issues request for applications to conservation commissions and planning boards for community projects; communities submit applications (communities must identify focus area, outcome desired, product, and timeline)
- The NHEP reviews community projects – eligible projects queued up on a first-received, first-served basis as funding allows
- The NHEP matches a Technical Assistance Provider (TAP) to community project; TAP prepares scope of services to meet community need
- The NHEP and TAP agree to a scope of services for the community project; the NHEP issues a purchase order to TAP: tasks, products, timeline, and “not to exceed” cost will be included as part of the agreement.
- TAP works with community to provide service as specified in agreement with the NHEP. TAP provides final report or final products to community and the NHEP.
- TAP submits invoice; payment is made by the NHEP

COMMUNITY APPLICATION

Communities must apply using the attached form. Electronic submissions are encouraged; however a signed original must also be received by the NHEP via mail. The NHEP will fund one project per community in this first round of funding. Applications should be emailed to Jodi Castallo at Jodi.Castallo@unh.edu and mailed to:

NH Estuaries Project
Attn: Jodi Castallo
University of New Hampshire
Hewitt Annex, 54 College Road
Durham, NH 03824

Questions on the application should be directed to Jodi Castallo, NHEP Project Coordinator, at (603) 862-2641 or Jodi.Castallo@unh.edu.

PROJECT SELECTION AND DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

Eligible applications from communities will be evaluated by NHEP staff. Applications must:

- Request assistance for one of the eligible activities
- Demonstrate the community's need for the assistance
- Demonstrate the community's commitment in working with the NHEP and TAP to ensure project success
- Commit matching funds (**for wetlands inventories and evaluations only**)

Upon approval of a community application for assistance, the NHEP will assign the project to an appropriate TAP. The TAP, the NHEP, and the community shall develop a project scope of services, which defines the tasks, timeline, and deliverables for the assistance to be provided to the community. Upon agreement of the scope of services and completion of an "Independent Contractor Agreement," between the NHEP and the TAP, a purchase order will be issued for the work assignment, and the TAP will begin working on the community project.

TIMELINE

- Request for Applications released by the NHEP – July 2005
- Community applications for assistance projects accepted on rolling basis – August 2005 to June 2006
- Implementation of community projects (start and end dates staggered) – September 2005 to June 2007
- The NHEP may release a second Request for Applications in 2006, depending on funding availability

New Hampshire Estuaries Project

2005 Community Technical Assistance Program Application Form

Name of primary applicant:

(Specify town and affiliation, e.g. conservation commission or planning board)

Name of primary contact person: _____

Mailing Address: _____

Phone: Day: _____ Evening: _____ Email: _____

1. Please check the community boards or other groups actively supporting this application, and provide a contact name and phone number/e-mail address for each:

Conservation Commission _____

Planning Board _____

Zoning Board _____

Select Board _____

City Council _____

Open Space Committee _____

Board Subcommittee _____

(e.g. zoning revisions, master plan, etc.)

Town Staff _____

(e.g. Town Planner, Public Works Director, etc.)

OTHER (give details): _____

2. Please identify the one eligible activity that you are applying for by checking the appropriate box.

1. Land Conservation Planning and Natural Resource Protection

- Development of stewardship plans for town-owned conservation lands
- Development of monitoring plans for town-held easements on conservation lands
- Support for land conservation planning to protect areas of ecological priority, including development of strategies to identify high priority areas for protection and pursue protection of those areas
- Development of GIS-based natural resources inventories

2. Protection of Buffers for Surface Waters and Wetlands

- Development or amendment of buffer protection ordinances – assisting communities in developing and adopting land-use regulations to protect undisturbed shoreland buffers and wetlands buffers
- Development or amendment of subdivision and site plan regulations to improve wetland/shoreland buffer protection

Prime wetlands designation

- Education and planning – educating communities on the process of prime wetlands designation and assisting communities to develop a plan to achieve the designation for their high value wetlands
- Wetlands inventories and evaluation – conducting wetlands inventories and evaluations consistent with the prime wetlands designation process [**Note: If a town wishes to use NHEP funds to conduct wetlands inventories and evaluations, the town must provide matching funds. The NHEP will award up to \$8,000 for wetlands inventories and evaluations. This is the only type of project that will require towns to contribute matching funds.**]
- Development and assistance in implementing an outreach program to town residents on buffer maintenance and protection

3. Stormwater Management

- Development of regulations to limit stormwater runoff, including site plan and subdivision regulations and conservation design alternatives that incorporate open space protection, impervious surface limits, low impact development, infiltration, and/or innovative best management practices for stormwater treatment
- Delivery of training for boards/committees on topics of stormwater management designs and calculations to assist in their review of stormwater management plans for development projects
- Development and assistance in implementing an outreach program to town residents on minimizing impervious surfaces and stormwater runoff
- Production of community build-out scenarios showing potential for development and changes in impervious surface cover

3. Please give a brief outline of the anticipated work plan and timeline, including the type of assistance you would be requesting, what form it will take (e.g. presentation, workshop, training, technical report, resource maps, etc.), and what role the town boards and committees will play in each step of the plan. Please indicate if there is flexibility in the timeline.

4. What outcome or product do you anticipate?

5. How does this project fit into the community's overall natural resource protection goals?

6. What programs and/or regulatory measures are already in place that addresses natural resource protection in regards to this specific area of assistance?

7. Briefly explain why you need this type of technical assistance.

8. If you are applying for wetland inventories and/or evaluations do you commit to matching the funding? _____yes _____no _____N/A If you answered yes, please identify the amount and source of funds available for the project.

Primary contact signature, certifying that you are committed to working with the NHEP and the Technical Assistance Provider to successfully execute the project.

Name

Date

Appendix A

***Management Plan* action plans that relate to services and outcomes targeted through this program**

LND-1: Prepare a report of current and future levels of imperviousness for the subwatersheds of the NH coastal watershed

LND-2: Implement steps to limit impervious cover and protect streams at the municipal level

LND-6E: Participate and contribute to the development of new smart growth planning tools with emphasis on provisions that protect estuarine water quality

LND-14: Develop and implement an outreach program to assist communities in developing and adopting land use regulations to protect undisturbed shoreland buffers

LND-15: Support land conservation efforts in shoreland areas

LND-20: Develop and implement a Wetlands Buffer Outreach Program for planning boards

LND-25: Encourage municipal designation of Prime Wetlands and 100-foot buffers (or equivalent protection)

LND-29: Provide technical assistance in land protection and management to regional land trusts and municipal conservation commissions

LND-36: Encourage conservation easements

WQ-9: Ensure that water quality and quantity impacts from new development or redevelopment are minimized to the maximum extent practical at the planning board stage of development.

WQ-18: Support and coordinate Stormwater Technical Workshops.

The NHEP *Management Plan* can be downloaded from www.nhep.unh.edu/about/management-plan.htm or obtained by calling (603) 862-2641.

Appendix B

New Hampshire Coastal Watershed – Map and List of Communities



- Barrington
- Brentwood
- Brookfield
- Candia
- Chester
- Danville
- Deerfield
- Dover
- Durham
- East Kingston
- Epping
- Exeter
- Farmington
- Fremont
- Greenland
- Hampton
- Hampton Falls
- Kensington
- Kingston
- Lee
- Madbury
- Middleton
- Milton
- New Castle
- New Durham
- Newfields
- Newington
- Newmarket
- North Hampton
- Northwood
- Nottingham
- Portsmouth
- Raymond
- Rochester
- Rollinsford
- Rye
- Sandown
- Seabrook
- Somersworth
- Stratham
- Wakefield