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ABSTRACT Light adaptation in vertebrate photoreceptors is thought to be mediated through a number of biochemical feedback reactions that reduce the sensitivity of the photoreceptor and accelerate the kinetics of the photosresponse. Ca\(^{2+}\) plays a major role in this process by regulating several components of the phototransduction cascade. Guanylate cyclase and rhodopsin kinase are suggested to be the major sites regulated by Ca\(^{2+}\). Recently, it was proposed that cGMP may be another messenger of light adaptation since it is able to regulate the rate of transducin GTPase and thus the lifetime of activated cGMP phosphodiesterase. Here we report measurements of the rates at which the changes in Ca\(^{2+}\) and cGMP are followed by the changes in the rates of corresponding enzymatic reactions in frog rod outer segments. Our data indicate that there is a temporal hierarchy among reactions that underlie light adaptation. Guanylate cyclase activity and rhodopsin phosphorylation respond to changes in Ca\(^{2+}\) very rapidly, on a subsecond time scale. This enables them to accelerate the falling phase of the flash response and to modulate flash sensitivity during continuous illumination. To the contrary, the acceleration of transducin GTPase, even after significant reduction in cGMP, occurs over several tens of seconds. It is substantially delayed by the slow dissociation of cGMP from the noncatalytic sites for cGMP binding located on cGMP phosphodiesterase. Therefore, cGMP-dependent regulation of transducin GTPase is likely to occur only during prolonged bright illumination.
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INTRODUCTION

Photoresponses in vertebrate photoreceptors begin when light activates an enzymatic cascade including rhodopsin, transducin, and phosphodiesterase (PDE).\(^1\) The resulting decrease in cGMP causes closure of cationic channels in the plasma membrane of the photoreceptor outer segment (reviewed in Chabre and Deterre, 1989; Pugh and Lamb, 1990). Photoreceptors adapt to ambient light through feedback reactions that regulate either the catalytic activity or the catalytic lifetime of individual components of the phototransduction cascade. It is well established that Ca\(^{2+}\) plays a significant role in this process (reviewed by Lagnado and Baylor, 1992; Koutalos and Yau, 1993; Bownds and Arshavsky, 1995). Ca\(^{2+}\) declines in response to light because it can no longer enter through the cationic channels while it continues to be extruded through the Na/Ca,K exchanger. There are at least three sites of Ca\(^{2+}\) regulation in the cascade. The first is the regulation of the lifetime of light-activated rhodopsin. Rhodopsin is turned off when it is phosphorylated by rhodopsin kinase followed by the binding of arrestin. Rhodopsin phosphorylation is inhibited when rhodopsin kinase forms a complex with the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding protein, recoverin. The light-dependent decrease in cytoplasmic Ca\(^{2+}\) is thought to cause the dissociation of this complex, increasing the rate of rhodopsin phosphorylation. Another site of Ca\(^{2+}\) regulation is guanylate cyclase, the enzyme responsible for cGMP synthesis. This regulation is conferred through the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding proteins known as guanylate cyclase activating proteins (GCAPs) (Palczewski et al., 1994; Dizhoon et al., 1995). Cyclase activity is low in darkness and increases when Ca\(^{2+}\) levels drop in response to light. The third site is the regulation of the sensitivity of the cationic channel to cGMP. Lowering Ca\(^{2+}\) causes the channel to become more sensitive to cGMP due to the dissociation of calmodulin (CaM) or a closely related Ca\(^{2+}\) binding protein. This might result in the accelerated recovery of the photoresponse by facilitating the reopening of channels. In addition, the gain of the cascade may also be regulated by Ca\(^{2+}\) independently of changes in inactivation and recovery (Lagnado and Baylor, 1994). This hypothesis is based on the observation that the rate of the photoresponse rising phase in truncated rods is reduced when Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration is lowered.

CgMP might also serve as a messenger of adaptation by regulating the duration of PDE activation by transducin (Arshavsky et al., 1991, 1992; Arshavsky and Bownds,
cGMP binding to nont catalytic sites on the PDE molecule modulates the rate of transducin GTPase, the reaction responsible for PDE shut off. When these sites are occupied by cGMP, the rate of GTP hydrolysis is relatively slow and thus PDE stays active for a relatively long time. When light causes the decline of free cGMP, followed by cGMP dissociation from the nont catalytic sites, the rate of GTP hydrolysis is accelerated by severalfold and the duration of PDE activation is reduced. This mechanism could accelerate the recovery of the photosensitivity.

To understand the time course of the onset of the feedback controls discussed above, three issues should be addressed: (a) the kinetic parameters for the regulation of the enzymes targeted by feedback messengers, (b) the rate of reduction of free feedback messengers in the photoreceptor cytoplasm in response to light, and (c) the delay between feedback messenger decline and the corresponding change in enzymatic activity (including the dissociation of bound messengers from regulatory proteins and the subsequent change in protein–protein interactions). The rates of cGMP and Ca$^{2+}$ reductions in rod outer segments (ROS) have been well characterized. Changes in free cGMP are simply reflected in the changes in the photocurrent that senses cGMP binding to guanylate cyclase. Changes in free cGMP are directly followed by a decrease in free cGMP, followed by cGMP dissociation directly in order to remove contaminating Ca$^{2+}$. All the solutions had a final osmolarity of 232–238 mosM. Where required, Ca$^{2+}$ stock solutions, the H$_2$O content in these salts was determined gravimetrically and their formula weights were adjusted accordingly.

Guanylate Cyclase Assay

Guanylate cyclase activity was determined in ROS homogenates of R. catesbeiana (formerly R. helle, Klenckh et al., 1995). Since commercially available CaCl$_2$ and BPAPTA salts contain unpredictable levels of H$_2$O, which could significantly affect their concentrations on making stock solutions, the H$_2$O content in these salts was determined gravimetrically and their formula weights were adjusted accordingly. 4X stock solutions with varying concentrations of CaCl$_2$ and 20 mM BPAPTA concentration were prepared in the pseudointracellular medium. Free Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations of these stocks were calculated using the program BAD (Brooks and Story, 1992). The validity of this method was checked using both a calcium selective electrode (Microelectrodes, Inc., Londonderry, NH) and Fluo-3 indicator dye.

Preparation of Rod Outer Segments

Live bullfrogs (Rana catesbeiana or Rana grylio) were purchased from commercial sources and maintained with feeding on a 12-h light-dark cycle for at least 2 wk before use (Woodruff and Bownds, 1979). All manipulations were performed under infrared illumination. Animals were killed by decapitation, retinas were removed, placed into Ringer solution containing 5% Percoll and ROS were purified on a Percoll gradient as described in Biernbaum and Bownds (1985a). Intact ROS were washed free of Percoll in pseudointracellular medium and kept on ice. Before each experiment, ROS were disrupted in a Potter-Elvehjem homogenizer, creating a membrane suspension with no structure detectable under light microscopy (Dumke et al., 1994). Rhodopsin concentration was determined spectrophotometrically according to Bownds et al. (1971). All experiments were carried out at 22°C.

Guanylate Cyclase Assay

Guanylate cyclase activity was determined in ROS homogenates using thin layer chromatography as described by Dizhoor et al.
(1994). The reaction was performed in 500 μl Eppendorf tubes upon vigorous vortexing. The reaction was started by the addition of 10 μl of pseudointracellular medium supplemented with [α-32P]GTP (either 1 mM or 200 μM), 10 μM ATP, and 10 mM [3H]cGMP to 10 μl of the ROS suspension supplemented with 100 μM zaprinast and Ca2+-BAPTA buffer. 32P-labeled GTP, ATP, and zaprinast were used to reduce PDE activity that would otherwise hydrolyze the cGMP formed by guanylate cyclase, confounding the measurement of its activity. 32P-labeled GTP was used rather than 32P-GTP to avoid bleaching of rhodopsin by Cerenkov radiation, caused by the decay of 32P, and the subsequent activation of PDE (Biernbaum et al., 1991). ATP was provided to quench contaminating bleached rhodopsin in the preparation through its phosphorylation by endogenous kinase. Zaprinast is a potent PDE inhibitor (Gillespie and Beavo, 1989). This strategy reduced the hydrolysis of cGMP, as measured by the reduction in added [3H]cGMP, to <5% over the time course of our experiments. The reaction was quenched with 100 μl of 50 mM EDTA, pH 7.0, followed by 1 min boiling to precipitate proteins. Samples were then centrifuged on a Beckman Microfuge E for 10 min and 10-μl aliquots were loaded on PEI-cellulose thin layer chromatography plates (EM Sciences, Gibbstown, NJ). The nucleotides were separated using 0.2 M LiCl. Spots containing cGMP were visualized under ultraviolet illumination, cut from the plate, eluted with 2 M LiCl, mixed with 10 ml ScintiSafe cocktail (Fisher Scientific Co., Santa Clara, CA) and counted in a scintillation counter.

Rhodopsin Phosphorylation Assay

Rhodopsin phosphorylation was measured essentially as described by Klenchin et al. (1995). The reaction was started with the addition 10 μl of [γ-32P]ATP to 10 μl ROS containing Ca2+-BAPTA buffer and 30 μM myristoylated recombinant bovine recoverin. The reaction was quenched with 80 μl of 50 mM EDTA, 100 mM KF, and 100 mM Na-phosphate buffer, pH 7.5. This solution terminated both rhodopsin phosphorylation and dephosphorylation. 50 μl of quenched sample was applied to nitrocellulose filter and washed six times with 1 ml of 100 mM Na-phosphate buffer, pH 7.5. Filters were placed in scintillation vials, dissolved in 2 ml glacial acetic acid, mixed with 10 ml ScintiSafe (Fisher Scientific Co.) and counted. Recombinant recoverin was produced using a bacterial expression system (Dizhoor et al., 1991). The expression system was a kind gift from Dr. J.B. Hurley (University of Washington, Seattle, WA).

cGMP Binding Assay
cGMP binding to the PDE noncatalytic sites was determined by the nitrocellulose filter binding technique described in detail by Cote and Brunnock (1993). ROS were incubated for 30 min at room temperature to completely dissociate the endogenous cGMP from the PDE noncatalytic sites. Nucleotide-depleted ROS were incubated for 1 min with 3 μM [3H]cGMP, a time sufficient to reach binding equilibrium (Cote and Brunnock, 1993). Dissociation of the labeled cGMP was then initiated by a chase with either an excess of the unlabeled cGMP or a mixture of CaM-PDE with CaM (80 U CaM per unit CaM-PDE). At different times after the chase, 15-μl portions were added to nitrocellulose filters. The filters were rinsed with three 1-ml portions of ice-cold pseudointracellular medium, dissolved in glacial acetic acid and counted in ScintiSafe cocktail.

GTPase Assay

Transducin GTPase activity was determined by a modified method of Godchaux and Zimmerman (1979) described by Arshavsky et al. (1991). 20 μl of bleached ROS (20 μM rhodopsin final concentration) were mixed with 10 μl of [γ-32P]GTP (4 μM final concentration) in 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes. The reaction was stopped in 3 s with 100 μl of 6% perchloric acid. The samples were incubated for 10 min with 0.7 ml activated charcoal (100 mg/ml in 50 mM Na-phosphate buffer, pH 7.5), sedimented, and 32P in the supernatant was measured with ScintiSafe cocktail. Control experiments carried out in darkness indicate that >90% of GTP hydrolysis was light-dependent. Therefore, we conclude that most of the GTPase activity in these experiments is attributable to transducin.

RESULTS

Ca2+ Feedback

Guanylate cyclase activity changes quickly after a rapid change in free Ca2+. The regulation of guanylate cyclase activity by Ca2+ in rod photoreceptor outer segments has been well characterized in bovine rod photoreceptors (Koch and Stryer, 1988; Gorczyca et al., 1994; Dizhoor et al., 1994). Ca2+ regulation of the guanylate cyclase in frog ROS has also been established (Coccia and Cote, 1994); however, a detailed analysis of the Ca2+ dependence was not performed. Such an analysis in a suspension of
frog ROS is shown in Fig. 1. The cyclase activity was expressed as the cGMP concentration produced in the ROS cytoplasm per second. The value of 6 mM rhodopsin with respect to the ROS cytoplasm was used (see Bownds and Arshavsky, 1995). The Ca\(^{2+}\) dependence was approximated by the Hill equation:

\[
\alpha = \alpha_{\max} - (\alpha_{\max} - \alpha_{\min}) \cdot \frac{\text{Ca}^{2+}}{K_{1/2} + \text{Ca}^{2+}} \quad \text{(1)}
\]

where \(\alpha\) is the rate of cGMP formation, \(\alpha_{\max}\) is the maximum cyclase rate at low Ca\(^{2+}\), \(\alpha_{\min}\) is the minimum cyclase rate at high Ca\(^{2+}\), \(K_{1/2}\) is the half saturating Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration, and \(n\) is the Hill coefficient. Fitting this equation to the data in Fig. 1, accumulated in four independent experiments performed in a ROS suspension containing 20 \(\mu\)M rhodopsin, yielded \(\alpha_{\max} = 9.74 \pm 0.4 \mu\text{M/s (mean \pm SD)}\), \(\alpha_{\min} = 1.9 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{M/s with} \ K_{1/2} = 255 \pm 21 \text{nM and} \ n = 1.8 \pm 0.2\). These values are similar to those found for rod cells of other vertebrate species (Koch and Stryer, 1988; Gorczyca et al., 1994a; Dizhoor et al., 1994; Koutalos et al., 1995a). Since it has been reported that ATP and its nonhydrolyzable analogs stimulate the activity of guanylate cyclase in other systems (Gorczyca et al., 1994b; Aparicio and Applebury, 1996), we tested whether ATP has a similar effect in our preparation. No effect of ATP was observed (not shown), probably because ATP does not dissociate from corresponding regulatory sites in a fresh suspension of frog ROS.

In the next set of experiments, we determined whether the parameters of the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent cyclase regulation are dependent on the ROS concentration used in the assay. While \(K_{1/2}\) and \(n\) are essentially unaffected, the magnitude of the effect is higher at high than at low ROS concentrations (see Fig. 1, inset). A more thorough investigation of the ROS concentration effect on the extent of cyclase regulation by Ca\(^{2+}\) is shown in Fig. 2, where the cyclase rates at low and high Ca\(^{2+}\) were measured at various concentrations of ROS taken from the same stock. The increase in ROS concentration is accompanied by both an increase of cyclase activity at low Ca\(^{2+}\) and a decrease in cyclase activity at high Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 2A). This result is consistent with a larger fraction of cyclase being in a complex with guanylate cyclase–activating protein, which, according to Dizhoor and Hurley (1996), serves both as cyclase activator at low Ca\(^{2+}\) and cyclase inhibitor at high Ca\(^{2+}\). As shown in Fig. 2B, the highest ratio that we observed was \(~10\)-fold. This effect does not saturate over the range of ROS concentration that we could study, precluding us from providing the exact magnitude of this regulation in intact frog ROS.

Next we measured how rapidly the cyclase activity increased after Ca\(^{2+}\) was abruptly changed from high to low concentration. ROS suspensions were preincubated either at 10 \(\mu\)M or 10 nM Ca\(^{2+}\) for at least 2 min before the initiation of the cyclase reaction. The reaction was initiated by the addition of [\(\alpha\)\(^{33}\)P]GTP according to one of three protocols: (a) Ca\(^{2+}\) was high throughout the experiment—ROS suspensions containing 10 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\) were mixed with medium containing [\(\alpha\)\(^{33}\)P]GTP and 10 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\); (b) Ca\(^{2+}\) was low throughout the experiment—ROS suspensions containing 10 nM Ca\(^{2+}\) were mixed with medium containing [\(\alpha\)\(^{33}\)P]GTP and 10 nM Ca\(^{2+}\); and (c) Ca\(^{2+}\) started high and was lowered at the initiation of the reaction—
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**Figure 2.** The extent of Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent regulation of guanylate cyclase activity in frog ROS increases with the increase in ROS concentration. (A) The cyclase activity in ROS suspensions containing indicated amounts of rhodopsin was measured at 13 nM (○) and 13 \(\mu\)M (●) Ca\(^{2+}\) as described for Fig. 1, except that 1 nM GTP was used and the incubation time was 20 s. The lines represent linear regression drawn through the points. (B) The ratios of values obtained in A for low and high Ca\(^{2+}\) are plotted as a function of ROS concentration. The line is a linear regression drawn through the points. All data are taken from one of three identical experiments.
ROS suspensions containing 10 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) were mixed with medium containing \([\alpha^32P]\)GTP and 1 mM EGTA, an amount sufficient to reduce the Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration to 10 nM (we will refer to this protocol as the transition condition). To improve the signal to noise ratio in these experiments, we used 200 μM GTP, close to the cyclase \(K_m\) value. Fig. 5 A shows the synthesis of cGMP under each of the three conditions. In each case, cGMP synthesis was practically a linear process and the cyclase activity measured under the transition condition was essentially equal to the rate measured under the low Ca\(^{2+}\) condition. A more precise analysis of the data obtained under the transition condition was performed with the following equation:

\[
cGMP = \alpha_{\text{min}} \cdot t + (\alpha_{\text{max}} - \alpha_{\text{min}}) \cdot \int_0^t (1 - e^{-t/\tau}) \, dt \tag{2}
\]

where \(\tau\) is the time constant for the exponential transition of the cyclase rate from \(\alpha_{\text{min}}\) to \(\alpha_{\text{max}}\) after a sudden reduction in Ca\(^{2+}\). The mean value of \(\alpha\) from three experiments was \(~200\) ms. The dashed line in Fig. 3, which represents a theoretical transition with \(\tau = 1\) s, provides a measure of the resolution of this technique. \(\tau\) in this experiment includes the time constant for the dissociation of Ca\(^{2+}\) from its binding sites on the guanylate cyclase regulatory proteins and any subsequent physical processes that lead to a change in the cyclase rate as well as the experimentally introduced delay associated with the mixing of reactants. Therefore, the \(\tau\) obtained using this analysis should be considered as an upper limit for the cyclase transition.

Using a similar strategy, we also analyzed how rapidly the cyclase activity decreased after Ca\(^{2+}\) was abruptly increased. The choice of Ca\(^{2+}\) range in this experiment was more crucial than in the previous experiment because the rate of Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to the cyclase regulatory proteins is a function of free Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration, whereas the rate of Ca\(^{2+}\) dissociation in the previous experiment is not. Thus, the 100–500 nM Ca\(^{2+}\) step was selected because it covered most of the dynamic range of cyclase regulation and most of the physiological range of Ca\(^{2+}\) changes. Fig. 3 B shows that the decrease

80 μl of 50 mM EDTA, pH 7.0. The data are plotted as the millimoles cGMP produced per mole of rhodopsin versus time. The solid lines through the closed and open circles are straight lines originating from zero, providing cyclase rates of 0.72 and 4.92 M/s, and stayed at 500 nM Ca\(^{2+}\) throughout the experiment (○); (B) The transition from fast to slow cyclase rate. An experiment analogous to that shown in A was performed to estimate the rate of transition of the cyclase rate at 100 nM Ca\(^{2+}\) to that at 500 nM Ca\(^{2+}\). The time course of the cGMP production in ROS suspensions containing 20 μM rhodopsin was measured with 10 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) (●), 10 nM Ca\(^{2+}\) (○), and after a step from 10 μM to 10 nM Ca\(^{2+}\) (●). The cyclase reaction was initiated with the addition of 10 μl pseudopseudocellular medium containing 400 μM [\(\alpha^32P]\)GTP and 20 mM GTP to 10 μl of ROS suspensions containing 40 μM rhodopsin and 200 μM zaprinast. At indicated times, the reaction was quenched with Figure 3. Guanylate cyclase activity quickly changes after a rapid change in free Ca\(^{2+}\). (A) The transition from slow to fast cyclase rate. The time course of cGMP synthesis in ROS suspensions containing 20 μM rhodopsin was measured with 10 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) (●), 10 nM Ca\(^{2+}\) (○), and after a step from 10 μM to 10 nM Ca\(^{2+}\) (●). The cyclase reaction was initiated with the addition of 10 μl pseudopseudocellular medium containing 400 μM [\(\alpha^32P]\)GTP and 20 mM GTP to 10 μl of ROS suspensions containing 40 μM rhodopsin and 200 μM zaprinast. At indicated times, the reaction was quenched with
in cyclase activity upon Ca\(^{2+}\) increase was also very fast (\(\tau\) less than the resolution of this technique), indicating that the change in the rate of cyclase closely follows the change in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration in either direction.

*Rhodopsin Kinase Activity Increases Quickly after a Rapid Reduction in Free Ca\(^{2+}\).* We measured how fast the rhodopsin kinase activity increased when Ca\(^{2+}\) was abruptly changed from high to low concentration in experiments similar to those described for the guanylate cyclase. Previous studies have shown that the affinity of recoverin for rhodopsin kinase is low and that significant effects of recoverin on rhodopsin phosphorylation in ROS suspensions may be observed only with the addition of exogenous recoverin (Kawamura, 1993; Klenchin et al., 1995). Therefore, we supplemented ROS suspensions with 30 \(\mu\)M exogenous recoverin, which approximates the endogenous concentration in the cytoplasm of intact frog ROS (Klenchin et al., 1995). We used myristoylated recombinant bovine recoverin, which regulates rhodopsin phosphorylation in frog ROS in the same way as the endogenous frog recoverin (Kawamura et al., 1993; Klenchin et al., 1995). Recoverin-supplemented ROS suspensions were preincubated either at 10 \(\mu\)M or 10 nM Ca\(^{2+}\) for at least 2 min before the initiation of the rhodopsin phosphorylation reaction. Rhodopsin was fully bleached with white light immediately before initiation of the reaction to ensure that it is not limiting in the reaction. The reaction was started by the addition of 25 \(\mu\)M \([\gamma^{32}\text{P}]\text{ATP}\) according to one of three protocols (analogous to the cyclase conditions described above): (a) ROS in 10 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\) were mixed with \([\gamma^{32}\text{P}]\text{ATP}\) in 10 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\); (b) ROS in 10 nM Ca\(^{2+}\) were mixed with \([\gamma^{32}\text{P}]\text{ATP}\) in 10 nM Ca\(^{2+}\); (c) ROS in 10 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\) were mixed with \([\gamma^{32}\text{P}]\text{ATP}\) in the pseudo-intracellular medium containing 1 mM EGTA, an amount sufficient to reduce the Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration to 10 nM upon the start of the reaction.

As with the cyclase, the incorporation of P\(_i\) into rhodopsin measured under the transition condition was essentially equal to the rate measured in the low Ca\(^{2+}\) condition (Fig. 4). Using a similar approach as that used for the cyclase, a time constant for the transition between slow and fast rhodopsin phosphorylation of \(~100\) ms was obtained, which is less than resolution of our method. Measurements of the kinase activity decrease after Ca\(^{2+}\) increase were not performed, since the dynamic range of the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent kinase regulation in vitro, where measurements could be performed, is \(~10\)-fold higher than that predicted in vivo (Klenchin et al., 1995; Chen et al., 1995) and the transition rates obtained at this high Ca\(^{2+}\) would not represent the physiological situation.

**cGMP Feedback**

**cGMP dissociation from the PDE noncatalytic sites after a rapid reduction in free cGMP.** One goal of this study was to correlate the rate of cGMP dissociation from PDE noncatalytic cGMP binding sites with the dynamics of transition between “slow” and “fast” transducin GTPase measured in the same ROS suspension. To carry out these experiments, we needed to modify the pulse-chase technique previously used for monitoring cGMP dissociation from the noncatalytic sites (Cote and Brunnock, 1993; Cote et al., 1994). In those studies, noncatalytic sites were first loaded with radio-labeled cGMP,
and then its dissociation from the sites was monitored after a chase with a large excess of nonlabeled cGMP. This approach could not be used in this study since the nonlabeled cGMP quickly substitutes labeled cGMP in the noncatalytic sites and, therefore, they remain occupied during the entire course of the experiment. Instead, we needed to rapidly remove free cGMP as well as cGMP dissociating from the noncatalytic sites from the reaction mixture. To accomplish this, we substituted the cGMP chase with the addition of an excess of CaM-PDE from bovine brain, which hydrolyzes free but not bound cGMP. We decided to use CaM-PDE rather than any other phosphodiesterase type because it has a high level of cGMP hydrolytic activity, lacks noncatalytic cGMP binding sites, and is commercially available. CaM-PDE in the chase was fully activated by CaM and CaCl₂. Control experiments have shown that Ca²⁺, CaM, and CaM-PDE do not interfere with GTP hydrolysis by transducin and that addition of Ca²⁺ and CaM does not alter the kinetics of cGMP dissociation from PDE noncatalytic sites as measured by a chase with excess cGMP (data not shown).

The kinetics of cGMP dissociation from the noncatalytic sites of nonactivated PDE after addition of various amounts of CaM/CaM-PDE are shown in Fig. 5 A. A suspension of frog ROS was preincubated with 3 μM [³²H]cGMP for 1 min, and then, at time zero, either buffer (Fig. 5 A, upper curve) or indicated amounts of CaM/CaM-PDE were added. The addition of buffer alone causes a very slow reduction in the amount of [³²H]cGMP bound to the PDE noncatalytic sites. This process is slow most likely because the probability of dissociated cGMP to rebind with the noncatalytic sites is higher than the probability for this cGMP to be hydrolyzed by the basal PDE activity. Additions of increasing concentrations of CaM/CaM-PDE result in a faster decline of the amount of [³²H]cGMP bound to the PDE noncatalytic sites until saturation occurs at CaM-PDE concentration of ~150 activity U/liter. cGMP dissociation at saturating concentrations of CaM-PDE is described as a single exponential process with a rate constant of 0.0032 ± 0.0003 s⁻¹ (n = 8), close to the rate constant observed after a chase with excess nonlabeled cGMP (0.0025 ± 0.0002 s⁻¹, n = 3; Fig. 5 B).

Transition between slow and fast transducin GTPase directly follows cGMP dissociation from PDE noncatalytic binding sites. The correlation of cGMP dissociation from the PDE noncatalytic sites with the transition between slow and fast transducin GTPase is shown in Fig. 6. Bleached ROS were preincubated with [³²H]cGMP to fully occupy the PDE noncatalytic sites, and then the dissociation of bound cGMP was initiated at time zero by a chase with CaM/CaM-PDE. Aliquots were taken from this mixture to determine either the amount of bound cGMP (Fig. 6 A) or the rate of transducin GTPase (Fig. 6 B). The GTPase determinations were initiated with the addition of either [γ⁻³²P]GTP or a mixture of [γ⁻³²P]GTP and 1 mM cGMP. GTPase measurements were conducted for only 3 s to minimize further cGMP dissociation during the measurement. The measurements with GTP/cGMP mixture provide a control that shows that the rate of slow GTPase remains constant during the course of this experiment. The major observation of this experiment is that the extent of the noncatalytic site occupancy by cGMP precisely corresponds to the extent of the transition of transducin GTPase between slow and fast rates. Both processes were described by single exponents: the rate constant for cGMP dissociation was 0.0030 ± 0.0002 s⁻¹ (n = 4), while the rate constant for the transition between slow and fast GTPase was 0.0031 ± 0.0008 s⁻¹ (n = 4). This coincidence indicates that there is no substantial delay in the transition of transducin GTPase activity during the measurement.

### Figure 5

[Description of Figure 5](#)
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none; □, 7.5; ■, 37; ▲, 150; ○, 740. The data from two lower curves of A and the curve in B are single exponential fits. The rest of the curves are hand drawn. The figure is representative of three similar experiments.
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Figure 6. Correlation between cGMP dissociation from the PDE noncatalytic sites (A) and the transition between slow and fast transducin GTPase (B). 600 μl of a ROS suspension containing 30 μM rhodopsin was incubated for 1 min with 3 μM [3H]cGMP, and then a CaM/CaM-PDE mixture (16,000 U/liter CaM, 200 U/liter CaM-PDE) was added at time zero. The amounts of cGMP bound to PDE (A) were determined in 15-μl aliquots of the reaction mixture and the rates of transducin GTPase (B) were determined in 20-μl aliquots at indicated times as described in methods. GTPase activity was monitored either with the addition of 4 μM [γ-32P]GTP alone (○) or with a mixture of 4 μM [γ-32P]GTP and 1 mM cGMP (●). The rate constant for cGMP dissociation, determined by fitting a single exponential to the data, was 0.0029 s⁻¹. The rate constant for the GTPase transition from slow to fast was also determined by a fit of the data to a single exponential process and found to be 0.0027 s⁻¹. The GTPase rate when cGMP was added with the GTP was constant (lower curve in B) and was fit with a horizontal line. The figure is representative of four similar experiments.

between dissociation of cGMP from the noncatalytic binding sites and the onset of fast transducin GTPase. Further, the correspondence of the extent of cGMP dissociation with the extent of GTPase activation indicates that each PDE noncatalytic site plays an equal role in regulating transducin GTPase.

cGMP dissociation from the noncatalytic sites of activated PDE after a rapid reduction of free cGMP: Having established that the increase in transducin GTPase directly follows the dissociation of cGMP from the PDE noncatalytic sites, we wanted to know how fast cGMP might dissociate from these sites during the photoreceptor light response. The dissociation rate derived from the data presented in Fig. 5 gives only a slower limit for this process because PDE activation by transducin results in an accelerated rate of cGMP dissociation from the noncatalytic sites (Yamazaki et al., 1982, 1996; Cote et al., 1994). In Fig. 7, we compare the rates of cGMP dissociation from the noncatalytic sites of activated and nonactivated PDE after a chase with CaM/CaM-PDE. As reported earlier (Cote and Brunnock, 1993; Cote et al., 1994; Yamazaki et al., 1996) and as shown above in Fig. 5, cGMP dissociation from nonactivated PDE is a single exponential process, while cGMP dissociation from transducin-activated PDE is biphasic. cGMP dissociates from 32 ± 7% of the sites with a rate constant of 0.11 ± 0.04 s⁻¹ and from 68 ± 7% with a rate constant of 0.006 ± 0.001 s⁻¹ (n = 5). These rates are at least threefold faster than those obtained by Cote et al. (1994) after a chase with an excess of unlabeled cGMP. A reasonable explanation for this difference is provided by Yamazaki et al. (1996), who suggested that cGMP release from the noncatalytic sites of activated PDE may be inhibited by high concentrations of cGMP. Cote et al. (1994) and Yamazaki et al. (1996) proposed that the biphasic cGMP dissociation is due to a heterogeneity in the noncatalytic cGMP binding sites. However, the mechanism may be different considering that the maximal high affinity cGMP binding observed in our experiments corresponds to two cGMP molecules per PDE holoenzyme, while the amplitudes of the two phases of cGMP dissociation are twofold different rather than being equal as expected.

A chase with GTPγS in the absence of CaM-PDE (Fig. 7, middle curve) results in cGMP dissociation kinetics that are only slightly slower than when both GTPγS and CaM/CaM-PDE are included in the chase. 34 ± 7% of the cGMP dissociates with a rate of 0.08 ± 0.02 s⁻¹ and 66 ± 7% of cGMP dissociates with a rate of 0.005 ± 0.001 s⁻¹ (n = 3). This is in good agreement with the data of Yamazaki et al. (1996) obtained under similar conditions. The virtual coincidence of the cGMP dissociation rate from activated PDE in the presence and absence of CaM/CaM-PDE indicates that when cGMP is present in the physiological concentration range (sev-
rhodopsin) were preincubated for 1 min with 3 μM [3H]cGMP. cGMP dissociation from the PDE noncatalytic sites was initiated at time zero by a chase with CaM/CaM-PDE (80,000 U/liter CaM, 1,000 U/liter CaM-PDE) alone (△), CaM/CaM-PDE with 20 μM GTPγS (○), or with 20 μM GTPγS alone (●). The amounts of [3H]cGMP bound to PDE were determined as described previously. A single exponential process was fit to the data for nonactivated PDE yielding a rate constant of 0.0033 s⁻¹. The data for the dissociation of cGMP from activated PDE with and without the CaM/CaM-PDE chase were each approximated with the sum of two exponential processes. In the case where CaM/CaM-PDE was included in the chase, cGMP dissociated from 33% of the sites with a rate constant of 0.170 s⁻¹ and from 67% of the sites with a rate constant of 0.0082. In the case where the chase was initiated with GTPγS alone, cGMP dissociated from 33% of the sites with a rate constant of 0.005 s⁻¹ and from 67% of the sites with a rate constant of 0.0058 s⁻¹. The figure is representative of three similar experiments.

**Discussion**

**Ca²⁺ Feedback on Guanylate Cyclase**

In the experiments reported in this study, guanylate cyclase activity quickly increases to its maximum after a reduction of the Ca²⁺ concentration with a time constant of ~200 ms (Fig. 3 A). With this parameter, and knowing the $K_{1/2}$ and the Hill coefficient for the Ca²⁺-dependent regulation of cyclase from Fig. 1, we can predict the time course of the increase in cyclase activity as a result of the light-dependent Ca²⁺ reduction in bullfrog ROS. For this analysis, we chose to use a 10-fold regulation of the cyclase by Ca²⁺, the largest extent of regulation directly observed in our experiments and the lower limit of this parameter in intact cells (see Fig. 2). The analysis is based on the time course of the light-dependent Ca²⁺ reduction in bullfrog ROS cytoplasm as measured by McCarthy et al. (1996). Ca²⁺ declines as the sum of three exponential processes as depicted in Fig. 8 A, where the Ca²⁺ concentration is expressed as a percentage of dark Ca²⁺ concentration. Some ambiguity remains as to the actual value of Ca²⁺ concentration in the dark. McCarthy et al. (1996) suggest that the dark Ca²⁺ concentration is within the range of 200–400 nM, so we performed separate analyses using each of these values. The predicted time courses for the onset of the cyclase are shown in Fig. 8 B, where a 200-ms delay between the change in Ca²⁺ and the change in the cyclase rate has been imposed. It is seen that the range of the cyclase activity change is highly dependent on the value for the dark Ca²⁺ concentration. A 2.6-fold increase in cyclase activity is observed when the dark Ca²⁺ is assumed to be 400 nM, whereas only a 1.5-fold increase is observed with 200 nM dark Ca²⁺. We favor the higher value of free dark Ca²⁺ concentration for two reasons. First, it uses more of the dynamic range of cyclase regulation. A value for $K_{1/2}$ (255 nM, Fig. 1) that is higher than the dark Ca²⁺ level will preclude a regulation of the cyclase from being more than twofold, while physiological data (see below) argue for a larger extent of regulation. Second, it is consistent with the estimates of the dark ROS Ca²⁺ concentration in other lower vertebrates: 410 nM from Lagnado et al. (1992) and 534 nM from Sampath et al. (1997) for salamander, and 550 nM from Gray-Keller and Detwiler (1994) for gecko. Importantly, our analysis reveals that the changes in the cyclase activity after a saturating light occur slightly faster than the changes in free Ca²⁺. The cyclase rate increases 90% within ~5 s, whereas a 90% decrease in Ca²⁺ occurs in ~10 s. This is because the Ca²⁺ dependence of the cyclase is cooperative and the half-saturating Ca²⁺ concentration is close to the dark Ca²⁺ level. It should be noted that our analysis is based on the spatially averaged Ca²⁺ concentration in ROS (McCarthy et al., 1996) and, therefore, should be considered as a spatially averaged change in the cyclase rate.

It is interesting to compare our biochemical analysis of guanylate cyclase regulation with indirect estimates obtained using physiological techniques (a more detailed discussion on this topic may be found in a recent review by Pugh et al., 1997). The first physiological measurements of the light-dependent increase in cyclase activity were reported by Hodgkin and Nunn (1988) in salamander rods. By monitoring the rates of the photo-sensitive current rise after suddenly inhibiting PDE by IBMX (3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine), they estimated the maximum increase to be ~104-fold (Fig. 15 from Hodgkin and Nunn, 1988). A smaller value of sixfold was later reported by Cornwall and Fain (1994).
To the contrary, Koutalos et al. (1995a) made indirect measurements of the Ca$^{2+}$ dependence of cyclase activity in truncated salamander ROS and concluded that the maximal extent of the light-dependent cyclase activation is $\sim$30-fold (assuming 400 nM as the dark Ca$^{2+}$ concentration). This difference may be explained by the fact that Koutalos et al. (1995a) measured the cyclase activity at high Ca$^{2+}$ to be zero. In fact, their cyclase measurements had a resolution of 1–2 $\mu$M/s (Y. Koutalos, personal communication). Thus, in their experiments, enzymatic rates below $\sim$2 $\mu$M/s may have been indistinguishable from zero and the actual high Ca$^{2+}$ rate may have been anywhere from zero to 2 $\mu$M/s. If we assume that the cyclase rate at high Ca$^{2+}$ was the same as the rate we measured in frogs at the highest ROS concentration, $\sim$1 $\mu$M/s, then the dynamic range of cyclase activation measured by Koutalos et al. (1995a) would be approximately ninefold, in agreement with others. This activation level is also consistent with the estimates by Dawis et al. (1988) who have found that bright light causes an 8- to 10-fold increase in the cGMP metabolic flux rate in toad retinas.

The at least 10-fold range of guanylate cyclase regulation by Ca$^{2+}$ reported in this study (Fig. 2) is well consistent with the 6–10-fold dark–light difference predicted from the physiological studies. However, the actual range of cyclase stimulation by light calculated in Fig. 8B is only 2.6-fold. We propose three simple explanations for this discrepancy. First, the high/low Ca$^{2+}$ ratio of the cyclase activity in intact photoreceptors should be greater than the 10-fold that we were able to measure in Fig. 2B. Second, it is possible that the actual Ca$^{2+}$ concentration in a dark adapted frog ROS is higher than the 400 nM value used in the calculations. This would allow cyclase to operate over a larger portion of its dynamic range. Third, it is possible that the $K_{1/2}$ value for the Ca$^{2+}$ regulation of cyclase in frog is higher than in salamander. Indeed, while we observe a $K_{1/2}$ of 255 nM in frog, Koutalos et al. (1995a) report a $K_{1/2}$ value of 87 nM in salamander. Assuming that the dark Ca$^{2+}$ levels in frog and salamander are similar and equal to $\sim$400 nM, then the light-dependent range of the cyclase activation covers practically the entire Ca$^{2+}$-dependent range in salamander, whereas only a portion of the Ca$^{2+}$-dependent range is used by frogs. Another important consequence of the position of the $K_{1/2}$

The upper curve was calculated assuming a dark Ca$^{2+}$ level of 200 nM and the lower curve with a dark Ca$^{2+}$ of 400 nM. (C) Onset of rhodopsin kinase activity. In this case, the delay of 0.11 s found in Fig. 4 was imposed. The Ca$^{2+}$ dependence of the kinase activity was taken from calculations in Klenchin et al. (1995). The solid line assumes a Ca$^{2+}$ $K_{1/2}$ for kinase regulation of 270 nM. The dashed lines above and below the solid line assume Ca$^{2+}$ $K_{1/2}$ values of 540 and 135 nM, respectively.
for Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent cyclase regulation relative to the Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration in the dark is that it determines the rate of increase in the cyclase activity upon illumination. The estimates by Hodgkin and Nunn (1988; see Fig. 12) indicate that the halftime of cyclase activation in saturating light is at least 5 s, slower than the 2 s estimated in Fig. 8 B. This is consistent with the possibility that the \(K_{1/2}\) value for the Ca\(^{2+}\) regulation of cyclase in salamander is lower than in frog, while values for dark Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations are similar. However, it could also reflect an artifact of cyclase estimates by their method at high light levels.

**Ca\(^{2+}\) Feedback on Rhodopsin Kinase**

Our study shows that rhodopsin kinase activity also increases practically without delay after a sudden drop in Ca\(^{2+}\). The predicted time course of kinase activation after the onset of saturating light is less straightforward than for guanylate cyclase due to an uncertainty in the Ca\(^{2+}\) range for kinase regulation by Ca\(^{2+}\) recoverin in vivo. All recent measurements performed in suspensions of disrupted ROS or in reconstituted systems indicate that the half-maximal Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration for the rhodopsin kinase regulation by recoverin is above the Ca\(^{2+}\) range in intact rods (Klenchin et al., 1995; Chen et al., 1995; Ames et al., 1995). However, physiological experiments performed with recoverin knock-out mice (Dodd et al., 1995) strongly support the idea that the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent regulation of rhodopsin kinase occurs over the physiological range of Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration changes: rods lacking recoverin have accelerated photoresponse recovery and are less able to adapt to light. Two complementary arguments provide a possible resolution of this paradox. First, Zozulya and Styer (1992) argued that Ca\(^{2+}\)-recoverin binding to ROS membranes increases the Ca\(^{2+}\) binding affinity to recoverin. Second, Klenchin et al. (1995) have pointed out that because the amount of recoverin in ROS is larger than the amount of rhodopsin kinase, Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to a relatively small fraction of recoverin might be sufficient for inhibition of a relatively large fraction of kinase. This results in a lower \(K_{1/2}\) for the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent regulation of kinase than the \(K_{1/2}\) for Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to recoverin. Klenchin et al. (1995) used both of these arguments to calculate a \(K_{1/2}\) of \(\sim 270\) nM for the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent kinase regulation in vivo and a maximum extent of the regulation between high and low Ca\(^{2+}\) of \(\sim 10\)-fold. Based on these numbers, the value of 400 nM for Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration in the dark and our observation that the kinase activity senses the decrease in Ca\(^{2+}\) with a delay of \(\sim 100\) ms, we predicted the time course for the increase in the kinase activity after the onset of saturating illumination (Fig. 8 C, solid curve). The onset of rhodopsin kinase activation in this case is very similar to the onset of the guanylate cyclase.

An important question raised in our study is why the photoreceptor has two Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent feedback mechanisms, both designed to sense changes in Ca\(^{2+}\) quickly. If the Ca\(^{2+}\) \(K_{1/2}\) values for these mechanisms are indeed close as we discussed above, then their coincidence simply allows the photoreceptor to enhance the amplitude of the Ca\(^{2+}\) feedback regulation. If, however, the actual Ca\(^{2+}\) \(K_{1/2}\) value for rhodopsin kinase is different from that for cyclase, then a temporal hierarchy between two Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent mechanisms is established in addition to an enhanced amplitude. To illustrate this point, we calculated the putative time course of rhodopsin kinase activation for the cases when the value for Ca\(^{2+}\) \(K_{1/2}\) is twice smaller and twice larger than predicted by Klenchin et al. (1995) (Fig. 8 C, dashed curves). A higher \(K_{1/2}\) value makes the kinase feedback work faster than the cyclase feedback; however, its amplitude is small. Conversely, a lower \(K_{1/2}\) value makes the kinase feedback work slower than the cyclase feedback, but its amplitude becomes large. Further clarification of this issue requires a precise determination of the Ca\(^{2+}\) \(K_{1/2}\) value for the kinase feedback in vivo.

Unlike the case with guanylate cyclase, measurements of the Ca\(^{2+}\) \(K_{1/2}\) for rhodopsin kinase feedback regulation based on electrophysiological techniques are not available. The closest estimates are provided by Koutalos et al. (1995b), who measured the Ca\(^{2+}\) dependence of PDE activation by light in truncated salamander rods. They reported a value of 400 nM for the Ca\(^{2+}\) \(K_{1/2}\). A possible complication of their analysis is that they likely measured a combination of two Ca\(^{2+}\) effects on the PDE activation: the effect mediated through rhodopsin kinase and the effect on the rate of transducin activation by photoexcited rhodopsin originally described by Lagnado and Baylor (1994). A subsequent study by Sagoo and Lagnado (1997) indicates that this second Ca\(^{2+}\) effect is based on the reduction in the affinity of transducin for GTP upon transducin activation by rhodopsin. A \(K_{G}\) of 9 \(\mu\)M GTP was observed at high Ca\(^{2+}\) and 170 \(\mu\)M GTP at low Ca\(^{2+}\) while the \(V_{\text{max}}\) remained unchanged. Since the GTP concentration in intact amphibian rods remains in the millimolar range at any level of illumination (Birnbaum and Bownds, 1985b), this mechanism is unlikely to be physiological. The individual impact of rhodopsin kinase regulation and the modulation of transducin activation rate on the overall Ca\(^{2+}\) regulation of PDE activation measured by Koutalos et al. (1995b) may be distinguished by performing the analysis with millimolar GTP levels rather than with the 100 \(\mu\)M GTP used by these authors.

**cGMP Feedback on Transducin GTPase**

As opposed to the relatively fast Ca\(^{2+}\) dissociation from corresponding binding sites, the dissociation of cGMP from the PDE noncatalytic sites is a slow process with a
rate that is dependent on whether or not PDE is activated by transducin. Since we have demonstrated that cGMP dissociation from these sites results in the immediate acceleration of transducin GTPase, the upper and lower curves from Fig. 7 represent the slowest and fastest limits for the onset of fast GTPase. Two hypotheses for the role of cGMP dissociation from noncatalytic binding sites on PDE are discussed in the literature. Yamazaki et al. (1996) suggested that cGMP dissociation from the noncatalytic sites of activated PDE contributes to cGMP restoration during the recovery phase of the photoreponse, while Cote et al. (1994) proposed that cGMP dissociation contributes to photoreceptor light adaptation to bright continuous light through the acceleration of transducin GTPase. We favor the hypothesis by Cote et al. (1994) for two reasons.

First, the amount of cGMP dissociating from the noncatalytic sites of activated PDE during the time frame of the photoresponse does not appear to be sufficient for significant restoration of hydrolyzed cGMP. Indeed, given the frog rod PDE $K_n \sim 100 \mu M$, $V_{max} \sim 4,000 \ s^{-1}$ (Dumke et al., 1994) and free cGMP concentration in darkness $\sim 4 \mu M$ (Pugh and Lamb, 1993), $\sim 150 \ cGMP$ molecules are hydrolyzed by each activated PDE during the frog rod photoresponse. Yet the data presented in Fig. 7 (virtually the same as in Fig. 4 B from Yamazaki et al., 1996) indicate that only $\sim 0.25 \ cGMP$ molecules per activated PDE can dissociate from the noncatalytic sites over the time period of four seconds, enough time for complete photoresponse recovery.

Second, the hypothesis that cGMP dissociation from the noncatalytic sites contributes to the speeded recovery of the photoresponse during prolonged bright background illumination is consistent with electrophysiological measurements of Coles and Yamane (1975) and Cervetto et al. (1984). These authors reported a gradual acceleration of photoresponse recovery in amphibian rods after exposure to bright background illumination for tens of seconds. This time scale is substantially slower than the reduction in intracellular $Ca^{2+}$ (McCarthy et al., 1994, 1996; Sampath et al., 1997), indicating that this effect is unlikely to be mediated by $Ca^{2+}$. A goal of our future experiments is to directly correlate this physiological phenomenon with the occupancy of the noncatalytic cGMP binding sites of frog rod PDE.
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